```
1
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 2.
                     EASTERN DIVISION
    IN RE: NATIONAL
                        : MDL No. 2804
    PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
 4
                            : Case No. 17-md-2804
    LITIGATION
 5
    APPLIES TO ALL CASES : Hon. Dan A. Polster
 6
 7
                   HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
 8
 9
         SUBJECT TO FURTHER CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
10
11
12
                       JANUARY 4, 2019
13
14
         VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ANTHONY MOLLICA,
15
    taken pursuant to notice, was held at Marcus &
16
    Shapira, One Oxford Center, 35th Floor, Pittsburgh,
    Pennsylvania 15219, by and before Ann Medis,
17
18
    Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in
19
    and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on Friday,
20
    January 4, 2019, commencing at 8:06 a.m.
21
22
                GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
           877.370.3377 phone | 917.591.5672 fax
23
                       deps@golkow.com
24
25
```

	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	On behalf of Plaintiffs	2	
3	WAGSTAFF & CARTMELL, LLP BY: TYLER W. HUDSON, ESQUIRE	2	Also present
4	AND ERIC D BARTON ESOURE	3	Chris Ritona, videographer
5	4740 Grand Avenue, Suite 300 Kansas City, Missouri 64112 816.701.1100 thudson@wcllp.com	4	
_	816.701.1100	5	
6	thudson@wcllp.com ebarton@wcllp.com	6	
7	•	7	
8	On behalf of Defendant AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation	8	
9	*	9	
0	(By Phone/Livestream) JACKSON KELLY PLLC		
1	BY: SANDRA K. ZERRUSEN, ESOUIRE	10	
	50 South Main Street, Suite 201 Akron, Ohio 44308	11	
.2	330.252.9060	12	
.3	skzerrusen@jacksonkelly.com	13	
.4	On behalf of Defendant Cardinal Health, Inc. PIETRAGALLO GORDON ALFANO BOSICK &	14	
	RASPANTI, LLP	15	
6	BY: JENNIFER BOURIAT, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 38th Floor 301 Grant Street	16	
.7	301 Grant Street	17	
.8	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.263.2000	18	
	jhb@pietragallo.com	19	
9			
1	Services	20	
	(By Phone/Livestream) ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER, LLP	21	
2	ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER, LLP BY: KYLE A CRAWFORD ESOURE	22	
23	BY: KYLE A. CRAWFORD, ESQUIRE 1800 M Street, NW, Suite 1000	23	
4	Washington, DC 20036-5807 202.778.1825	24	
	kcrawford@zuckerman.com	25	
25			
	Page 3		Page 5
1	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) On behalf of Defendants Endo Pharmaceuticals, Endo	1 2	* I N D E X * ANTHONY MOLLICA PAGE
	Health Solutions and Par Pharmaceuticals	3	EXAMINATION BY MR. HUDSON 8, 233
3	(By Phone/Livestream)	4	EXAMINATION BY MR. BARTON 168 EXAMINATION BY MR. BARNES 207, 24
4	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP		EXAMINATION BY MR. KOBRIN 229
5	BY: DAVID KOUBA, ESQUIRE 601 Massachusetts Avenue, NW	5	* INDEX OF HBC-MOLLICA EXHIBITS *
	Washington, DC 20001-37453	1 .	NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE
6	202.942.5743 david.kouba@arnoldporter.com	8	Exhibit 1 HBC Service Company's Responses to Plaintiffs' (First) Set of Combined
7	•		Discovery Requests
8 9	On behalf of Defendant HBC Service Company MARCUS & SHAPIRA, LLP	9	
	MARCOS & SHAHIKA. LLA		Exhibit 2 Giant Eagle Inventory Control - 54
\cap	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE	10	Suspicious Order Policies with
.0	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor	10	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639
.0	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219	11	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387
.1	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471.3490		Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL00045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908
.1	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219	11	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL00045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00043414
.1	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471.3490 jkobrin@marcus-shapira.com rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com	11 12	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL000045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00043414 HBC_MDL00010092 - 00010093
.1	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471,3490 jkobrin@marcus-shapira.com rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com On behalf of Defendant McKesson Corporation COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP	11 12 13 14	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL000045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00043414 HBC_MDL00010092 - 00010093 Exhibit 3_ Letter, 10/18/13, from OARRS to 83
.1 .2 .3 .4	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471,3490 jkobrin@marcus-shapira.com rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com On behalf of Defendant McKesson Corporation COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP BY: RAJ PAUL, ESQUIRE	11 12 13	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL000045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00043414 HBC_MDL00010092 - 00010093
1 2 3 4 5	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471.3490 jkobrin@marcus-shapira.com rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com On behalf of Defendant McKesson Corporation COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP BY: RAJ PAUL, ESQUIRE One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW	11 12 13 14 15 16	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL00045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00043414 HBC_MDL00010092 - 00010093 Exhibit 3 Letter, 10/18/13, from OARRS to HBC, re: Instructions for Reporting Wholesale Transactions to OARRS HBC MDL00081290 - 00081293
.1 .2 .3 .4 .5	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471.3490 jkobrin@marcus-shapira.com rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com On behalf of Defendant McKesson Corporation COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP BY: RAJ PAUL, ESQUIRE One CityCenter	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL00045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00043414 HBC_MDL00010092 - 00010093 Exhibit 3 Letter, 10/18/13, from OARRS to HBC, re: Instructions for Reporting Wholesale Transactions to OARRS HBC_MDL00081290 - 00081293 Exhibit 4 Email chain, 1/6/14, from J. 85 Cornwell to S. Valetta, et al.
.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471.3490 jkobrin@marcus-shapira.com rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com On behalf of Defendant McKesson Corporation COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP BY: RAJ PAUL, ESQUIRE One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956	11 12 13 14 15 16	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL00045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00043414 HBC_MDL00010092 - 00010093 Exhibit 3 Letter, 10/18/13, from OARRS to HBC, re: Instructions for Reporting Wholesale Transactions to OARRS HBC_MDL00081290 - 00081293 Exhibit 4 Email chain, 1/6/14, from J. 85 Cornwell to S. Valetta, et al., subject: RE: Ohio State Board of
.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471.3490 jkobrin@marcus-shapira.com rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com On behalf of Defendant McKesson Corporation COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP BY: RAJ PAUL, ESQUIRE One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 202.662.5807	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL00045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00043414 HBC_MDL00010092 - 00010093 Exhibit 3 Letter, 10/18/13, from OARRS to HBC, re: Instructions for Reporting Wholesale Transactions to OARRS HBC_MDL00081290 - 00081293 Exhibit 4 Email chain, 1/6/14, from J. 85 Cornwell to S. Valetta, et al.
.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471.3490 jkobrin@marcus-shapira.com rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com On behalf of Defendant McKesson Corporation COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP BY: RAJ PAUL, ESQUIRE One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 202.662.5807 rpaul@cov.com On behalf of Defendant Walmart	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL00045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00043414 HBC_MDL00010092 - 00010093 Exhibit 3 Letter, 10/18/13, from OARRS to HBC, re: Instructions for Reporting Wholesale Transactions to OARRS HBC_MDL00081290 - 00081293 Exhibit 4 Email chain, 1/6/14, from J. 85 Cornwell to S. Valetta, et al., subject: RE: Ohio State Board of Pharmacy - Nov. 2013 - Change to OARRS Report - "80 MED" threshold scoring system
.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471.3490 jkobrin@marcus-shapira.com rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com On behalf of Defendant McKesson Corporation COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP BY: RAJ PAUL, ESQUIRE One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 202.662.5807 rpaul@cov.com On behalf of Defendant Walmart (By Phone/Livestream) JONES DAY	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL00045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00010092 - 00010093 Exhibit 3 Letter, 10/18/13, from OARRS to HBC, re: Instructions for Reporting Wholesale Transactions to OARRS HBC_MDL00081290 - 00081293 Exhibit 4 Email chain, 1/6/14, from J. 85 Cornwell to S. Valetta, et al., subject: RE: Ohio State Board of Pharmacy - Nov. 2013 - Change to OARRS Report - "80 MED" threshold scoring system HBC_MDL00137199 - 00137202 Exhibit 5 Ohio State Board of Pharmacy 89
.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471.3490 jkobrin@marcus-shapira.com rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com On behalf of Defendant McKesson Corporation COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP BY: RAJ PAUL, ESQUIRE One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 202.662.5807 rpaul@cov.com On behalf of Defendant Walmart (By Phone/Livestream) JONES DAY BY: CHRISTOPHER MARKHAM, ESQUIRE	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL00045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00043414 HBC_MDL00010092 - 00010093 Exhibit 3 Letter, 10/18/13, from OARRS to HBC, re: Instructions for Reporting Wholesale Transactions to OARRS HBC_MDL00081290 - 00081293 Exhibit 4 Email chain, 1/6/14, from J. 85 Cornwell to S. Valetta, et al., subject: RE: Ohio State Board of Pharmacy - Nov. 2013 - Change to OARRS Report - "80 MED" threshold scoring system HBC MDL00137199 - 00137202
-1 -2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7 -8 -9 20 21	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471.3490 jkobrin@marcus-shapira.com rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com On behalf of Defendant McKesson Corporation COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP BY: RAJ PAUL, ESQUIRE One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 202.662.5807 rpaul@cov.com On behalf of Defendant Walmart (By Phone/Livestream) JONES DAY BY: CHRISTOPHER MARKHAM, ESQUIRE 100 High Street 21st Floor	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL00045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00043414 HBC_MDL00010092 - 00010093 Exhibit 3 Letter, 10/18/13, from OARRS to HBC, re: Instructions for Reporting Wholesale Transactions to OARRS HBC_MDL00081290 - 00081293 Exhibit 4 Email chain, 1/6/14, from J. 85 Cornwell to S. Valetta, et al., subject: RE: Ohio State Board of Pharmacy - Nov. 2013 - Change to OARRS Report - "80 MED" threshold scoring system HBC_MDL00137199 - 00137202 Exhibit 5 Ohio State Board of Pharmacy 89 Meeting Minutes December 5-7, 2011 Exhibit 6 Ohio State Board of Pharmacy 95
	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471.3490 jkobrin@marcus-shapira.com rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com On behalf of Defendant McKesson Corporation COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP BY: RAJ PAUL, ESQUIRE One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 202.662.5807 rpaul@cov.com On behalf of Defendant Walmart (By Phone/Livestream) JONES DAY BY: CHRISTOPHER MARKHAM, ESQUIRE 100 High Street 21st Floor Boston, MA 02110-1781	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL000045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00010092 - 00010093 Exhibit 3 Letter, 10/18/13, from OARRS to HBC, re: Instructions for Reporting Wholesale Transactions to OARRS HBC_MDL00081290 - 00081293 Exhibit 4 Email chain, 1/6/14, from J. 85 Cornwell to S. Valetta, et al., subject: RE: Ohio State Board of Pharmacy - Nov. 2013 - Change to OARRS Report - "80 MED" threshold scoring system HBC_MDL00137199 - 00137202 Exhibit 5 Ohio State Board of Pharmacy Meeting Minutes December 5-7, 2011 Exhibit 6 Ohio State Board of Pharmacy Meeting Minutes November 2-4, 2009
-1 -2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7 -8 -9 20 21	BY: JOSHUA KOBRIN, ESQUIRE AND ROBERT M. BARNES, ESQUIRE One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 412.471.3490 jkobrin@marcus-shapira.com rbarnes@marcus-shapira.com On behalf of Defendant McKesson Corporation COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP BY: RAJ PAUL, ESQUIRE One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 202.662.5807 rpaul@cov.com On behalf of Defendant Walmart (By Phone/Livestream) JONES DAY BY: CHRISTOPHER MARKHAM, ESQUIRE 100 High Street 21st Floor	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Suspicious Order Policies with various effective dates HBC_MDL00078638 - 00078639 HBC_MDL00004386 - 00004387 HBC_MDL00045916 - 00078918 HBC_MDL00051908 HBC_MDL00043414 HBC_MDL00010092 - 00010093 Exhibit 3 Letter, 10/18/13, from OARRS to HBC, re: Instructions for Reporting Wholesale Transactions to OARRS HBC_MDL00081290 - 00081293 Exhibit 4 Email chain, 1/6/14, from J. 85 Cornwell to S. Valetta, et al., subject: RE: Ohio State Board of Pharmacy - Nov. 2013 - Change to OARRS Report - "80 MED" threshold scoring system HBC_MDL00137199 - 00137202 Exhibit 5 Ohio State Board of Pharmacy 89 Meeting Minutes December 5-7, 2011 Exhibit 6 Ohio State Board of Pharmacy 95

			Daga 9
	Page 6		Page 8
1 2	* INDEX OF HBC-MOLLICA EXHIBITS (Continued) * NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE		Pietragallo on behalf of Cardinal.
	Exhibit 8 Email chain, 10/24/17, from M. 107	2	MR. BARNES: Robert Barnes on behalf of
3	Niskach to G. Chunderlik, subject: RE: Control Limits	3	HBC, Marcus & Shapira.
4	HBC_MDL00009648 - 00009649	4	MR. KOBRIN: Josh Kobrin, Marcus &
5	Exhibit 9 Email, 5/1/12, from A. Mollica 119 to G. Chunderlik, subject: FW:	5	Shapira, on behalf of HBC Services Company.
6	2012 Anda Supply Chain Symposium	6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Ann, will you please
7	HBC_MDL00064379 - 00064441	7	swear in the witness.
	Exhibit 10 Email, 11/11/13, from G. Carlson 129	8	ANTHONY MOLLICA,
8	to M. Bianco, subject: Fw: Controlled Substance Suspicious Monitoring,	9	having been first duly sworn, was examined
9	attaching invite_4469.ics	10	and testified as follows:
10	HBC_MDL00136771 - 00136772	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You may proceed.
10	Exhibit 11 Email chain, 1/22/14, from J. 138	12	EXAMINATION
11	Millward to G. Carlson, et al., subject: FW: Wholesale Distributor	13	BY MR. HUDSON:
12	Questionnaire, attaching Wholesale	14	Q. Good morning, Mr. Mollica. My name is
13	Distributor Questionnaire HBC_MDL00135570 - 00135574	15	Ty Hudson and I represent several of the
	Exhibit 12 Email chain, 1/10/14, from T. 157	16	plaintiffs in this case.
15	Roahrig to J. Millward, subject: RE:	17	•
123	Daily HBC Suspicious Purchasing Report - 01/09/14		Could you state your name for the record,
16	HBC_MDL00039223	18	please.
' '	Exhibit 13 Ohio Administrative Code Section 4729-9-05 Security	19	A. Anthony Mollica.
18	requirements	20	Q. And what is your current address?
19	Exhibit 14 Ohio Administrative Code Section 190 Section 4729-9-11 Security and	21	A. 9640 Portofino Drive, Brentwood,
20 21	control of dangerous drugs		Tennessee 37027.
22		23	Q. And you are a former employee of Giant
23 24			Eagle?
25		25	A. That's right.
		1	
	Page 7		Page 9
1	Page 7 PROCEEDINGS	1	_
1 2	Page 7 PROCEEDINGS		Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company;
	PROCEEDINGS		Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right?
2 3	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the	2	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company;is that right?A. Correct.
2 3 4	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the	2 3 4	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company;is that right?A. Correct.Q. And are you aware that HBC Service
2 3 4 5	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services.	2 3 4 5	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company;is that right?A. Correct.Q. And are you aware that HBC ServiceCompany is a defendant in this case?
2 3 4	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is	2 3 4	 Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am.
2 3 4 5 6 7	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or is HBC?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or is HBC? A. I don't know who's paying for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division. The deponent today is Anthony Mollica. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or is HBC? A. I don't know who's paying for the services. Not me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division. The deponent today is Anthony Mollica. The court reporter today is Ann Medis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or is HBC? A. I don't know who's paying for the services. Not me. Q. Have you had your deposition taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division. The deponent today is Anthony Mollica. The court reporter today is Ann Medis. Will all counsel please identify themselves	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or is HBC? A. I don't know who's paying for the services. Not me. Q. Have you had your deposition taken before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division. The deponent today is Anthony Mollica. The court reporter today is Ann Medis. Will all counsel please identify themselves for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or is HBC? A. I don't know who's paying for the services. Not me. Q. Have you had your deposition taken before? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division. The deponent today is Anthony Mollica. The court reporter today is Ann Medis. Will all counsel please identify themselves for the record. MR. HUDSON: Ty Hudson of Wagstaff &	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or is HBC? A. I don't know who's paying for the services. Not me. Q. Have you had your deposition taken before? A. No. Q. Let's just make sure then we understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division. The deponent today is Anthony Mollica. The court reporter today is Ann Medis. Will all counsel please identify themselves for the record. MR. HUDSON: Ty Hudson of Wagstaff & Cartmell for plaintiffs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or is HBC? A. I don't know who's paying for the services. Not me. Q. Have you had your deposition taken before? A. No. Q. Let's just make sure then we understand how this process works before we get going. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division. The deponent today is Anthony Mollica. The court reporter today is Ann Medis. Will all counsel please identify themselves for the record. MR. HUDSON: Ty Hudson of Wagstaff & Cartmell for plaintiffs. MR. BARTON: Eric Barton, Wagstaff &	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or is HBC? A. I don't know who's paying for the services. Not me. Q. Have you had your deposition taken before? A. No. Q. Let's just make sure then we understand how this process works before we get going. I'm going to be asking you some questions, and then I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division. The deponent today is Anthony Mollica. The court reporter today is Ann Medis. Will all counsel please identify themselves for the record. MR. HUDSON: Ty Hudson of Wagstaff & Cartmell for plaintiffs. MR. BARTON: Eric Barton, Wagstaff & Cartmell, for plaintiffs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or is HBC? A. I don't know who's paying for the services. Not me. Q. Have you had your deposition taken before? A. No. Q. Let's just make sure then we understand how this process works before we get going. I'm going to be asking you some questions, and then I would ask that you provide answers unless your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division. The deponent today is Anthony Mollica. The court reporter today is Ann Medis. Will all counsel please identify themselves for the record. MR. HUDSON: Ty Hudson of Wagstaff & Cartmell for plaintiffs. MR. BARTON: Eric Barton, Wagstaff & Cartmell, for plaintiffs. MR. PAUL: Raj Paul, Covington &	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or is HBC? A. I don't know who's paying for the services. Not me. Q. Have you had your deposition taken before? A. No. Q. Let's just make sure then we understand how this process works before we get going. I'm going to be asking you some questions, and then I would ask that you provide answers unless your counsel instructs you not to answer. Sometimes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division. The deponent today is Anthony Mollica. The court reporter today is Ann Medis. Will all counsel please identify themselves for the record. MR. HUDSON: Ty Hudson of Wagstaff & Cartmell for plaintiffs. MR. BARTON: Eric Barton, Wagstaff & Cartmell, for plaintiffs. MR. PAUL: Raj Paul, Covington & Burling, on behalf of McKesson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or is HBC? A. I don't know who's paying for the services. Not me. Q. Have you had your deposition taken before? A. No. Q. Let's just make sure then we understand how this process works before we get going. I'm going to be asking you some questions, and then I would ask that you provide answers unless your counsel instructs you not to answer. Sometimes they may object for the record, but unless you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Chris Ritona. I'm the videographer for Golkow Litigation Services. Today's date is January 4, 2019, and the time is approximately 8:06 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Pittsburgh, PA at Marcus & Shapira, LLP, One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor, in the matter of National Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL No. 2804, Case No. 17-md-2804, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division. The deponent today is Anthony Mollica. The court reporter today is Ann Medis. Will all counsel please identify themselves for the record. MR. HUDSON: Ty Hudson of Wagstaff & Cartmell for plaintiffs. MR. BARTON: Eric Barton, Wagstaff & Cartmell, for plaintiffs. MR. PAUL: Raj Paul, Covington &	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Giant Eagle owned HBC Service Company; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And are you aware that HBC Service Company is a defendant in this case? A. I am. Q. Are you represented by counsel here today? A. I am. Q. And who is your attorney? A. They're in the room, Marcus & Shapira. Q. And are you paying for their services or is HBC? A. I don't know who's paying for the services. Not me. Q. Have you had your deposition taken before? A. No. Q. Let's just make sure then we understand how this process works before we get going. I'm going to be asking you some questions, and then I would ask that you provide answers unless your counsel instructs you not to answer. Sometimes

- ¹ questions?
- 2 A. Okay.
- O. Second, do you understand that you are
- ⁴ under oath as if we were in a courtroom before a
- ⁵ judge and a jury?
- 6 A. I do.
- ⁷ Q. And is it fair that if you answer my
- ⁸ question, I'm going to assume that you understand
- ⁹ it. And the flip side of that is if you don't
- 10 understand my question, will you let me know so I
- ¹¹ can clarify or rephrase it?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And then lastly, if you need to take a
- ¹⁴ break at any time, just let me know. We can go
- 15 off the record. All I would ask is if there's a
- pending question you answer it. Is that fair?
- ¹⁷ A. Sure.
- Q. What did you do to prepare for your
- ¹⁹ deposition today?
- A. I came in, had some just general
- 21 procedural conversations about today yesterday
- ²² with my counsel.
- Q. How long did those conversations last?
- A. Four hours.
- Q. Did you review any documents during the

- Q. How about the Controlled Substances Act,
- ² was that something in your role at Giant Eagle
- 3 that you focused on?
- A. As a pharmacist I'm familiar with the
- ⁵ Controlled Substances Act as it pertains to the
- ⁶ practice of retail pharmacy.
- Q. How about more specifically at Giant
- ⁸ Eagle, was that something that you were involved
- ⁹ with, is compliance with the Controlled Substances
- 10 Act, you personally?
- 11 A. In the scope of what I oversaw at Giant
- ¹² Eagle, compliance with the Controlled Substances
- 13 Act, yes.
- Q. Let's, if we could, start with your
 - education. Could you just -- did you graduate
- 16 from the University of Pittsburgh with a Bachelor
- ¹⁷ of Science in Pharmacy?
- 18 A. Yes.
- ¹⁹ Q. And was that in 1995?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Any postgraduate work?
- A. Yes. I have a -- I received an MBA from
- ²³ the University of Waynesburg -- at that time it
- was called Waynesburg College -- in 2003.
 - Q. And what did you do between the time

Page 11

- 1 conversations?
- A. A brief familiarity with, you know, the
- ³ types of documents that we'll be discussing today.
- Q. And did those documents refresh your
- 5 recollection?
- 6 A. That's hard to say.
- 7 MR. KOBRIN: I want to just quickly --
- ⁸ don't talk about anything that we discussed. All
- ⁹ of that is privileged. In general, if you can
- answer his question "yes" or "no," that's fine.
- 11 THE WITNESS: There are some documents
- 12 that triggered memory, some of them. Most I've
- 13 never seen before.
- 14 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. And do you understand that this
- 16 litigation relates to the opioid crisis?
- A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Is that a phrase that you've heard
- ¹⁹ before, the opioid crisis?
- A. I've heard that phrase before on like TV
- ²¹ and that type of thing.
- Q. How about in connection with your roles
- ²³ or your role at Giant Eagle?
 - 4 A. No. I never heard that as a phrase when
- ²⁵ I was at Giant Eagle.

- Page 13

 1 that you graduated from -- was it pharmacy school?
- A. That's correct.
- ³ Q. -- between graduation from pharmacy
- 4 school and then starting your MBA?
- 5 A. What did I do specifically with Giant
- 6 Eagle or in general?
 - Q. No, in general.
 - A. I was a licensed pharmacist retail. I
- ⁹ moved up into regional supervisory positions and
- 10 eventually into -- I oversaw pharmacy operations,
- 11 retail operations for Giant Eagle; went on into
- 12 the specialty pharmacy markets for a company
- 13 called Omnicare CVS. And most recently, I'm
- ¹⁴ president of healthcare services for an
- 15 organization called Brookdale Senior Living.
- 6 Q. Let's break that down, if we could. So
- you graduated from pharmacy school in 1995;
- 18 correct?
- ¹⁹ A. Correct.
- Q. And then did you do your MBA while you
- 21 were still working, or did you go back to school
- 22 full time?

- A. No. I did it while I was working. It
- ²⁴ was a part-time program.
 - Q. If you could, after you graduated from

- pharmacy school in 1995, what was the first jobthat you had?
- ³ A. A staff pharmacist for Giant Eagle.
- Q. And where were you located?
- A. I believe my first -- I floated to many
- ⁶ locations. But I believe my first permanent like
- one store role was in Waterworks in Pittsburgh.
- ⁸ Q. And how long did you remain in that ⁹ role?
- A. As a staff pharmacist, I want to say
- 11 maybe a year and a half to two years. It's hard
- $^{12}\,$ to -- that's 25 years ago. One or two years.
- Q. And then at some point, did you get promoted?
- A. I became a pharmacy manager, which is more supervisory, over a single pharmacy.
- Q. And that was sometime in the 1997, 1998 timeframe?
- ¹⁹ A. That's correct, right.
- Q. How long did you remain in that role?
- A. I remained in that role I'd say maybe a
- ²² year and a half. It could be two years. I'm
- ²³ trying to remember those specifics dates.
- Q. Sure. No, I understand. And then at
- 25 that point, what did you do after you were a
 - Page 15

- pharmacy manager?
- A. I left Giant Eagle for a staffing
- 3 company called MedTech and then eventually for a
- 4 few months in one of the sites that they assigned
- 5 me to as a -- MedTech was a staffing company. So
- 6 they would send you in and fill in for pharmacists
- ⁷ where they had needs.
- 8 One of the companies they had me fill in for
- ⁹ offered me a position there. And then I stayed
- 10 there for probably about -- I'd say about maybe
- 11 four or five months. Then I came back to Giant
- ¹² Eagle.

13

- Q. And was that in 200- -- when was that?
- A. I'm trying to think. I was there maybe
- 15 2000 to 2001. I think that's about right. Then I
- came back to Giant Eagle in 2001.
- Q. And then when you came back to Giant
- ¹⁸ Eagle, what was your role?
- A. I was a floating pharmacist because at
- ²⁰ that time, I had gone back to get my MBA and I
- $^{21}\,$ needed flexibility in the schedule. So they used
- ²² me as full time but as a fill-in pharmacist when
- pharmacists were on vacation. I had multiple siteroles at that time.
- Q. And how long did you stay in that role

- ¹ as a floating pharmacist?
 - ² A. Until -- I want to say until 2003. 2003
 - ³ I believe is when. Then I was promoted to a
 - ⁴ pharmacy -- at that time they called it pharmacy
 - ⁵ specialist, basically a regional supervisor.
 - Q. Is that different than a pharmacy
 - 7 district leader?
 - ⁸ A. Same. It's just vernacular difference
 - ⁹ at the time.
 - Q. And then you were in the role as a
 - specialty pharmacist from 2003 to 2006?
 - A. Yeah, that sounds right.
 - Q. Then in March of 2006, were you promoted
 - to the VP of pharmacy operations?
 - A. No. I was in that role for I want to
 - ¹⁶ say maybe a year. Then I went to Rite-Aid. I
 - spent maybe I think 90 days at Target. Target at
 - 18 that time was opening up in the Pittsburgh market,
 - and they were looking to bring folks into -- groom
 into their supervisory roles.
 - 21 At the same time I got an offer from Rite-Aid
 - 22 to be a -- same position for Rite-Aid, pharmacy
 - ²³ supervisory. I went there for 18 months. Then I
 - ²⁴ came back to Giant Eagle in 2006, I think spring
 - 25 of 2006.

Page 17

- Q. And when you came back to Giant Eagle,
- ² were you then the VP of pharmacy operations?
- ³ A. No, no. I came back in the same
- ⁴ position I left in, as a pharmacy supervisor.
 - Q. And why did you come back?
- 6 A. Giant Eagle was expanding its markets
- ⁷ into the Cleveland area through an acquisition.
- 8 They said that they needed help, stronger -- they
- 9 needed some strong leadership in terms of
- 10 assimilating a new -- a market like that. And it
- 11 sounded like a good opportunity for advancement to
- 12 come back.
- Q. How long did you remain in the role as a
- pharmacy supervisor for Giant Eagle in the
- ¹⁵ Cleveland market?
- A. About eight months. And then I was
- ¹⁷ promoted to director of operations.
- Q. And at that time, were you living in
- 19 Cleveland?
- A. No, no. I lived in Pittsburgh. I just
- 21 commuted.
- Q. How long did you remain director of
- ²³ pharmacy operations?
- A. Well, my -- I was -- my position as
- ²⁵ director of pharmacy operations -- I had title

- ¹ changes up to VP of operations. So until I left,
- ² basically the same role, but as the Giant Eagle
- ³ continued to grow, they just retitled the position
- 4 senior director of operations and then eventually
- ⁵ vice-president of operations. But the basics of
- 6 the role were the same.
- Q. And was that from March of 2006 --
- excuse me -- February of 2006 to March of 2014?
- A. No, no. Like I say, I became director
- ¹⁰ of operations I want to say very early on in 2007.
- 11 And then the gyrations of my role, the titles
- 12 changed, but the same basic position through March
- ¹³ of 2014.
- 14 Q. And if you could, when you became the
- ¹⁵ director of pharmacy operations in 2007, could you
- ¹⁶ just describe the organizational structure of
- ¹⁷ Giant Eagle?
- A. Sure. Giant Eagle -- well, the grocery
- part of Giant Eagle, I'm not as familiar with
- ²⁰ their distribution centers and wholesale grocery
- 21 piece. I can talk -- speak more intelligently
- ²² about the grocery piece that I report into. Is
- 23 that what you're asking here?
- 24 Q. You mean the pharmacy piece?
- 25 A. Giant Eagle has a lot of -- Giant Eagle

- ¹ I don't recall what his title was at that time. I
- ² don't recall what John's title was. I'm sure you
- ³ have that somewhere.
 - Q. So was there a CEO of Giant Eagle?
- A. At that time David Shapira was the CEO.
- Q. Was that the highest officer in the
- company?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Just walk me through, if you could, the
- structure from yourself to Mr. Heiser up through
- to the CEO.
- 12 A. At that time what I believe, as I
- 13 recall -- I mean, I know who I reported to, was
- 14 Randy, but Randy reported to John Tedesco. John
- Tedesco either reported to David directly or he
- 16 may have reported to John Lucot who was the chief
- ¹⁷ operating officer. I believe he reported to John
- Lucot though.
- 19 Q. And as the director of pharmacy, what
- 20 were your roles and responsibilities?
- A. Retail store operations. I would say
- ²² from the third line of the P & L down. It would
- be cost controls, labor, hiring and firing, making
- sure that you were following the regulatory
- practices for the pharmacy and dispensing, those

Page 21

- ¹ ran distribution warehouses and lots of different
- ² businesses. They had a real estate organization,
- ³ gas stations, lots of stuff. I'm familiar with
- 4 the basic structure of the grocery store chain of
- ⁵ which the pharmacy was part of.
- Inside that structure was a basic structure
- where there was a merchandising vertical and then
- operations vertical. Merchandising included
- ⁹ things like sales drivers, marketing,
- ¹⁰ distribution, et cetera.
- 11 Operations was what happened inside of the
- 12 store. So inside of that structure pharmacy at
- 13 the time that I became director of operations, at
- 14 that specific time, because it changed later,
- ¹⁵ pharmacy was part of the merchandising part of
- ¹⁶ Giant Eagle in terms of the grocery store section.
- 17 Inside of that structure, inside of pharmacy
- 18 you had -- pharmacy I think was the only unique
- 19 one in which the operations of pharmacy reported
- ²⁰ into the merchandising structure of pharmacy at
- 21 that time versus the overall company operation
- ²² structure. So I reported into a gentleman named
- 23 Randy Heiser who was VP of pharmacy.
- 24 Q. And who did Mr. Heiser report to? 25
 - A. I believe he reported to John Tedesco.

- 1 type of things, doing our quality inspections,
- ² accuracy of the prescriptions, basic P & L
- ³ responsibilities.
- Operations then drive sales, meaning the
- marketing, and the sales tactics and things like
- that were part of the merchandising part of the
- pharmacy department, but I ran what happens inside
- the stores.
- 9 Q. And who reported to you as the director 10 of pharmacy?
 - A. The pharmacy, regional pharmacy
- 12 supervisors reported to me. And then eventually
- as things progressed inside of the organization,
- we added some quality managers for prescription
- accuracy. So that reported to me. I'm trying to
- think. 16
- 17 We had some trainers, technician training
- program, that type of thing, that reported into my
- org chart. That's basically my org chart, was as
- 20 the operations lead.
- 21 Q. At some point, did Joe Millward join as 22 the senior manager of compliance in pharmacy
- 23
- 24 A. Yeah. Joe Millward was our quality
- ²⁵ person that I referred to.

- Q. And did he report to you?
- ² A. He reported to me.

1

- O. And his role as senior manager -- am I
- 4 correct he was senior manager of compliance and
 5 pharmacy quality?
- A. When we hired Joe, originally it was for
- ⁷ quality. And then the regulatory pieces started
- 8 to fall under the quality bucket, too. And his
- ⁹ role expanded in the time he was there. So it was
- 10 constantly evolving. There's a lot of hats you
- ¹¹ wear in a company the size of Giant Eagle. So he
- 12 had a lot of different roles in terms of how he
- ¹³ added value to the organization.
- And then Joe supported regulatory, not just
- ¹⁵ for the retail operations piece, but also for the
- ¹⁶ merchandising part of the organization, which
- ¹⁷ included, like I said, the sales designs, the
- ¹⁸ distribution centers and that type of thing.
- Q. Do you have a recollection about at what
- $^{\rm 20}\,$ point Mr. Millward's position expanded from just
- ²¹ quality to quality and compliance?
- A. No, no, no. I don't have recollection
- ²³ of an exact day or something like that or when.
- 24 It was more evolving.
 - ⁵ Q. How about what year?

- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: We had a -- we had a CQI

Page 24

Page 25

- ³ committee, which was represented by multiple parts
- 4 of the organization that interviewed Joe and made
- ⁵ the recommendation for the role.
- 6 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. What does CQI stand for?
- 8 A. Quality control initiative.
 - Q. And who was on that committee?
 - A. It was a combination of operators,
- merchandisers, legal, both internal and externalcounsel.
- Q. Do you recall approximately how many people were on that committee?
- A. No. More than five, but I can't recall the exact number.
- Q. Am I correct that compliance within the pharmacy portion of Giant Eagle fell under your
- 19 role as director of pharmacy?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
 - THE WITNESS: The compliance --
- 22 compliance person who was running regulatory,
- 23 which is Joe, reported to me, but he had dotted
- ²⁴ line responsibilities to parts of the business
- that included retail operations, which I oversaw,

Page 23

- 1 A. I'd say within his first year as things
- ² started to evolve.
- Q. Would that be like 2010, 2011?
- ⁴ A. I don't recall when he was -- I'm trying
- ⁵ to think when he was brought on, because he was a
- ⁶ supervisor for us, pharmacy supervisor, before he
- ⁷ moved into that role. I don't recall the dates
- 8 when he was brought into that role.
- ⁹ Q. Did you know Mr. Millward prior to him ¹⁰ joining Giant Eagle?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. How did you know him?
- A. We went to college together. We had --
- ¹⁴ he also worked at Rite-Aid. He worked for
- ¹⁵ Rite-Aid when I was there, but we were in
- ¹⁶ different divisions. He was part of Rite-Aid.
- Q. Was he a friend of yours in pharmacy school?
- ¹⁹ A. Yes.
- Q. Did you recruit him over to Giant Eagle?
- A. I recruited him into Giant Eagle as a
- ²² pharmacy supervisor.
- Q. Who made the decision to promote
- ²⁴ Mr. Millward up to his position in quality and
- 25 then compliance?

- ¹ but also parts of the business that I didn't
- ² touch.

21

- ³ BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. My question though was in terms of the
- ⁵ regulatory department for pharmacy operations,
- 6 where did that fall within the organization? Who
- was responsible for that?
- 8 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- ⁹ THE WITNESS: I was responsible for the
- 10 pieces of regulatory that pertained to retail
- ¹ pharmacy.

19

- 12 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. How about the pieces that related to
- 14 distributors or HBC?
- A. Yeah. That fell to other parts of the
- org charts. That was Randy Heiser, Brett Merrell,
- ¹⁷ Greg Carlson. I didn't have any oversight over
- ¹⁸ any distribution areas.
 - Q. Randy Heiser, Brett Carlson?
- A. Brett Merrell, Greg Carlson. There
- ²¹ could be supervisors at the warehouses. I wasn't
- ² familiar with that part of the business.
 - Q. Did you ever visit the HBC warehouse?
- A. I believe I did a generalized tour of
- 25 the overall warehouse one time, which I'm trying

- 1 to remember. There was a cage. They showed me
- ² that, hey, you know, that's the HBC cage. But
- ³ I've never had a tour of the specific HBC
- 4 warehouses that pertained to pharmacy or for
- 5 pharmacy reasons.
- Q. Do I understand you correctly then that
- ⁷ Mr. Millward, when he became the senior manager of
- 8 compliance, for lack of a better phrase, wore two
- 9 hats. In other words, one hat was dealing with
- 10 compliance issues relating to the retail
- 11 pharmacies and then another hat would be relating
- 12 to other compliance issues relating to other parts
- 13 of the business?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That's correct. In a
- 17 matrix organization, you can support multiple
- 18 areas. He reported to me more of an
- 19 organizational design. Someone has to do
- ²⁰ performance appraisals and that type of thing. He
- 21 sat on my org chart, but he touched multiple areas
- 22 of the pharmacy, not just retail operations.
- 23 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. And was one of the areas that he touched
- 25 or was responsible for was compliance as it

- ¹ acting as a distributor for?
- A. General awareness. I wasn't intimate
- ³ with the specific formularies of the warehouse,
- ⁴ but general awareness, yes.
 - Q. And what was your general understanding?

Page 28

- A. That they had an assortment of Schedules
- 7 III through V in addition to -- but the majority
- ⁸ of what they had there was nonscheduled drugs.
- Q. And what was your understanding of who
- o acted as the distributor for Schedule II drugs?
- 11 A. McKesson.

12

- Q. Did you have any interaction with
- 13 McKesson in your role?
- A. Business interactions were more I was
- part of Giant Eagle. So I'd see McKesson maybe
- ¹⁶ for dinner once a year at NACDS and sit in maybe
- when they gave an annual report of the
- elationship of the business, but I didn't have
- ¹⁹ direct business relationships with McKesson.
- Q. Do you have any knowledge of McKesson's
- 21 controls or procedures as it relates to complying
- with the Controlled Substances Act?
- A. No, no. I'm not in that part of the
- ²⁴ business.

25

Q. How about for HBC, do you have any

- ¹ relates to HBC acting as a distributor of
- ² controlled substances?
- ³ MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- ⁴ THE WITNESS: I can't say that for sure.
- ⁵ Greg Carlson, to my knowledge, was in charge of
- ⁶ the warehouse. But utilizing Joe as a resource
- ⁷ for things absolutely occurred, but to what
- 8 extent, I'm not -- I wasn't in that piece of the
- ⁹ business.
- 10 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Is it fair to say that the piece of the
- ¹² business that you were responsible for was related
- 13 to retail pharmacies?
- A. That's all my responsibility was. My
- 15 responsibility started at the front door of the
- ¹⁶ pharmacy and ended at the counter.
- 17 Q. And did that remain true from 2007 until
- ¹⁸ you left Giant Eagle?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you aware at some point that HBC
- ²¹ became a licensed distributor of controlled
- 22 substances?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you aware of which types of
- ²⁵ controlled substances or schedule drugs HBC was

- Page 29

 1 knowledge about policies or procedures that HBC
- ² had in place to comply with the Controlled
- ³ Substances Act?
 - A. No.
- Q. In your role from 2007 to 2014, was
- 6 there any coordination between retail pharmacies
- ⁷ and the distribution side in terms of coordinating
- 8 on putting in place controls or policies or
- ⁹ procedures to comply with the Controlled
- O Substances Act?
- A. Coordination, I think that would be a
- 12 fair way to describe it. If there were
- downstream -- if there were actions that needed to
- 4 take place or follow-ups at a store level, that's
- ¹⁵ where it touched my level. So if there was a
- 16 request for more information and there needed to
- be an operational intervention to comply with
- 18 those requests, that's where it touched my area.
- Q. At the retail pharmacy level, were there
- any policies or procedures in place that were
- specifically designed to monitor suspicious ordersof controlled substances?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: The policies inside of the
 - pharmacy were more about how do you check in an

Page 30 ¹ order, how do you maintain proper inventory

- ² controls of controlled substances and how to
- 2 Controls of Controlled Substances and now to
- ³ dispense them in a legal and appropriate manner.
- 4 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Are you aware of any policies or
- ⁶ procedures that existed at the retail pharmacy
- ⁷ level that were aimed at monitoring suspicious
- 8 orders of controlled substances?
- 9 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Repeat your question, the
- 11 second part of that. I apologize. I want to make
- 12 sure I'm understanding.
- MR. BARTON: Would you mind just reading
- ¹⁴ back that question.
- 15 (The record was read back.)
- THE WITNESS: You're asking about orders
- ¹⁷ of substances. Any monitoring systems would be
- ¹⁸ outside of the actual purview of the pharmacy.
- 19 Those systems would sit outside. The systems we
- ²⁰ had in pharmacy were more about on-shelf accuracy
- 21 and dispensing accuracy and the correlation
- 22 between the two of them.
- 23 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. How about at the retail pharmacy level,
- ²⁵ what policies or procedures existed between 2007

- ¹ substances.
 - Q. Who within the Giant Eagle organization

Page 32

Page 33

- ³ though was -- was there someone who was
- 4 responsible for overseeing the training of
- ⁵ pharmacists to make sure they were complying with
- ⁶ the Controlled Substances Act?
- 7 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. During
- 8 what period?
- MR. HUDSON: 2007 to 2014.
- THE WITNESS: There's not a requirement
- to do controlled substance training inside a
- 12 pharmacy. We did generalized training of which
- 13 controlled substances would in cases be parts of
- that, CBTs, things like that.
- Pharmacy managers are actually responsible
- ¹⁶ for the practice of what goes on inside of the
- 17 site and making sure that everyone in it is
- 18 following the rules and regs.
- 19 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Other than the pharmacy managers, is
- ²¹ there anyone else within the Giant Eagle
- 22 organization who was responsible for training on
- 23 compliance with the requirements of the Controlled
- Substances Act from 2007 to 2014?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

Page 31

- ¹ and 2014 aimed at preventing the diversion of
- ² controlled substances?
- 3 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: We had lots of training in
- ⁵ policies around the Controlled Substances Act and
- ⁶ what DEA regulations were in terms of dispensing
- ⁷ and maintaining inventory and pharmacist
- ⁸ corresponding responsibility in terms of
- ⁹ dispensing, training, those types of things.
- 10 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Who within Giant Eagle was responsible
- 12 for the training?
- A. Well, first of all, which part of the
- training, if you don't mind being more specific?
- Q. Training to comply with the Controlled
- ¹⁶ Substances Act.
- A. Well, pharmacists actually take a board
- 18 to certify that they're aware. They take -- a
- 19 legal piece of their board actually qualifies them
- ²⁰ for that.
- We had technician training programs. We had
- ²² documents, manuals, lots of reference materials on
- 23 site, links to regs. Then we would do refresher
- ²⁴ reviews for pharmacists at annualized meetings
- ²⁵ regarding our policies when it comes to controlled

- THE WITNESS: As I stated, we've done --
- ² we had multiple reference materials to aid in that
- ³ training. We would do annual refreshers on
- ⁴ multiple different topics of which that was one of
- ⁵ them.
- 6 Joe Millward and his team would do trainings
- ⁷ and just general -- we did quarterly audits that
- ⁸ were very, very specific to practices, including
- ⁹ controlled substances. And those materials on
- those audits were always made available even
- 11 before the audits for the pharmacy so they could
- ¹² educate themselves on the pharmacy.
- 13 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. My questions are focused not on the
- 15 content that was provided to train the
- ¹⁶ pharmacists, but just on who particularly was
- ¹⁷ involved in doing that.
- So far you've said the pharmacy managers were
- ¹⁹ involved in some of the training, and then you
- ²⁰ indicated in your last answer that Joe Millward
- 21 and his team were involved.
 - A. Sure. There could be multiple folks who
- could train. Technician trainers could talk about
- ²⁴ those areas.

25

What I'm referring to is more of the practice

- 1 that goes on inside of the store, who is allowed ² to approach the safe, who is allowed to count
- ³ controls, who is allowed to check inventory. It
- 4 was more operational, retail operation practice ⁵ around controlled substances.
- Q. Was there anyone within Giant Eagle that was focused specifically on training pharmacists to avoid diversion of controlled substance?
- A. No.

9

10 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

11 THE WITNESS: Not a specific titled 12 person whose job is to do that. That comes with a pharmacy license.

14 BY MR. HUDSON:

- Q. Are you aware of any policies or ¹⁶ procedures that existed within Giant Eagle from ¹⁷ 2007 to 2014 that were aimed at pharmacists to ¹⁸ avoid the diversion of controlled substances?
- 19 A. We had controlled substance policies ²⁰ that were distributed in every pharmacy with 21 procedures and regulatory requirements, and those ²² were audited.
- 23 Q. In general terms, what were those policies, specifically policies that were aimed at ²⁵ avoiding diversion?

- A. Multiple ways. Pharmacists could make ² notes at a patient record level. You could make ³ notes on a physical prescription, those types of
- 4 things.
- Q. Explain to me how that would work where 6 a pharmacist would make notes on a patient record. A. Depending on the operating system. We
- had a couple different ones at the time. You could make patient notes on a record. So if you
- pulled Bob Smith up, every time you pulled Bob
- Smith up, you could see requires a live call to
- the doctor or verification or do not fill.
- Like I said, that's part of the pharmacy practices, which is owned by the individual pharmacist under their licensing rights.
- 16 Q. So between 2007 and 2014, if I wanted to try to figure out how many times a Giant Eagle retail pharmacist had flagged a patient for suspicion of diversion, how would I go about doing 20 that?
- 21 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I don't know that you could. I wouldn't be familiar enough with the system to be able to say there's a specific way.

Page 35

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. 1

THE WITNESS: Once again, how to receive 2 3 an order, how to store documents properly, how to 4 dispense an order, what the requirements were in

5 terms of what was needed from a prescription, what 6 the pharmacist's role was, you know, giving them

⁷ reference materials to cite back to the Controlled

⁸ Substances Act and the DEA regs, those types of ⁹ things.

10 BY MR. HUDSON:

11 Q. Was there any log or report that was 12 kept by Giant Eagle to indicate when pharmacists 13 had flagged or denied prescriptions to patients? 14 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

15 THE WITNESS: We had monthly audits of controlled substances, but reports where pharmacists had to make medical decisions as to ¹⁸ whether to dispense, I'm not aware of any reports ¹⁹ that were specific to that.

Pharmacists could make notes on patient ²¹ profiles if they felt there was diversion issues

22 and flag things in the system.

23 BY MR. HUDSON:

24 Q. And how would that be flagged in the 25 system?

¹ BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. If I want to look back at the records

³ from Giant Eagle between 2007 and 2014 and try to

Page 37

4 determine what actions Giant Eagle took at the ⁵ retail pharmacy level to avoid diversion of

6 controlled substance, where would I look?

A. We had a control box that had all the

records of dispensing. We had records of monthly

audits. And you would just look at our shelves,

¹⁰ and you'll see the reference materials and

policies and procedures around dispensing practices for controlled substances.

13 Q. But in terms of -- is there anything more specific that would exist to document actions taken to address the potential for diversion of controlled substances?

17 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. 18

THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any requirement to keep a log of actions on controlled substances. We complied with the letter of the law on all of our document retention.

BY MR. HUDSON:

25

- 23 Q. How do you know that to be true?
- 24 A. We would audit --

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. How does

Page 38 Page 40 1 he know what to be true? ¹ BY MR. HUDSON: ² BY MR. HUDSON: Q. Did you have an understanding that Q. Did you have any involvement in the ³ distributors had an obligation to design a system

A. No. Q. Did you have any involvement in the decision for HBC to become a licensed distributor

4 formation of HBC as a separate company?

8 of controlled substances?

A. No.

10 Q. Do you know who was involved in that 11 decision making?

12 A. My assumption is Randy Heiser.

13 MR. KOBRIN: Don't assume. If you 14 can --

15 THE WITNESS: I don't know who in the ¹⁶ organization made the decision. I just know where

17 it reported to. That's all. I wasn't in that ¹⁸ part of the business.

19 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Do you have any education specifically

21 on compliance, in other words, complying with laws

²² related to the Controlled Substances Act?

23 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I'm familiar with the

25 Controlled Substances Act as it pertains to the

Page 39

16

¹ practice of retail pharmacy.

² BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. How about the Controlled Substances Act

4 as it relates to manufacturers or distributors of

5 controlled substances?

A. No, no, I'm not familiar with those

⁷ regs.

8 Q. Any knowledge of the distributor

requirements under the Controlled Substances Act?

10 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

11 THE WITNESS: No.

12 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Ever been in any meetings where there

¹⁴ was ever any discussion about actions being taken

15 by HBC to meet the distributor requirements of the

16 Controlled Substances Act?

17 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

18 THE WITNESS: No, not specific to HBC.

19 I was in generalized meetings just about overall

20 company quality practices and things like that, of

21 which, you know, I can't -- I wouldn't be able to

22 say that I've never heard an HBC question being

23 asked or a comment being made, but I've never been

²⁴ in a meeting specifically to design a warehouse

²⁵ function. I was in retail.

to disclose to the distributor suspicious orders

of controlled substances?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Assumes

facts not in evidence.

THE WITNESS: I'm aware that

organizations and distributors have controls in

place and we have to trust those controls. But

11 the specifics of those I'm not familiar with.

12 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Do you know whether or not distributors had an obligation to design a system to monitor

for suspicious orders of controlled substances?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

17 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of specific requirements when it comes to that area. I wasn't

in that part of the business.

BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. From 2007 to 2014, do you know who

²² within the Giant Eagle organization would have

²³ been responsible for creating policies or

²⁴ procedures to comply with the Controlled

25 Substances Act requirements as they relate to

Page 41

1 monitoring suspicious orders of controlled

² substances?

A. I know that Greg Carlson oversaw the

⁴ area. Who under Greg was specifically responsible

⁵ for those, once again, I wasn't in that part of

6 the business.

Q. Other than Mr. Millward, are you aware

of anyone prior to him who had a role focused

specifically on compliance?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

11 THE WITNESS: Joe's role wasn't

specifically on compliance. He was regulatory.

Quality was -- my interactions with Joe were much

more about the quality of the practice of pharmacy

and error rates. That's why he was on my org

16 chart.

10

17 There was a gentleman named Don Casar who

preceded him in that role who had very similar

responsibilities. But in terms of what they

20 touched, that could be depending on the time and

21 what was going on in that business.

22 BY MR. HUDSON:

23 Q. You said Don Casar?

24 A. Yes.

25

Q. Spell that last name if you could.

- A. Don't quote me on this, but I think it's ² C-A-S-A-R. There might be a silent E in there ³ somewhere, but I'm not...
 - Q. And what was Mr. Casar's job title?
- A. He was -- I actually don't remember the ⁶ title, but it was similar to Joe's. It would have
- been a quality lead, manager of quality, senior
- manager of quality, something like that.
- 9 Q. Do you know if he had any 10 responsibilities for compliance?
- 11 A. I honestly can't recall if he touched 12 compliance specifically at the time. I honestly can't remember.
- 14 Q. Is it your understanding that
- ¹⁵ Mr. Millward's role when he became the senior
- ¹⁶ manager of pharmacy quality and compliance, that
- 17 that was a new role that was created for him or
- ¹⁸ was he taking over for Mr. Casar?
- 19 A. He was replacing Mr. Caser.
- 20 Q. Did Mr. Casar leave the company?
- 21 A. No, no. He went back to being a retail
- 22 pharmacist in one of our locations.
- 23 Q. So if we wanted to try to figure out
- ²⁴ what policies, procedures or actions HBC took to
- ²⁵ comply with or address the distributor

- 1 operating wing of the company, which was Gene ² Tommasi.
- Q. And to the best of your recollection,
- that was in the 2012 timeframe?
- A. I want to say '11, '12, right in that --
- 6 right in that era.
- Q. Other than Mr. Heiser and Mr. Merrell,
- anyone else that you would identify as being an
- individual who would have knowledge about HBC's
- actions as a distributor to meet the requirements
- of the Controlled Substances Act?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Joe Millward would have
- had some kind of interaction with that as he
- touched those areas as it pertained to whatever it
- is that Greg or someone in that part of the org
- chart needed to be interested in.
- 18 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 19 Q. How about George Chunderlik?
- 20 A. George was part of Joe Millward's org
- chart. Yeah, I would include that with Joe.
- Q. Anyone else besides Mr. Millward and
- 23 Mr. Chunderlik that you would include within Joe's
- team?

12

25 A. Not that I can think of. Not to say

- ¹ requirements of the Controlled Substances Act,
- ² other than Mr. Carlson, is there anyone else you
- ³ would identify that we should speak to?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: He reported to two
- 6 different gentlemen in my tenure at, you know,
- ⁷ Giant Eagle, Randy Heiser and Brett Merrell. But
- 8 in terms of the specific folks on that part of the
- 9 organizational chart, I couldn't -- I couldn't
- 10 tell you. I don't even know the name of the folks
- ¹¹ who actually worked at the warehouse.
- 12 BY MR. HUDSON:

- Q. And you indicated he reported to two
- ¹⁴ different gentlemen, Randy Heiser and Brett
- ¹⁵ Merrell. Were those at different points in time?
 - A. At different points in time, yes.
- 17 Q. Do you have a recollection of when that
- 18 changed from Mr. Heiser to Mr. Merrell? 19
- A. Yes. Give me a moment to think this
- out. I'd say around either 2011 or 2012 Randy
- 21 Heiser left the organization, and he was replaced
- 22 with Brett Merrell. That's when the change -- at
- 23 that time also my organizational structure
- ²⁴ actually changed over to the traditional Giant
- ²⁵ Eagle structure, which I reported into the

- Page 45 ¹ there weren't others. I'm just not aware of them.
- Q. Do you have any specific knowledge of
- ³ actions taken by Mr. Millward or Mr. Chunderlik as
- 4 it relates to HBC and the actions taken to meet
- the distributor requirements for the Controlled
- Substances Act?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with the
- requirements of the distributor side. My
- connection to that was when there was a request or
- an action needed at the retail level, like I say,
- 12 whether a request for information, et cetera.
- 13 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Were there any weekly, monthly or
- quarterly meetings between retail operations and
- distribution to discuss efforts to avoid
- 17 diversion?
- A. No, no. We had quarterly meetings about
- quality, but they were retail based. They were
- more about the practice of pharmacy, not
- warehouse.
- 22 Q. Was there any integration between the
- retail pharmacy side and the HBC distribution side
- in terms of collaborating to avoid diversion? 25
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

Page 46 Page 48 1 THE WITNESS: Like I said, our ¹ BY MR. HUDSON: ² collaboration was more of what do we need Q. Was there any formal process in place to try to document the actions that were being taken? ³ downstream at the retail level. Request for 4 information, if there was a question as to an MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. ⁵ order or some action that needs to take place THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any 6 physically in a pharmacy, my part of the org chart ⁶ requirement to document an interaction of that nature. ⁷ job was to get that information to comply with it. 8 BY MR. HUDSON: BY MR. HUDSON: Q. Would you say that was more of an ad hoc Q. I understand. Put to one side whether type of a process or was it more systematic? there was a requirement or not. 11 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. My question is: To your knowledge, was there 12 THE WITNESS: I don't think it was 12 any practice in place of documenting times where there was coordination between the distributor 13 either. It was if there was a request for 14 information, we were part of the same company, we side and the retail pharmacy side in terms of 15 made sure we did things in an integrated way, in efforts to avoid diversion? ¹⁶ that perspective. 16 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. 17 17 BY MR. HUDSON: THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. Q. Between 2007 and 2014, approximately how BY MR. HUDSON: many times were there requests by the HBC Q. Would there be any way for me to look at distributor side for information from the retail ²⁰ a log or a report to try to determine how many pharmacy side? 21 times between 2009 and 2014 there were actions 21 taken by Mr. Millward or others to try to 22 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. 23 THE WITNESS: I have no idea how many investigate or due diligence on suspicious orders? times, but requests -- I wouldn't even say 24 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. 25 requests from the distributor side. If there was THE WITNESS: I'm not aware if there's Page 47 Page 49 ¹ a question that Joe was asking based on an order ¹ one or not. There's no requirement to have ² that was received or something like that, I'm ² documentation like that in the practice of retail ³ talking in those types of terms. It was not a ³ pharmacy that I'm aware of. 4 distributor operations type of, you know, ⁴ BY MR. HUDSON: ⁵ relationship in that. In a different aspect. Q. Again, putting to one side the

- ⁶ BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. I guess that's what I'm trying to get
- 8 at. Was there any formal integration in terms of
- ⁹ written policies, procedures or practices where
- 10 the distributor side and the retail pharmacy side
- ¹¹ were systematically coordinating?
- 12 A. Oh, no, no, only in terms of how do you 13 receive an order, how do you put it on the shelf, 14 those types of things, how do you place an order, ¹⁵ those types of coordinations.
- 16 Q. But in terms of preventing diversion, was it more of just a process where if Joe or
- others had questions, then they would just pick up
- the phone and call the retail pharmacy? 19
- 20 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I think both. It could
- ²² be -- it was a combination of things. It could be
- ²³ an email sent requesting information. It could be
- ²⁴ a request to fill out a form. It could be in a
- ²⁵ lot of different forms.

- ⁶ requirements. To the best of your knowledge as
- you sit here today, is there a log or report that
- we could look to to determine when actions were
- taken by Mr. Millward or others to try to avoid
- 10 diversion?

13

25

11 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Asked and answered. 12

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of a form,

15 if it exists or not.

BY MR. HUDSON:

- Q. In your training as a pharmacist, did you have any training specific to opioids? 18
- 19 A. Not specific. Our training was more ²⁰ about the Pharmacy Act. It was legal in general of which controlled substances were part.
- 22 Q. Do you have any knowledge, either specific knowledge or training, on diversion of controlled substances?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: My knowledge is what the

- ² legal requirements are to run a practice of a
- ³ pharmacy.
- ⁴ BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. And it focused specifically on retailpharmacies?
- A. Well, that was my focus. You can do a
- 8 lot of different things with a pharmacy degree.
- Q. Did you have any knowledge, for example,of opioids that were most likely to be abused or
- 11 diverted?
- A. No, not specific to opioids diversion.
- Q. Any meetings or communications that you
- ¹⁴ recall between 2009 and 2014 in which there was
- ¹⁵ discussion of diversion of hydrocodone or any
- ¹⁶ other opioids?
- ¹⁷ A. Yes.
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 19 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Describe for me what you recall.
- A. As it relates to dispensing practices
- 22 and what happens inside of a pharmacy as to
- ²³ whether it's associated with diversion or
- ²⁴ practices in terms of how to store and dispense
- ²⁵ and maintain logs that are part of the legal
 - Page 51

- ¹ requirement.
- Q. Any meetings or communications you were
- ³ involved in that were focused specifically at the
- 4 distributor level?
- 5 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 6 THE WITNESS: No.
- ⁷ BY MR. HUDSON:
- 8 Q. What is your understanding of how the
- ⁹ process worked for distributing controlled
- 10 substances from HBC to the specific retail
- 11 pharmacies? How did that work?
- 12 A. We'd place an order, an electronic order
- 13 for controlled substances. There was no CIIs
- 14 there during my time. So there was no
- 15 additional -- and how those orders got parsed were
- 16 outside of the pharmacy. That was more of an IT
- 17 thing.
- Q. Do you have an understanding like
- 19 physically what would happen? In other words, a
- 20 pharmacist at a retail pharmacy would type an
- 21 order in. And then how would that work in terms
- 22 of what would happen at the warehouse and then how
- 23 would the controlled substances or other
- ²⁴ prescription drugs then eventually get back to the
- ²⁵ pharmacy and eventually be dispensed?

- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: My knowledge of what goes
- ³ on is at the retail pharmacy level. How they
- ⁴ place an order, yes, I'm aware of that. They can
- ⁵ do that in an electronic way. A pharmacist
- ⁶ oversees it before they send it. And then we
- ⁷ receive the order the next morning, check it in.
- 8 The check-in procedure is part of what we operate,
- ⁹ what we owned.
- 10 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Who would you receive the order from?
- 12 A. It would get delivered on a McKesson
- 13 truck.
- Q. Do you know what would happen between
- 15 the time the order was entered and then McKesson
- ¹⁶ would deliver the order to the retail pharmacy?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: No. I'm not familiar with
- 19 that area.
- 20 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Did that process ever change between
- 22 2007 and 2014, to your knowledge?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. I'm
- 25 not familiar with that part of the business.

Page 53

- MR. HUDSON: We've been going about an
- ² hour. Let's take a quick break.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 8:58 we're off the
- ⁴ video record.
- 5 (Recess from 8:58 a.m. to 9:19 a.m.)
- 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 9:19 we're on the
- ⁷ video record.
- 8 (HBC-Mollica Exhibit 1 was marked.)
- ⁹ BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Mr. Mollica, I'm going to hand you what
- ¹¹ we've marked as Exhibit 1, and I'll represent to
- 12 you that Exhibit 1 is entitled HBC Service
- 13 Company's Responses to Plaintiffs' (First) Set of
- 14 Combined Discovery Requests.
- Do you see that at the top?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. I'm going to focus specifically on
- page 8, No. 2. It says, "Please produce each of
- 19 your suspicious order monitoring system (SOMS)
- policies and procedures since January 1, 2006 and
- 21 identify the Bates-stamp range for each. Please
- 22 identify the effective dates each was in force and
- 23 effect."
- Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 (HBC-Mollica Exhibit 2 was marked.)
- ² BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Then we turn to the next page. On page
- 4 9 then there's some Bates labels there.
- 5 I'll hand you what I've marked as Exhibit 2,
- 6 and I'll represent to you that Exhibit 2 is a
- ⁷ compilation document that we put together of the
- ⁸ Bates labels that are listed here on Exhibit 1 on
- ⁹ page 9.
- So these are the policies and procedures that
- 11 have been identified. Do you see that?
- A. Sorry. I'm not sure of the Bates label.
- Q. No problem. Bates labels on the bottom
- 14 right-hand corner of the document is just an
- 15 identifying number. So if you see the identifying
- ¹⁶ number there matches up with the ending 38 and 39
- on the bottom right. 38 and 39. If you keep
- 18 going back through, the next one is 86 and 87 and
- 19 so on and so forth.
- My question is just simply for Exhibit 2, are
- 21 any of those written policies, do they look
- ²² familiar to you?
- A. No. I'm not familiar. I've not seen
- ²⁴ these policies.
- Q. Do you have any knowledge about any of

- ¹ later in time.
- 2 A. Okay.
- Q. Can we agree that by 8/1/14, you had

Page 56

Page 57

- 4 left Giant Eagle?
- 5 A. Yes.

12

- Q. Are you aware of any policies or
- 7 procedures that existed during the time you were
- 8 at Giant Eagle specifically from 2009 to 2014
- ⁹ relating to a suspicious order monitoring system?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Do you
- .1 mean written policies or procedures or generally?
 - MR. HUDSON: Either.
- THE WITNESS: I'm familiar with many --
- suspicious monitoring to me could encompass a wide
- range of everything that happens from the time you
- 6 distribute all the way to dispensing. So, yes,
- 17 I'm aware of many policies in terms of how we
- control diversion and suspicious monitoring.
- 19 My definition of suspicious monitoring would
- 20 include things like training the pharmacist,
- 21 making sure that we have policies and procedures
- 22 in place for how we place orders, keeping proper
- 23 inventory and virtual inventory practices and
- ²⁴ doing proper follow-ups, auditing, maintaining our
- ²⁵ control boxes, that type of thing.

Page 55

- 1 these policies?
- 2 A. You said the ones on -- I'm sorry -- 86
- 3 as well you're asking?
- 4 Q. Yeah. I'm actually focused mainly on
- ⁵ the first one, which is on Bates ending 38 and 39.
- 6 A. I mean, it sounds reasonable, but I'm
- 7 not familiar with these directly. I've never seen
- 8 these before.
- 9 Q. Other than today, have you ever read
- 10 these policies?
- 11 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- Q. Do you know if anyone at the retail
- 13 pharmacy level would have been provided with these
- 14 policies?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of a
- 17 situation where a retail pharmacist would be in a
- ¹⁸ warehouse procedure manual.
- 19 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. If you look at the dates of each of
- 21 these policies, and to speed it up, I'll represent
- 22 to you that the effective date of the first policy
- 23 is 8/1/14. Do you see that?
- A. Okay. I see it.
- Q. And then as you go back, the dates get

- ¹ BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. So the first request is please produce
- ³ each of your suspicious order monitoring system
- ⁴ policies and procedures since January 1, 2006 and
- ⁵ identify the Bates-stamp range for each.
- 6 Did I read that right?
- A. I'm sorry. Where are you at again?
- 8 BY MR. HUDSON:
- ⁹ Q. Back to the second question. It
- ¹⁰ actually has a highlight.
- A. Thank you. I'm sorry. What's your
- 12 question again?

- Q. For that question specifically.
- A. What is your question? I'm not sure
- ¹⁵ what you're asking me.
- Q. Are you saying that other than what's
- 17 listed in Exhibit 2, you believe there's other
- written policies and procedures since January 1,
- 2006 that would be responsive to that question or that request?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Misstates his testimony.
- THE WITNESS: I don't even understand
- what number two is asking for. What I can say is
- ²⁵ we have plenty of policies and procedures that I'm

¹ aware of in terms of preventing diversion.

² BY MR. HUDSON:

O. You've said that a couple of times now. ⁴ Can you be more specific on what those written policies were?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Misstates ⁷ his testimony. And I'd ask for clarification on 8 this, Ty. I don't want you misleading the ⁹ witness. He never said anything about written 10 policies either in his testimony and you never said it in your prior question that prompted that ¹² testimony.

13 MR. HUDSON: "Objection. Form." 14 MR. KOBRIN: No. I think when you're ¹⁵ misleading him, I don't want to just say ¹⁶ "Objection. Form" because I don't want any testimony that's going to misrepresent the record.

MR. HUDSON: Well, it's stepping beyond what's proper. You'll get a chance to ask ²⁰ redirect, Josh, if you want.

MR. KOBRIN: I don't agree. I think ²² it's proper in that circumstance. I've held back. 23 But I don't want him to be confused by your

²⁴ question. MR. HUDSON: I'm sure you'll get a

1 requirements being held based on legal

² requirements, et cetera.

Q. Let's just, if we could, make a list of 4 these policies. So the first that I heard was

good decisions on dispensing.

A. We supported pharmacists' right to make professional judgments as to what was proper and

Page 60

Page 61

improper in terms of dispensing, made sure the

pharmacists knew that they had the right, final

right of decision making when it came to

dispensing.

12 We had our controlled substance procedures 13 that we made sure was distributed. We had audit procedures that were done quarterly and documented

in accordance with what our procedures and

policies were at the time. We had practices in

terms of document retention and what needed to be

done in terms of proper ordering, training,

training on -- we had manuals and references

20 regarding not only the DEA, but Pharmacy Act and

21 fraud, waste and abuse policies, CBTs, annual

22 meetings with a lot of discussions of what the

23 obligation of pharmacists were and helped in any

24 way. 25

We've had DEA inspections which were never --

Page 59

¹ chance to ask him questions, and you can clarify

² at that time if you think there's something that's

³ misleading.

10

16

⁴ BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. You testified, sir, that it's your ⁶ belief that there were policies relating to the ⁷ monitoring of suspicious orders. Did I get that 8 right? 9

A. No. What I'm saying is --MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

11 THE WITNESS: -- I'm aware of policies 12 that are designed to prevent diversion, and there are many of them that we had in terms of the practice of pharmacy.

15 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. And were those written policies?

17 A. We had written procedures when it came ¹⁸ to controlled substances, what our stances were.

19 We had many nonwritten reinforcements that

20 pharmacists were in a position to make good

²¹ decisions in terms of dispensing practices and we

²² would honor those decisions.

We had written policies in terms of who 23 ²⁴ handled controlled substances and training

procedures for technicians and documentation

1 never got any feedback that we weren't doing

² anything other than what was required from us from

³ a legal perspective.

Q. So I just want to make sure I've got an

exhaustive list. One was good decisions on

dispensing. Then you said you gave pharmacists or

professionals the ability to make professional

judgments on dispensing controlled substances?

9 A. Yeah, supported by the Pharmacy Act, 10 ves.

11 O. And then the second one was controlled 12 substance procedures?

A. Again, Giant Eagle had a controlled substance policy that's part of the control box,

and the company made sure that we communicated what those procedures are to the pharmacy.

17 Q. And what were those policies?

18 A. I can't recite them. It was part of the 19 control box.

20 Q. When you say control box, what do you 21 mean by that?

A. There was a physical box in every

pharmacy that was a single place to look for procedures, documents, records, that type of

25 thing. We called it the control box.

- Q. It was a control box for Schedule II controlled substances?
- A. Yes. It had other information in there.
 It was all controls, but Schedule II was part of
- that.
- Q. Do you know if the control box -- if
 Schedule III controlled substances would be
 contained in the control box?
 - A. Well, just general --
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. I don't think there's specific -- like DEA manuals

- aren't specific just to that. They're all
- 14 inclusive of the thing.
- 15 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. So all controlled substances would be in the control box?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Information regarding,
- ²⁰ yeah.

9

- 21 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Information regarding controlled
- ²³ substances. So it's not like a physical box that
- ²⁴ you put certain controlled substances into?
- A. Oh, no, no. I'm talking about

- Page 64
 - At one time I want to say that there was records of the actual audits we would do monthly,
 - ³ but that moved over to an electronic format, and I
 - ⁴ can't recall if that was part of the box after
 - ⁵ that.
 - Q. The third thing I had was audit
 - ⁷ procedures. So if you could, just describe for me
 - 8 what the audit procedures were.
 - A. First of all, the state and local
- 10 authorities would do audits at will. In terms of
- 11 ours, we did quarterly audits that were all
- ¹² inclusive of operational practice. That included
- 13 making sure that the box was in order and the
- 14 things that needed to be there were in there.
- Every month we would audit every controlled substance. Annually we would do a hand count of
- every controlled substance in the pharmacy. We
- would do routine audits, virtual inventory logs,
- 19 lots of stuff like that.
- Q. So for those audits of controlled
- 21 substances, would you do a physical count of each
- 22 prescription --
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 24 BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. -- or each bottle? Describe for me what

Page 65

Page 63

- ¹ documentation here. No. Controlled substances,
- ² Schedule IIs, were kept in lock and key, in safes.
- ³ III through Vs would be distributed in the
- ⁴ pharmacy in a proper fashion in accordance with
- ⁵ the law.
- ⁶ Q. The control box then would be a box that
- 7 would have policies or procedures in it?
- A. Policies, procedures, the records in
- ⁹ terms of ordering and dispensing, all the
- 10 reference materials, fraud, waste and abuse, the
- 11 technician certifications, those types of things.
- Q. And would there be a control box that would be contained at each Giant Eagle retail
- 14 pharmacy?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And would the control box contain the same basic set of -- would you call them policies
- 18 or procedures or how would you describe them?
 - A. Both.

19

- Q. Would there be things beyond just
- ²¹ policies and procedures in the control box?
- A. I don't recall exactly what was in each tab of the box, but things like the order records.
- ²⁴ If you had CIIs, those order records would be
- ²⁵ maintained, or dispensing logs associated with it.

- ¹ that procedure looked like.
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Which procedure?
- ⁴ BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. The audit procedure.
- 6 A. Which one?
- O. For reviewing inventory.
- 8 A. Every month there was a requirement to
- ⁹ hand count every CII narcotic, record that against
- o what was dispensed.
- ¹ Q. Was the process the same for Schedule II
- $^{12}\,$ controlled substances versus Schedule III
- ³ controlled substances?
- 4 A. Schedule IIIs through Vs, there was -- I
- believe the state requires it every two years. We
 - ⁶ did it annually.
 - ⁷ Q. So was the monthly audit procedure
- ¹⁸ focused exclusively on the Schedule II controlled
- 19 substances?
- A. That particular procedure was about
- 21 control IIs, yes.
 - Q. So there was not a monthly audit
- ²³ procedure that applied to Schedule III controlled
- 24 substances?

25

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

1

3

Page 66

THE WITNESS: First of all, there was a daily audit of all controls. We had a virtual

³ log. Every pharmacist got the chance to see the

⁴ virtual inventory dispensings and what was being

⁵ ordered on a nightly basis.

Records were printed every night in terms of

⁷ what was dispensed on the controlled substance.

8 I'm speaking specifically to a required audit

⁹ which was a monthly procedure, not day in/day out

10 operating procedures.

There was daily monitoring of who could touch

12 the safe, who could count. If anything, I think

13 we always erred on the side do more rather than

14 less when it came to procedures with controlled

15 substances.

16 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Were Schedule IIIs though in the vault?

A. I don't recall Schedule IIIs being in

¹⁹ the vault. Actually, Vicodin or hydrocodone

²⁰ products, at one time I believe we made -- we

21 treated them with the same control II substance

²² policy. I don't recall the dates around that, but

23 I do recall moving the hydrocodone combination

24 products into the safe or at least a portion of

25 those. I don't recall the specifics of that.

ge 66

² A. Not to my knowledge for reselling.

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

O. How about for reselling?

THE WITNESS: I don't know what, you

⁵ know -- I wouldn't be able to say.

BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Approximately how many times did

8 pharmacists divert prescription drugs for their

⁹ own personal use?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

11 THE WITNESS: It was rare. I couldn't

12 tell you how many times. It was rare, but it

³ happens in pharmacy.

14 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Anything more specific you can say? In other words, any instances that you specifically

17 recall?

22

A. We caught these things because we had

⁹ good controls in place.

Q. You caught them before they happened or

²¹ you caught them after they happened?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't know that you can

²⁴ catch something before it happens. But when

something would pop up on a report or something

Page 67

MR. KOBRIN: When you say vault, do you

² mean the safe at the pharmacy, Ty?

3 MR. HUDSON: Yes.

4 THE WITNESS: That's what I'm assuming

⁵ you mean.

6 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Do you know anything more specific about

8 when the hydrocodone products were in part treated

⁹ as Schedule IIs timeframe?

A. I wasn't in that field anymore when that

11 happened. That happened after I not just left

12 Giant Eagle, but I was out of that type of

13 industry.

10

Q. So that was after you had left Giant

15 Eagle?

A. Oh, yeah, yeah. It wasn't during my

17 time there. But once again, we empowered the

18 pharmacists to put whatever process that they

wanted based on their situations. If the

20 pharmacists wanted to keep something in the safe,

21 they did.

Q. Are you aware of any pharmacists who

23 themselves diverted prescription drugs?

A. For personal use, yes, I'm aware of

²⁵ those types of situations.

Page 69

Page 68

¹ would be out of whack in terms of -- the ordering

² system would show that, you know, you have an

³ order and no dispensing.

Whether it was on a routine audit of the

⁵ shelf, whether it was someone self reporting that

⁶ someone is violating a policy into a store, we

⁷ would do investigations of anything that had --

⁸ that looked unusual to see if it was diversion.

⁹ BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. How many investigations would you say

11 Giant Eagle conducted between 2007 and 2014 to

12 investigate potential personal diversion by

¹³ pharmacists?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I couldn't say. Not a

lot, but when needed. If there was a trigger, we

¹⁷ investigated. That I can say every time. But the

¹⁸ number, I wouldn't be able to tell you that.

19 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Do you know if it's more or less than a

²¹ hundred?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I honestly couldn't tell

you that.

22

25 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Any ballpark range you can give? In trying to get an understanding.

³ Between 2007 and 2014, could you say more or less

4 than a thousand times it happened, 10,000? Is

⁵ there some outer range?

6 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. He said it 7 was rare.

THE WITNESS: I was just going to say

⁹ the same thing. It was infrequent. An exact

 $^{\rm 10}\,$ number I couldn't tell you. The range would be a

11 very small range.

12 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Do you have some sense of the total dosage units of, for example, hydrocodone

15 combination products that Giant Eagle dispensed in

16 any given year?

18

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No, I don't. I didn't

¹⁹ track specific hydrocodone only. There was

²⁰ reporting in terms of controlled substance overall

21 usage, but I couldn't -- I couldn't be specific

22 about the number.

23 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Could you be just general? In other

²⁵ words, would you say it's hundreds of millions of

¹ specific to a controlled substance.

Q. Were prescription drugs -- would you

³ describe them as one of the more profitable

⁴ portions of the Giant Eagle business or less

⁵ profitable? How would you describe that?

6 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. No

7 foundation.

THE WITNESS: I couldn't describe them

⁹ in any way. Every drug has its own cost and sales

base. We never tracked it down to that level.

11 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Within Giant Eagle were there ever any

efforts to try to increase the number of

14 prescriptions being written at Giant Eagle retail

¹⁵ pharmacies?

16

A. Being written, no.

Q. Being filled. I'm sorry.

A. When you're in a retail model, what you

19 try to do, you try to capture more lives into your

²⁰ business model with marketing, et cetera, but

21 nothing ever specific to -- we didn't create

22 lives. We don't write the prescriptions.

23 Obtaining more market share obviously is a goal of

²⁴ any business.

Q. Were there ever efforts to try to

Page 71

¹ dosage units or tens of millions dosage units

² nationwide through all the Giant Eagle stores?

3 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No. I know that our

⁵ overall controlled substances dispensing was a

⁶ very minor portion of our overall dispensing.

⁷ BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. In terms of volume or profits?

9 A. Volume. I have no idea what the

10 relationship to profit is on those. We didn't

11 track it that way.

Q. Did you ever have any responsibilities

13 where you were responsible for looking on the

14 revenue side of how prescriptions of controlled

¹⁵ substances impact the overall revenue of the

¹⁶ pharmacies?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Giant Eagle didn't have a

19 requirement to track controlled substance revenue,

20 no.

17

22

8

21 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Prescriptions in general?

A. I'm in the pharmacy business, so yes.

²⁴ Part of that is making sure that we dispense and

²⁵ we're a profitable company, but never something

Page 73

1 increase the number of prescriptions being filled

² at Giant Eagle retail pharmacies?

A. We had efforts to draw more customers

⁴ into our stores to get their prescription needs

⁵ filled.

10

11

22

6 Q. What about any efforts by Giant Eagle to

7 form relationships with pain management clinics or

8 other organizations that were writing

⁹ prescriptions for controlled substances?

A. No.

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No. We never targeted any

³ particular segment of writing or pharmaceutical

4 practice.

15 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. You never heard of or are aware of any

efforts by Giant Eagle to either try to form

18 relationships with places like the Cleveland

Clinic or other places where prescriptions are

20 being dispensed -- I'm sorry -- prescriptions are

21 being written?

A. Having relationships with -- good

relationships with any kind of medical practice,

but not -- I'm not aware of for a specific -- to a

²⁵ particular entity or anything like that, no.

- 1 Communities all have their own, you know, sources
- ² of which medical practices will write and I'm sure
- ³ there's local relationships just because you do so
- 4 much business with them when they call. They have
- ⁵ relationships that way, but not formal
- 6 relationships with particular areas that write
- ⁷ prescriptions, not that I can recall, nothing like
- 8 that.
- 9 Q. Describe for me what you mean by
- 10 relationships that exist over time.
- 11 A. Sure. When you're in a pharmacy, you
- 12 could get the same doctors' offices call 20 times
- 13 with calling in prescriptions. You get to know
- ¹⁴ who those folks are and their voices, that type of
- 15 thing. But not formal business relationships.
- 16 Q. Was there any effort by Giant Eagle to
- 17 try to track the volumes of prescriptions being
- ¹⁸ written by different entities that were, for lack
- 19 of a better phrase, repeat players?
- 20 A. Oh, no, no, that I'm aware of.
- 21 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Wait until
- 22 he finishes asking his question. Then answer.
- 23 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. If we go back to the list just of
- policies or procedures that we were talking about,

- ¹ hand count Schedule IIIs, but every time you
- ² dispense, you have to make sure that the virtual
- inventory matched what was on the shelf.
- Q. Well, sir, if every time you dispensed
- you had to make sure that the virtual inventory
- 6 matched what was the shelf, why would you bother
- with doing a monthly?
 - A. Because it's a required procedure.
 - Q. In your mind, was it necessary?
 - A. It's a required procedure, so that makes
- 11 it necessary.
- 12 O. Other than what you talked about, just
- the process of there being logs that tracked
- Schedule IIIs when they were being dispensed, any
- specific audit procedures that related to those?
- 16 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Every time you pull one of
- them off the shelf, you have an opportunity to
- check it against a virtual inventory balance. So
- every time you touch them, you got the opportunity
- to do that.
- BY MR. HUDSON:
- 23 Q. What do you mean by virtual inventory
- ²⁴ balance? The inventory in the warehouse, the
- inventory in the pharmacy?

Page 75

13

- 1 we talked now about audit procedures; right?
- 2 A. Yeah.

8

- Q. And just so the record is clear, am I
- ⁴ correct that the monthly audit procedure that you
- described did not relate to Schedule III
- controlled substances?
 - A. No. I talked --
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I talked about many
- procedures inclusive of a monthly physical count
- 11 of Schedule IIs. There were daily audits,
- 12 minute-by-minute audits of these. Every time you
- 13 dispense one, you're basically checking it out
- ¹⁴ versus -- you have an opportunity to check it
- ¹⁵ against the virtual inventory.
 - In my definition, you would have audits of
- ¹⁷ these every time you touched them. But the
- 18 monthly audit you're referring to is specific
- ¹⁹ about a requirement to hand count.
- 20 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. That's what I'm focused on, is the
- 22 monthly audit procedure that you talked about.
- 23 That did not apply to Schedule IIs, correct,
- 24 Schedule IIIs; correct?
- 25 A. There wasn't a monthly requirement to

A. My answers will always be about what

- ² happens inside the pharmacy. When you start a
- prescription and you select a prescription in the
- 4 operating system, it gives you -- it will say the
- inventory should have X.
- When you pull it out, every time you touch
- it, you'll be able to see what you have on the
- shelf versus what it says you should have on the
- shelf. So you do that every time you touch it.
- Q. And that would be a control focused on
- avoiding theft? In other words, is that the point
- of having that inventory control in place?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Well, not specific to
- theft. It's about the best pharmacy practices we
- could put into place. We had a wide range of
- controls. Although I can't speak specific to what
- happens before it gets to the pharmacy, we did
- have an integrated system of making sure that we
- had many, many checkpoints -- we were all the same
- company -- to make sure that a controlled
- substance from start to finish was being handled
- 23 in the most appropriate way to prevent diversion.
- 24 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 25 Q. What specifically then occurred at the

1 warehouse?

- A. I can't speak to what specifically
- ³ occurred at the warehouse.
- Q. So in terms of integration, it wasn't so
- ⁵ integrated that you understood what was going on
- at the warehouse; right?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It was integrated in the
- way that we had coordination meaning that if there
- 10 was a question that the warehouse had, all they
- 11 had to do was pick up a phone and call us.
- 12 The products that were shipped from the
- 13 warehouse sat on our shelves. So we had chain of
- custody the entire way through within the same
- organization.
- 16 We had multiple steps of policies and
- procedures including training, how to accept
- 18 medications, how to dispense them, virtual
- 19 inventories, monthly audits, daily dispensing
- ²⁰ records and logs, audits at the checkpoints when
- 21 you fill the prescription, quarterly audits that
- 22 the supervisors did, random checks, physical
- 23 inventories that we had in place, DEA and state
- ²⁴ audits.
- 25 We had multiple, multiple integrated

Page 81

- 1 of what needed to happen and how it impacted the
- ² part of the business, yes, we were integrated in
- ³ that way.
- ⁴ BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. What were the policies and procedures
- then at the warehouse?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Asked and
- answered.
- THE WITNESS: I can't tell you what
- policies and procedures at the warehouse
- specifically are.
- 12 BY MR. HUDSON:
 - O. What did the warehouse do to monitor orders to determine orders of unusual size?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Asked and 16 answered.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I know the warehouse
- had -- they would have a report that if they had
- questions as to why an order was placed, they
- could pick up the phone and call, and we would be
- 21 required to give a response that was satisfactory
- 22 to satisfy the inquiry.
- 23 BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. And how many times did that happen?
- 25 A. When needed.

Page 79

24

1

2

12

- ¹ checkpoints.
- ² BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. You keep talking about integrated, but
- ⁴ all of those things are things that you've
- ⁵ testified happened at the retail pharmacy level;
- 6 correct?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 8 THE WITNESS: What I'm saying is if you
- 9 ask me specific questions of policies and
- 10 procedures for the warehouse, I didn't touch that
- part of the business, but that doesn't mean it's
- 12 not integrated in the way that we as one company
- ¹³ are just basically -- that we're distributing to
- ¹⁴ ourselves. So by definition, to me that's
- ¹⁵ integrated to begin with.
- 16 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 17 Q. But my point is not only did you not
- 18 touch the policies and procedures at the
- ¹⁹ warehouse, but as you sit here today, you don't
- even know what they were; right?
- 21 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 22 THE WITNESS: What I don't know is what
- 23 the specific legal requirements are for warehouse
- ²⁴ distribution. What I do know is when the
- ²⁵ organization had policies and procedures in terms

- Q. How many times was it needed?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: When needed. I don't
- 4 track how many times I picked up the phone and
- called somebody.
- ⁶ BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Is there any documentation though that I
- can look to from the warehouse level that would
- tell me the number of calls that were made from
- the warehouse to any retail pharmacy during any
- time period?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know of any legal
- requirement to keep a log of those types of
- things. It would be silly when you're the same
- company. We were distributing to ourselves. So
- the drugs were going to known pharmacies sitting
- 19 If there was a question or something, you had 20 to look no further than the shelf of the pharmacy.
- BY MR. HUDSON:
- 22 Q. For example, a phoney prescription, I
- mean phoney prescriptions, how do you avoid that
- ²⁴ happening?

25

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

Page 82 THE WITNESS: The pharmacist ¹ Exhibit 3. ² scrutinized. There's plenty of -- they're trained MR. KOBRIN: Do you have a copy for us ³ to understand what legal requirements are for a or should we share? ⁴ prescription, is the doctor's signature familiar, MR. HUDSON: Yeah. You can just look up ⁵ did they put their DEA number on it. They would ⁵ there. 6 call and verify with physicians if there was any MR. KOBRIN: Okay. I want to be sure we ⁷ question. have the whole document. There was records of what the patient BY MR. HUDSON: ⁹ dispensing was. The states had systems in place. Q. Mr. Mollica, if you look here at what 10 I can't remember the names of these systems. Ohio we've marked as Exhibit 3, is this an example or a 11 and Pennsylvania had systems in place that were ¹¹ description, I guess, of the OARRS requirement 12 able to track dispensing even outside of the 12 that was enacted into law in Ohio? 13 pharmacy that would send flags. 13 A. I'm seeing this document for the first ¹⁴ time. So I -- it looks to be an example of that. 14 BY MR. HUDSON: 15 Q. Is that the OARRS system? I'm not familiar with this particular document. 16 A. Yes. Thank you. The OARRS system is an Q. Are you familiar with OARRS at all? ¹⁷ example of that. Even our operating system would A. Yeah. I'm familiar with what OARRS are. 18 flag if something was being filled at a high The specific -- the detailed requirements I'm not ¹⁹ frequency, not to mention insurance companies that intimate with. ²⁰ themselves. The payers would actually block, 20 Q. What generally is OARRS? 21 those types of things, if a prescription was 21 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Asked and ²² filled too soon. 22 answered. 23 23 Q. Where could I go, for example, within THE WITNESS: It's a database of 24 the company to look at any documentation to try to ²⁴ collecting dispensing across pharmacies for the ²⁵ figure out what actions were taken to comply, for state. Page 83 Page 85 ¹ example, with OARRS? 1 BY MR. HUDSON: 2 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Q. And that became a requirement under Ohio THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with 3 law? ⁴ where specifically you would look for that. MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. THE WITNESS: That's my understanding, ⁵ BY MR. HUDSON: Q. Well, how do they figure out whether you 6 yes. were complying with OARRS or not? 7 (HBC-Mollica Exhibit 4 was marked.) 8 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. BY MR. HUDSON: 9 Q. If we look to -- I'm going to hand you, THE WITNESS: I think the states have ¹⁰ auditing agencies to make sure we were complying. again, my copy -- I apologize, I don't have extra 11 I can't speak to the specifics of how OARRS 11 copies -- of what I've marked as Exhibit 4. And ¹² worked, but I know they track our compliance 12 this has an internal number of 1186. 13 ¹³ electronically. If you could, just ignore the highlighting on 14 BY MR. HUDSON: that copy. We'll get that switched out. My focus 15 Q. Tell me, if you could, what you know is on the bottom half. 16 ¹⁶ about OARRS. Who is James Cornwell? 17 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. 17 A. He was an employee at Giant Eagle. He 18 THE WITNESS: Just general that the 18 had -- he worked in an IT style function. state tracks a log of dispensing of controlled 19 Q. And do you see here he's written an substances and extracts that information in some 20 email on January 3, 2014? ²¹ kind of IT, electronic way, from pharmacies. 21 A. Yes.

22

25

24 BY MR. HUDSON:

MR. HUDSON: Let's pull up 1187.

(HBC-Mollica Exhibit 3 was marked.)

22

23

25

Q. And the subject line is Ohio State Board

23 Of Pharmacy - November 2013 - Change to OARRS

Report - "80 MED" threshold scoring system.

Do you see that?

Page 86 Page 88

- A. I do.
- Q. And then within his email, he said,
- ³ "Concerning the warehouse side of the reporting, I
- ⁴ did some digging and I found we're in compliances
- ⁵ in ARCOS. They require CII, CIII and selected
- ⁶ CIVs. However, we're not in compliance with
- 7 OARRS."
- Do you see that?
- 9 A. I see that.
- 10 MR. KOBRIN: Can he read the whole
- 11 email? Do you mind, Ty?
- 12 MR. HUDSON: Sure. Take your time.
- 13 (Witness reviewed the exhibit.)
- 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. I've read it.
- 15 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 16 Q. My question is just on this specific
- ¹⁷ email. Would you agree that in this email,
- ¹⁸ Mr. Cornwell is indicating that the organization
- ¹⁹ was not in compliance with OARRS?
- A. No. I would say, having read the
- 21 document, that he's saying there's a question on
- ²² one particular product in terms of the OARRS
- ²³ report for compliance.
- Q. But would you agree at least in one
- ²⁵ respect, he's saying that the organization is not

- ¹ what the requirement is.
- Q. In the next paragraph, he says, "I feel
- that we need to make adjustments and supply an
- additional report"; right?
- A. But that's actually what my point is.
- ⁶ He said I feel we need to. I don't know that
- that's based on fact or not.
 - Q. He does there recommend a specific
- course of action, right, to supply an additional
- report?
- 11 A. That's what I see.
- 12 Q. Do you know whether or not Giant Eagle
 - ever supplied an additional report?
- 14 A. I don't know. I wasn't part of this
 - email chain in that particular situation.
- 16 Q. Do you know if any actions were taken by
- Giant Eagle to attempt to address these new OARRS
- changes?
- 19 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 20 THE WITNESS: I don't know the specifics
- of this particular piece, but my knowledge of
- Giant Eagle is whatever is required was going to
- 23 be done.
- 24 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. And would that be true of the entire

Page 87

- ¹ in compliance with OARRS?
- A. I can acknowledge that he says in this
- ³ email -- he makes a statement, but I don't know
- 4 that it's a factual statement or not. That's his
- 5 statement.
- Q. But this is at least one example of a
- ⁷ Giant Eagle employee indicating that the
- organization was not in compliance with OARRS, at
- 9 least in one respect; is that fair?
- 10 A. I think there's a question on it, and he
- wouldn't be in a position to really know that or
- 12 not, being an IT person.
- Q. So in your view, he's asking a question
- 14 in this email?
- 15 A. Yeah.
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I think he's phrasing a
- 18 question.

16

- 19 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. When he wrote, "However, we are not in
- 21 compliance with OARRS," you interpret that as him
- 22 asking a question?
- 23 A. I'm interpreting it as I don't know if

Golkow Litigation Services

- ²⁴ he can say that as a factual statement or not. I
- ²⁵ don't know what Jim Cornwell's actual knowledge of

- 1 company in all respects?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That's my belief.
- 4 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. So during the entire time at the
- company, you can't think of one time where there
- weren't actions taken to comply with the law?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge, no.
- I don't recall ever a time that we didn't comply
 - with the law.
- BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. So there were never pharmacists who ever
- took actions that didn't comply with the law?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Now that I understand your
- question, has an individual broken a law before?
- I can't speak to the specifics of that, but I know
- the company stance is we follow all procedures. I
- feel confident that that's how we do things.
- (HBC-Mollica Exhibit 5 was marked.)
- 22 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 23 Q. Let me hand you what I've marked as 24 Exhibit 5.
 - MR. HUDSON: This one I do have copies

Page 90 1 of. ¹ City of Cleveland, County of Summit, County of ² Cuyahoga and any other jurisdiction relevant to ² BY MR. HUDSON: ³ this Track One case. I'm not sure how this is Q. Mr. Mollica, my questions are going to 4 be focused on pages 4, 5, 6 and 7 of this ⁴ relevant. ⁵ document. (Witness reviewed the exhibit.) 6 THE WITNESS: I've read it. A. Are these numbers or numbered? Q. No, I'm sorry, I don't believe the pages BY MR. HUDSON: 8 are numbered. Q. Mr. Mollica, have you had a chance to A. You said 4? review the settlement agreement between Giant 10 Q. Yeah, where it says Settlement Agreement Eagle and the State Board of Pharmacy? 11 with the State Board of Pharmacy. Then underneath A. The four pages that start on page 4 and 12 that, Giant Eagle #4098. end with Kelly Chappell's signature, yes. 13 13 Q. Yes. If you see on the first page Do you agree or do you see at the front that 14 these are minutes of the December 5 through 7, there, I guess a third of the way down on page 4, ¹⁵ 2011 meeting of the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy? it starts out R2012-102 Settlement Agreement with 16 A. Yes. ¹⁶ the State Board of Pharmacy. 17 17 Q. And Giant Eagle operated retail A. Yes. pharmacies in Ohio; correct? Q. And before coming here today, were you 19 A. Yes. aware that Giant Eagle pharmacy entered into a settlement agreement with the State Board of 20 Q. And do you know Kelly Chappell? 21 A. I'm familiar who she is. Pharmacy in Ohio? 22 Q. Who is Kelly Chappell? 22 A. Yes. 23 A. A pharmacist. 23 MR. KOBRIN: Objection. Q. And was she a pharmacist at a Giant BY MR. HUDSON: ²⁵ Eagle pharmacy in Ohio? Q. You were aware of this particular Page 91 Page 93 A. Yes. 1 agreement? 1 Q. And do you see on the seventh page of A. I don't know the details of the ³ this document -- I apologize it's two sided -- do agreement, but I'm aware there was a situation in ⁴ you see the signature of Kelly Chappell there 4 that particular location and that we complied with ⁵ dated 11/11/2011? whatever the state board pieces were. A. I don't see a signature, no. I'm sorry. MR. KOBRIN: Ty, do you consent to our Q. No problem. standing objection on this being outside the 8 A. I see a line where it has her name on jurisdiction? 9 ⁹ it, yes. MR. HUDSON: Yes. 10 Q. You see the S? 10 BY MR. HUDSON: 11 A. Yes. Thank you. Q. Mr. Mollica, you've testified to your 12 Q. So it's an electronic signature. 12 knowledge, Giant Eagle always complied with the law; right? 13 A. Understood. MR. KOBRIN: Ty, do you know if it's A. That's correct. ¹⁵ related to the jurisdictional question? Q. But this would be an example where the 16 MR. HUDSON: I don't. State of Ohio Board of Pharmacy charged Giant 17 BY MR. HUDSON: Eagle with not complying with the law; correct?

- Q. If you could, just take a moment to look
- 19 at the section of these minutes that start
- 20 Settlement Agreement with the State Board of
- 21 Pharmacy, Docket Number D-110714-197, that page
- 22 through the page which Ms. Chappell has signed.
- 23 MR. KOBRIN: I have a standing objection
- ²⁴ to this because I think this incident and
- ²⁵ everything related to it occurred outside of the

- 18 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: That's actually not how
- ²⁰ I'm reading it, no.
- BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. You don't read this as the State of Ohio
- ²³ accusing Giant Eagle Pharmacy of not complying
- with the law?
- 25 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Could you direct me to a

² particular passage where it says that Giant Eagle

- ³ was compliant with the law?
- 4 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Was not compliant with the law?
- A. Could you direct me to a part there?
 - Q. I'll just walk you through. We'll go
- 8 through numbers two, three.
- 9 Number two says, "Giant Eagle Pharmacy #4098
- 10 did from May 1, 2009 through January 21, 2011 fail
- 11 to provide effective and approved controls and
- 12 procedures to deter and detect theft and diversion
- 13 of dangerous drugs, to wit: The following
- 14 controlled substances and dangerous drugs where
- ¹⁵ stolen from the pharmacy, yet internal control
- ¹⁶ procedures failed to deter or detect the theft.
- 17 The drugs were stolen by an inadequately
- 18 supervised technician who admitted to a board
- 19 agent that the drugs were diverted to her addicted
- 20 husband and also sold to another individual."
- A. I see that line, but I don't see a
- ²² reference that it's not complying with the law.
- 23 It sounds like a criticism of the internal
- ²⁴ control.
- Q. Who has the responsibility to create

- Page
 1 Ohio State Board of Pharmacy. Do you see that?
 - ² That's the one we've been talking about.
 - 3 A. Yes.
 - Q. And if you look there, the named entity
 - ⁵ in the action by the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy
 - 6 is Giant Eagle; right?
 - A. Right. Okay.
 - Q. Now, if we look at Exhibits 6 and 7,
 - 9 those are minutes of the November 2 through 4,
 - 10 2009 Ohio State Board of Pharmacy and then minutes
 - 11 of the January 4 through 6, 2010 Ohio State Board
 - of Pharmacy; right. Do you see that?
 - 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. So if you look at these two -- and,
 - again, I apologize these aren't numbered -- but if
 - 16 you look at these two and you go back one, two,
 - 17 three, four, five, six, the seventh full page.
 - MR. KOBRIN: This is Exhibit 6?
 - MR. HUDSON: This is 7.
 - 20 BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. Sixth page back and actually onto the
 - 22 next, seventh page, right, do you see that?
 - 23 A. Yes.

24

- Q. Do you see there Justin Allan Bracken?
- MR. KOBRIN: Which one?

- ¹ internal controls?
- ² MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- ³ THE WITNESS: Everyone including the
- ⁴ pharmacist, the company, everybody.
- ⁵ BY MR. HUDSON:
- 6 Q. Who is the named party in this action
- ⁷ that's brought by the Ohio State Board of
- 8 Pharmacy?
- 9 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know how to read
- 11 the legal document. Are you referring to Giant
- ¹² Eagle 4098?
- 13 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Yeah. The legal entity is Giant Eagle;
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Yeah.
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 18 (HBC-Mollica Exhibits 6 7 were marked.)
- 19 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. As an example, I'll show you. Let me
- 21 mark a couple more. We can look at those and
- 22 compare those. I hand you what I've marked
- 23 Exhibit 6. We'll mark this one as Exhibit 7.
- If we take a look here, Exhibit 5 are minutes
- of the December 5 through 7, 2011 meeting of the

- Page 97
- THE WITNESS: Would you turn yours so I
- ² can make sure?
- ³ BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. Sure. Exhibit 6.
- A. No. I got that. The page you're on.
- 6 Q. It's just the back, I think, of page 6 I
- ⁷ believe.
- 8 MR. KOBRIN: Six of six?
- 9 MR. HUDSON: Yep, and seven, the back
- ¹⁰ page of it.
- THE WITNESS: These are single pages.
- MR. HUDSON: That's why. It's probably
- 13 14.
- 14 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. If you could just in Exhibit 6, the
- ⁶ pharmacist is Justin Allan Bracken and in Exhibit
- 7, the pharmacist is Myra Joy Hindes. It should
- ¹⁸ be page 12.
- So in Exhibit 6 you see the pharmacist is the
- 20 named party Justin Allan Bracken?
- 21 A. Yes.
- MR. KOBRIN: Is this a Giant Eagle case?
- MR. HUDSON: Yes.
- ²⁴ BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. And then if we look at Exhibit 7, we go

Page 98 Page 100

- ¹ back, I believe, the 13th page.
- 2 MR. KOBRIN: Do you know if these fall
- within the jurisdiction?
- MR. HUDSON: I don't, but I'll give you
- a standing objection.
- 6 MR. KOBRIN: This one is in West
- Virginia?
- MR. HUDSON: She's a pharmacist in West
- Virginia.
- THE WITNESS: Can we have a standing
- objection to the reference pages on Exhibit 7,
- 12 outside the jurisdiction in this case, and also a
- 13 standing objection as to Mollica 6 which I believe
- ¹⁴ is also outside the jurisdictions in this Track
- ¹⁵ One litigation, in Track One of this litigation.
- ¹⁶ So both of these incidents are unrelated to Track
- ¹⁷ One.
- 18 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 19 Q. Mr. Mollica, do you see there on
- 20 Exhibit 7 that the pharmacist is the person named?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And I'll represent to you in both of
- 23 these cases, they relate to incidents that
- occurred at Giant Eagle pharmacies. Okay?
- 25 A. Okay.

- ¹ weren't effective in this case.
- ² BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Right. And as a result, they've brought
- an action against Giant Eagle.
 - A. Yes.

11

- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. They didn't bring this action against
- the individual pharmacist. They brought it
- against Giant Eagle.
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 12 THE WITNESS: As I'm reading this, that
- would be my understanding. I'm not that familiar
- ¹⁴ with legal documents like this.
- 15 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 16 Q. Sure. If we go to the third paragraph,
- ¹⁷ it says, "Giant Eagle Pharmacy #4098 did from
- ¹⁸ May 1, 2009 through January 21, 2011 fail to
- provide effective and approved controls and
- procedures to deter and detect theft and diversion
- of dangerous drugs, to wit: The following
- controlled substances and dangerous drugs were
- 23 stolen from the pharmacy, yet internal control
- ²⁴ procedures failed to deter or detect the theft.
- 25 The drugs were stolen by an inadequately

Page 99

- Q. If we go back to Exhibit 5, you agree
- ² that particular set of instances, the Ohio State
- ³ Board of Pharmacy was charging Giant Eagle with
- 4 having insufficient internal controls; correct?
- A. Yeah. My original question was I just didn't know how to read the document.
- Q. Right. But you agree though now
- ⁸ understanding how to read the document this is an
- ⁹ example of the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy
- 10 accusing Giant Eagle of not complying with the
- 11 law; correct?
- 12 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I just don't agree with
- 14 that statement. There's a -- there's a question
- ¹⁵ about were the practices effective enough, but it
- ¹⁶ doesn't state anything about not following the
- ¹⁷ law.
- 18 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 19 Q. The State of Ohio Board of Pharmacy
- 20 accused Giant Eagle of not having internal control
- 21 procedures to deter or detect the theft of these
- 22 controlled substances; right?
- 23 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 24 THE WITNESS: As I'm reading it, it's
- 25 stating that the controls that we have in place

- Page 101 ¹ supervised technician who admitted to a Board
- ² agent that the drugs were diverted to her addicted
- ³ husband and also sold to another individual."
- Do you see that?
- 5 A. I see that.
- Q. And then down below, it's got a list of
- drugs. Do you see there a series of hydrocodone
- combination products?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Of different strengths; correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Then underneath that, it says, "Such
- conduct is in violation of Rule 4729-9-05 of the
- Ohio Administrative Code."
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And this document shows Giant Eagle
- 17 entering into a settlement of these accusations;
- 18 correct?

16

- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That's how I would
- ²¹ interpret it with the couple paragraphs I read.
- 22 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 23 Q. Sure. If Giant Eagle had the internal
- control procedures in place like you talked about
- 25 that were tracking specifically each order as it

¹ was being filled, why then was Ohio charging them with having inadequate internal controls?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. You're 4 asking him not only to understand what someone

else did, but what another state entity did? THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what

⁷ Ohio's position was on it. We had controls in

place. It looks like in this particular

⁹ situation, an employee who understood our

¹⁰ procedures was violating those procedures.

11 BY MR. HUDSON:

12

1

10

O. Right. In a couple of other instances,

13 the State of Ohio actually sued or brought

¹⁴ charges, I should say, or brought actions against

15 those two specific pharmacists; right?

16 A. I don't know. I honestly don't know.

17 Q. Well, that's Exhibits 6 and 7 that we 18 looked at; right?

19 A. You asked me to look at a name on ²⁰ Exhibit 7.

21 Q. Let's just take a quick look then, if we

could, at Exhibit 6 and go back to that section in

the action against Justin Allan Bracken.

24 MR. KOBRIN: You're consenting to our

25 standing objection on 6 and 7, Ty?

¹ Justin Allan Bracken taking possession of these

² controlled substances; is that fair?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: You can imply that from

⁵ here. I don't know the exact circumstances of how

6 he was caught.

BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. It says audit figures indicate shortages

⁹ of the same drugs Justin Allan Bracken possessed;

10 right?

11 A. Sure. I guess I'm just saying I don't

12 know if that's how he was caught.

Q. If we go back to Exhibit 5, the action

against Giant Eagle, in that particular situation, the State of Ohio Board of Pharmacy is actually

bringing the action against Giant Eagle because

its internal controls failed to detect these

actions being taken; right?

19 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

20 Misrepresents the exhibit.

THE WITNESS: I disagree. Internal

controls would have caught a shortage if someone

was manipulating internal controls. That's my

feeling on what was going on here.

Page 103

MR. HUDSON: Yes.

² BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. If you look there, and we go back to --

4 the action against Justin Allan Bracken, if you go

⁵ back to the fourth page of this particular action,

6 look at paragraph 8.

So in this particular case, this is Ohio

making allegations specifically against Justin

⁹ Allan Bracken. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

11 Q. "That he did from April 30, 2007 to

12 May 20, 2009 with purpose to divert, knowingly

13 obtain or exert control over dangerous drugs, the

14 property of Giant Eagle Pharmacy #4152 beyond the

¹⁵ express or implied consent of the owner, to wit:

16 Justin Allan Bracken possessed a stock container

17 of" -- do you know how to pronounce that drug?

18

A. Temazepam.

19 Q. -- "temazepam, 15-milligram, from his

20 employer. Audit figures indicate shortages of the

21 same drug Justin Allan Bracken possessed."

22 Do you see that?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. If we look in Exhibit 6, this would be

²⁵ an example where the audit figures actually caught

¹ BY MR. HUDSON:

Page 105

Q. Your feeling would be that if somebody

was manipulating the drugs, the internal controls

would catch them each and every time?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No. I'm saying if someone

was manipulating the internal control, the person,

in this case, a pharmacist who we put in charge of

keeping the standard of our internal control, was

10 manipulating that control in this particular

situation, they would -- it created, it looks

12 like, a diversion.

But that's not to imply that there wasn't

internal controls that wouldn't have caught it if

15 someone is manipulating those internal controls.

16 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Do you know why Giant Eagle agreed to 17

settle these accusations by the State of Ohio

Board of Pharmacy?

20 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No. I don't know the

specifics on why.

23 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Do you have any more knowledge about

²⁵ whether Giant Eagle took any additional actions

1 after the settlement to address the accusations by ² Ohio that the internal controls were inadequate?

3 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. It ⁴ misrepresents the evidence.

THE WITNESS: I know that as a result of 6 any incident, and especially ones like this, that ⁷ Giant Eagle took many actions to continually try

8 to improve and build new mousetraps when it comes

⁹ to internal controls and how we measure them.

10 BY MR. HUDSON:

11 Q. Anything more specific you can say about 12 specific actions or steps Giant Eagle took after 13 the settlement with the Ohio Board of Pharmacy in 14 2011?

15 A. Sure. I mean, I can't say that they're ¹⁶ specific to this particular situation, but from ¹⁷ 2011 moving forward, there were things like moving 18 to more virtual inventory and moving away from 19 paper to electronics. There was a company called 20 Supply Logics that Giant Eagle engaged to bring 21 more visibility to this. You could see if an

²⁴ favorably on a report. Heightened awareness in 25 terms of physical audits that we would do, more

22 associate was manipulating an internal control or

23 changing an inventory figure to read more

¹ Limits. And you can see down below in the email

² the question is asked: "Have we ever considered

3 limits on control/narcs like Rite-Aid does?"

Then in response, Mr. Chunderlik wrote, "Hi,

⁵ Matt. When we initially developed the Controlled

⁶ Drug Dispensing Guideline, we tried to avoid

putting limits on the controls to allow the

pharmacists the ability to exercise their

professional responsibilities. This was back when

Anthony Mollica was here and at his direction.

That was three or four years ago...may be

something that we have to revisit."

13 Is Mr. Chunderlik in his email accurate about how the controlled drug dispensing guidelines ¹⁵ worked at the time that you were responsible for 16 those?

A. I have no idea. I don't recall a conversation with George from -- at that time it would have been six to ten years ago. I don't. I don't know if he was accurate or not.

21 O. Were there ever instances where Giant 22 Eagle put limits or controls that prevented pharmacists from dispensing certain quantities of controlled substances?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

Page 107

¹ training.

We always tried to use situations where bad players is an opportunity to reevaluate and come ⁴ up with new procedures to stay ahead of it.

Q. In your mind, did the procedures at ⁶ Giant Eagle become better at detecting diversion over time?

8 A. I would like to think they became ⁹ better. That was always the goal, was to make it ¹⁰ better and better. You don't know what you don't 11 know. But when you see a weakness in an area or 12 if someone can exploit it, you work to try to stop 13 someone from being able to exploit it.

(HBC-Mollica Exhibit 8 was marked.) 15 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Let me hand you what I marked as ¹⁷ Exhibit 8. For the record, Exhibit 8, the ¹⁸ internal number is P-HBC-1331.

19 Mr. Mollica, these emails were obviously written after you left the company, so you haven't probably seen them before would be my guess.

22 A. Years after I left the company it looks 23 like.

24 Q. And my focus is on the middle email from ²⁵ Mr. Chunderlik to others, and the topic is Control

Page 109

THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of a limit ² or control other than they're required by law to

³ follow the safety and dispensing requirements of

4 the drug and to question anything that doesn't

⁵ make sense to them with the physician and then

⁶ document it.

We give pharmacists the ability to make those decisions. We would never put a guideline as to

what they should or should not dispense. It's

their license and the practice of pharmacy that

guides that. We reinforce that at every

12 opportunity we have with pharmacists.

13 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. At the time that you were with Rite-Aid, ¹⁵ do you know whether Rite-Aid had controls or 16 limits?

17 A. I actually --18 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Just be careful. I don't know how much we want to get into your experience at Rite-Aid or any company information with regard to Rite-Aid. So if you think you're getting into anything that is confidential or anything related to Rite-Aid, if you can let us know before you testify to that ²⁵ information.

1 THE WITNESS: In my time as a pharmacy ² supervisor with Rite-Aid, I'm not familiar with

3 any limit on a dispensing practice.

4 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Was there any reason why there couldn't

6 be limits on dispensing certain quantities of

controlled substances?

A. That's hard to say because not every

⁹ patient is the same. You could have a controlled

10 substance that's dispensed for someone who came

11 from the dentist, and you can have controlled

12 substances dispensed to someone who is, you know,

13 chronically ill with cancer. Their dispensing

guidelines are completely different.

15 Because there's so much circumstantial and

¹⁶ relevant decisions have to be made to a specific

patient, I don't know how you can do that

effectively. How do you have a scarlet line as to

19 what a patient needs? The doctor is really

20 involved with that.

The pharmacist's role is to make sure that

22 those physicians are operating within the guidance

23 of what we believe their practice should be and

24 that it's not violating a safety requirement in

25 terms of what the dispensing doses should be from

¹ guideline for a drug says, you know, up to four

² times a day and the doctor was writing ten times a

³ day, you would question that and document that, or

4 with the physician sometimes say, no, I'm not

filling this. I feel it's not safe.

Q. Would there be any way to figure out how

many times that type of professional judgment or

responsibility was exercised by Giant Eagle retail

pharmacists?

10 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Asked and

11 answered.

12 THE WITNESS: There's no way to do that.

That's why you have pharmacists there. They're

the ones that safeguard the practice and

dispensing for the health and safety of the

patient. And that's not exclusive to controlled

drugs. That's any drug.

BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Anything though more you could say on

20 how there would be a criteria or a methodology

that pharmacists could apply to make sure that

there's consistency across Giant Eagle retail

pharmacies to make sure that prescriptions are

being dispensed for legitimate purposes?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

Page 111

1 the manufacturer. I just don't know how you would

2 do that.

21

Q. How would you exercise professional

4 responsibilities? What criteria would a

5 pharmacist apply to determine whether or not a

6 quantity of controlled substances is too much to

be dispensed?

8 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

9 THE WITNESS: I can give you examples

10 from my experiences, you know. A combination drug

11 with hydrocodone and Tylenol in it, you would have

12 to make sure -- there's guidelines from the

13 manufacturer in terms of what normal dispensing

14 is. There's also limits of which the amount of

15 Tylenol would exceed a safe dose. And if there ¹⁶ were things outside those limits, you would call

¹⁷ and verify with the physician the accuracy of that

18 and make professional judgment decisions as to

19 whether or not they make sense.

20 BY MR. HUDSON:

25

Q. How would you apply the dispensing

22 guidelines set by the manufacturer? In other

23 words, how would you go and apply that to a

24 particular patient who brings in a prescription?

A. Well, I mean, as an example, if a dosing

THE WITNESS: Well, prescriptions -- the

Page 113

² one consistent thing across all Giant Eagle

pharmacies is they all start with written

prescription from a doctor. In following the

⁵ state guidelines in terms of dispensing, making

⁶ sure that reference materials including

side-effect materials and all the references were

available to the pharmacist, educating them on

what their role is in terms of corresponding

responsibility to dispense and making sure that

they knew that we would always back the

professional judgment from a pharmacist.

13 BY MR. HUDSON:

16

Q. Giant Eagle would always back the professional judgment of the pharmacist?

A. Giant Eagle wouldn't -- didn't --

wouldn't intercede on a clinical decision from a

pharmacist. It's not the place of a company to do

19 that. It's your license that determines that.

20 Q. I guess I'm trying to focus on like

concrete criteria. You said if you have a written

prescription from a doctor. That would be an instance where you could look and see is there a

prescription written from a doctor. If the answer

25 is yes, then you would dispense that.

Page 114 Page 116 In other words, are there criteria or a school; right? ² process across Giant Eagle retail pharmacies that A. Yes. ³ pharmacists would be applying to try to figure out

- whether to dispense or not dispense? 5 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: What you're describing is
- the pharmacy degree and the state Board of
- 8 Pharmacy license. That's the criteria. Every
- ⁹ patient has a -- they're snowflakes. They're
- 10 not -- there's no way to standardize what you
- 11 dispense on every single situation. No one -- no
- 12 two people have the same issue that creates a need
- 13 for a pharmaceutical intervention.
- 14 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 15 Q. Anything more specific than though what ¹⁶ pharmacists learn in pharmacy school to obtain a
- 17 license that you can say in terms of a criteria
- 18 that --
- 19 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 20 THE WITNESS: What I'm talking about is 21
- 22 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Clinical training is what
- pharmacists get, and they use that clinical
- training to make evaluations as to whether or not

- Q. They had to take the oath and get a
- 4 license to obtain the ability to dispense drugs;
- 5 right?

15

- A. Yes.
- Q. The government, I think it's widely
- known, has said that we have a problem with
- diversion of opioids in our country.
- 10 My question is: How can that occur if
- pharmacists are always following what they learned
- in pharmacy school and what they had to do in
- 13 order to get a license given that type of
- ¹⁴ professional judgment?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: So you don't think that
- someone could steal something out of someone's medicine cabinet or take something out of
- someone's bag or those types of things? That's
- why when you're saying diversion, I'm saying
- ²¹ illicit. If someone is obtaining these things in
- ²² an improper way, yes, I believe it can cause harm.
- 23 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. So in your mind though, at Giant Eagle or other retail pharmacies across the United

Page 115

- ¹ something meets those guidelines.
- ² BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Let me ask it this way: Do you believe
- 4 that we have a problem with diversion of opioids
- 5 in our country?
- A. Our country has a problem with opioids?
- Q. Yes.
- 8 A. I think opioids can create harm in the
- country if they're used illicitly, yes.
- Q. But my question specifically is: Do you
- believe that we have a problem with diversion of
- opioids in our country? 13
 - A. I believe that there are folks who will
- ¹⁴ use these types of products illicitly, yes.
- Q. My question is broader than that.
- 16 Do you believe we have a problem with
- 17 diversion of opioids in our country?
- A. I don't know if diversion is the opioid
- problem versus illicit use of it. My feeling is
- 20 the problem the country has is illicit use of
- 21 these products. If diversion is a part of
- ²² illicit, then I believe it's an illicit use of
- ²³ these things.
- Q. That's what I'm trying to figure out.
- 25 Everybody who is a pharmacist had go to pharmacy

¹ States, there's no issue, that you're aware of, of

- ² there being any lack of controls that would lead
- ³ to any issues with diversion of any kind?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That's not how I would say
- 6 it. I believe that, yes, you will have human
- ⁷ beings that will do bad things, whether they're
- pharmacists or not, and those types of things
- happen and you have controls in place to minimize
- those to the best of your extent. You have an
- obligation to continue to try to evolve those
- practices, which is what I think we did.
- 13 BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. And would you agree --
- A. But I also believe that those things are
 - done illicitly. That's what my definition is.
- Q. But would you also agree that a company
- that is complying with the law should put in
- writing their actions that they're taking so that
- they can show that they were taking actions?
- 21 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I think if a company is
- complying with the law, then they're going to
- document and retain whatever documentation is
- required by law.

¹ BY MR. HUDSON:

- Q. And if there is a lack of documentation as a licensed pharmacist, would that be of concern to you?
- 5 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 6 THE WITNESS: If they were not following
- ⁷ the guidelines set forth by the law in terms of
- 8 document retention and requirements, yes. But,
- ⁹ like I said, our obligation is to follow the law.
- 10 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Right. For example, if the DEA
- 12 conducted an audit or if the State of Ohio came in
- ¹³ and conducted an audit, would you agree that
- 14 they're going to ask you for documentation to show
- 15 that you complied with the law?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'd have to
- 18 have a specific case of what they would ask for.
- 19 But what they ask for, in my experience, are
- ²⁰ things required to be retained and documented by
- 21 law. I can't speak to what the theoretical
- 22 question would be.
- 23 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Like, for example, a suspicious order
- ²⁵ monitoring system, let's just say that's a

- ¹ for Exhibit 9 is P-HBC-6008.
 - 2 It's a multi-page document. It looks like an
 - ³ email, it appears to me, that you forwarded along
- ⁴ to George Chunderlik in or around May 1, 2012 with

Page 120

Page 121

- ⁵ several attachments. And I will tell you my focus
- 6 is going to be on the second attachment, which
- ⁷ starts on the page that has the Bates ending 4409.
- 8 It goes through, I believe, 4431.
 - (Witness reviewed the exhibit.)
- 0 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Have you had a chance to look at, at
- 12 least, the email? We can walk through the
- attachments. The email in particular, do you
- 14 recall forwarding these presentations to
- ¹⁵ Mr. Chunderlik in May of 2012?
- A. No. I don't recall actually forwarding
- them, but I see that from the document.
 - Q. Do you know how you came to be in
- possession of these presentations?
- A. No. My guess is if my name is
- associated on some kind of register, if they look
- 22 up Giant Eagle, they see, you know, pharmacy
- 23 operations. They probably sent it to us.
- 4 If I would see something -- like I'm trying
- 5 to think what would I do today if I got this email

Page 119

- ¹ requirement of the law. Part of that involves
- ² monitoring suspicious orders of controlled
- ³ substances.
- Would you agree then that in order to show
- 5 that you complied with the law, it would be
- 6 helpful to show that there was actually monitoring
- ⁷ occurring?
- 8 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Assumes
- ⁹ facts not in evidence; compound.
- THE WITNESS: I believe that the
- 11 obligation in terms of pharmacy practice is to
- 12 comply with all documentation requirements that
- ¹³ are set forth by law. Following the law is what I
- 14 agree with.
- MR. HUDSON: Let's take another short
- 16 break.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 10:36 we're off the
- ¹⁸ video record.
- (Recess from 10:36 a.m. to 10:58 a.m.)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 10:58 we're on the
- ²¹ video record.
- 22 (HBC-Mollica Exhibit 9 was marked.)
- 23 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Mr. Mollica, I'm going to hand you what
- ²⁵ I've marked as Exhibit 9. And the internal number

- ¹ and I was at Giant Eagle. I'd probably do the
- ² same thing. If I see something has to do with,
- ³ you know, symposium on anything like that,
- ⁴ George's position was to keep in contact, you
- ⁵ know, with the regs and things like that, and I
- 6 would just forward it on to the folks it's
- ⁷ relevant to.
- 8 Q. Do you know whether or not you looked at
- $^{9}\,$ these presentations before you forwarded them
- 10 along?
- A. I can't say for sure, but I can't
- 12 imagine I would because it's really not relevant
 - 3 to what I did and what my role was in Giant Eagle.
 - Q. If we go back to the second
- presentation, which is the one that starts on
- Bates 4409, if you would, just flip through and
- ¹⁷ take a look at the presentation.
- 18 A. Okay.
- ¹⁹ Q. So if we just look at the beginning of
- this presentation, this relates to -- do you see
- 21 the beginning relates to manufacturer and
- distributor obligations to address suspicious
- ²³ order monitoring?
- A. Sure.

25

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

¹ BY MR. HUDSON:

- Q. And then I think you've testified today
- ³ that that's not really something that you had a
- ⁴ role in or that wasn't your part of the business.
- 5 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: In terms of the details of
- ⁷ what that needs to look like and how it's applied
- ⁸ specific to the warehouses and suspicious
- ⁹ monitoring would be, you know, as it coordinates
- 10 with what the warehouse procedures are and how it
- 11 impacts the stores.
- 12 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. So if we move back then to Bates 4418,
- 14 this particular presentation then shifts and talks
- ¹⁵ about pharmacies.
- 16 A. Okay.
- Q. The regulations that apply to
- 18 pharmacies. Are those regulations that are cited
- ¹⁹ and then described on Bates ending 4418 and 4419,
- ²⁰ are those regulations that you're familiar with?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with this
- ²³ deck. I mean, I wouldn't know these regulations
- ²⁴ just by CFR 1306. I don't know what that
- ²⁵ particularly means.

- Page Page
 - ² obligation is there, which is a referencable
 - 3 material in the pharmacies.
 - Q. Did you have any written policies on
 - ⁵ checking with a pharmacy system whether the
 - 6 pharmacy system -- I guess I should just ask: Did

1 clearly in the Pharmacy Act what the pharmacist's

- 7 Giant Eagle's pharmacy system allow for DEA
- 8 expiration dates and schedules?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what
- 11 you're -- I don't understand your question.
- 12 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. It says here, the second question, "Does
- 14 pharmacy system allow for DEA expiration dates and
- 15 schedules?"
- Do you know whether or not the Giant Eagle
- 17 pharmacy system allowed for DEA expiration dates
- and schedules?
- A. I don't even know what that -- our
- 20 pharmacy system tracked to make sure that we had
- 21 prescriber DEAs and we had -- and I don't remember

Page 125

- 22 the vendor, but we had a system that checked and
- 23 made sure that the records are updated and
- 24 accurate and that you didn't have an expired
- ²⁵ license physician in the system.

Page 123

1 BY MR. HUDSON:

- Q. On 4419, for example, that relates to
- ³ pharmacies, do you believe that to be an accurate
- 4 description?
- 5 A. It appears to be accurate.
- 6 Q. Then if we go back, the next slide talks
- ⁷ about no parties to the transaction, and then the
- 8 next slide is use of state PMP.
- 9 What is PMP?
- A. I believe PMP is kind of like OARRS, the
- ¹¹ monitoring systems.
- Q. Do you know what that acronym stands
- 13 for?
- A. I probably did at one time. I can't
- 15 recall. I know what it's referring to. If I'm
- ¹⁶ accurate, it's referring to the state, like the
- ¹⁷ example of OARRS. I just can't remember what the
- ¹⁸ acronym stands for.
- Q. If we go to the next page, 4422, it says
- 20 Pharmacy Checks on Prescribers. Did you have any
- 21 written policies on pharmacy checks on
- 22 prescribers?
- A. We had dispensing guideline policies,
- 24 not -- I don't know if it's specific to policies
- 25 to checks on prescribers, but it's outlined pretty

- Q. If we turn to the next page --
- A. I think that's what that's asking.
- ³ That's just a weird way of asking.
- Q. Sure. One of the things asked is: Does
- 5 pharmacy fill all prescriptions written by doctor?
- 6 Do you see that?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I see that question, yes.
- 9 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Did Giant Eagle keep any documentation
- where we could determine whether or not there were
- 12 ever instances where Giant Eagle pharmacists did
- 13 not fill all prescriptions written by a doctor?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Asked and
- 15 answered.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Giant Eagle kept records
- ¹⁷ of all filled prescriptions. There's no
- 18 regulatory requirement to track what you didn't
- 19 fill.
- 20 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. If we look at the next page, Pharmacy
- 22 Policies, "Does pharmacy have written controlled
- 23 substance policies?"
- Did Giant Eagle have specific written
- ²⁵ controlled substance policies?

Page 126 Page 128 1 A. Yes. ¹ BY MR. HUDSON: Q. Those are the ones you've already Q. Right. You've testified previously that ³ described? ³ if there were questions, they would call the Giant ⁴ Eagle retail pharmacy. My question is different. A. Yes. 5 Q. The last question asked, "Who from Did anyone at HBC actually review the 6 distributor reviews the pharmacy activities?" activities that were occurring at the Giant Eagle Do you know what that means? retail pharmacies, in other words, review the 8 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. prescriptions, do you know? 9 THE WITNESS: This is a deck written by MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Asked and 10 it looks like a drug distributor. I don't know answered; compound. what specifically they're asking on this. 11 THE WITNESS: I don't -- the specifics 12 BY MR. HUDSON: 12 of what you're asking I just couldn't answer. I 13 Q. That's all my question is. Do you know can't answer if they did or didn't. What I'm 14 what that question is asking? saying is there was access to prescription 15 A. I don't know what the context of what 15 records, dispensing records for folks who would ¹⁶ the question is asking. 16 have been in charge of the pharmacy part of the Q. Do you know whether anyone at HBC ¹⁷ warehouse. reviewed the pharmacy activities that were 18 BY MR. HUDSON: 19 occurring at Giant Eagle pharmacies? Q. Well, to your knowledge, did HBC keep 20 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. ²⁰ due diligence files? 21 THE WITNESS: There were -- I don't know MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. ²² of someone from HBC specifically whose job it is THE WITNESS: I would like to believe if 23 to review that, but we were integrated in terms of 23 there was a requirement to keep files, they did. BY MR. HUDSON:

²⁴ the same company. So those records are available 25 to anyone within pharmacy.

Q. Do you know as you sit here today one

Page 127

¹ BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. I'm saying: Was there any procedures or ³ practice in place where somebody from HBC was ⁴ reviewing the Giant Eagle retail pharmacy 5 activities?

6 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

7 THE WITNESS: HBC had practices. My 8 answer is, you know, if you're asking me the specifics of how they're built and what the

10 requirements are, that I'm not familiar with 11 because it's not in my purview. But yes.

12 Are there procedures that they monitored 13 activities as related to pharmacy? Sure. There's ¹⁴ been a lot of involvement and questions and

¹⁵ verifications, you know, between the stores and

¹⁶ what gets distributed from the warehouses.

17 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. This is asking, though, did somebody at 19 HBC to your knowledge review the pharmacy activities at Giant Eagle retail pharmacies?

21 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

22 THE WITNESS: I'm saying someone in 23 pharmacy did. Now, whether they were specific to 24 the warehouse or not -- everyone had -- it's the 25 same company.

¹ way or the other whether HBC kept due diligence

Page 129

² files or not?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I didn't run the practices ⁵ of the warehouse, so I just couldn't answer from

⁶ firsthand experience. I don't know what that

⁷ looked like.

8 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Are you familiar at all with the

National Association of Drug Diversion

Investigators?

16

17

12 A. No. I've never actually even heard of that before.

Q. Me either. Let me hand you what I ¹⁵ marked as Exhibit 10.

(HBC-Mollica Exhibit 10 was marked.)

(Witness reviewed the exhibit.)

BY MR. HUDSON:

19 Q. Have you had a chance to look at Exhibit 10?

A. I'm sorry. I thought Exhibit 10 was just the first page. I apologize.

Q. I think it is. I think the rest of it is just a restatement of the same thing, but I ²⁵ could be wrong.

- 1 A. Okay.
- Q. So if we focus on the first page of
- ³ Exhibit 10, it looks like this is an email invite
- 4 to a discussion that's going to occur at the
- ⁵ Century Three. I assume that's the room.
- A. That's a meeting room.
- Q. Meeting room. Okay. This is from James
- ⁸ Cornwell to yourself and Mr. Chunderlik,
- ⁹ Mr. Hughes, Mr. Millward, Mr. Voyten and
- ¹⁰ Mr. Carlson. Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And this is November of 2013?
- A. That's what it looks like, yes.
- Q. And the topics are discussion of the
- 15 process developed for identifying pharmacies
- ¹⁶ ordering excessive controlled substances, and then
- 17 number two, discussion of the monitoring and steps
- $^{18}\,$ to be taken when a pharmacy appears on the above
- ¹⁹ list.
- A. That's what it says.
- Q. Do you know whether or not you attended
- ²² a meeting in November of 2013 on this topic?
- A. I honestly don't. I would assume yes.
- ²⁴ I just don't remember that particular meeting.
- Q. Do you know whether or not there was a

- Page 13
 - ¹ trigger things that you want to go and find out
 - ² more about.
 - They don't necessarily mean there's a
 - ⁴ wrongdoing. But the system works when you have
 - ⁵ reasons to go look and see and verify, and that's
 - 6 what these systems were about.
 - It's very difficult to have from an ordering
 - 8 standpoint something suspicious when you're only
 - ⁹ distributing to your own pharmacies because the
 - product is sitting on the shelf. You can just
 - ¹¹ pick up the phone and ask questions.
 - Q. It's your opinion as you sit here today
 - 13 that it's difficult for a retail distributor to
 - 14 have suspicious orders if they're shipping to
 - their own pharmacies. Do I understand that right?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
 - THE WITNESS: No. That's not what I'm saying.
 - MR. KOBRIN: Don't speculate.
 - THE WITNESS: I'm just saying it would
 - ²¹ be difficult for Giant Eagle to have suspicious
 - 22 orders because we were basically a closed system.
 - 23 BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. Is Giant Eagle the only closed system?
 - A. I don't know what other people do. I

Page 131

- ¹ process developed in or around November of 2013
- ² for identifying pharmacies ordering excessive
- ³ controlled substances?
- A. I can't speak to the dates. I don't
- ⁵ recall like based on a meeting or in what month,
- ⁶ but there were processes that identified
- ⁷ pharmacies that would trigger an interest to go
- 8 look and verify.
- 9 If there was a question about an order, yes,
- 10 there were processes in place to go look and see.
- 11 That's where retail operations were involved. Our
- 12 supervisors would go in, ask the questions,
- 13 verify, and then respond back to the person asking
- 14 the question.
- Q. And when was that procedure or process
- 16 put into place, if you know?
- A. I don't know a definitive date. I just
- 18 know that that was common procedure.
- Q. And when you say common, why do you use that term?
- A. Well, you know, you put systems in place
- 22 that are meant to trigger questions. You don't
- ²³ want systems in place that trigger no questions.
- 24 So if it's not common, then you don't have good
- ²⁵ measures in place. So what they do is they

- Page 133
- ¹ just know what we were doing. The warehouse, to
- ² my knowledge, did not distribute outside of Giant
- ³ Eagle.

16

- Q. And do you know whether or not that's
- ⁵ true of, for example, Walgreens or CVS or any
- 6 other controlled substance dispensers?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I don't know what their
- ⁹ business practices are.
- O BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Was there anything unique at Giant Eagle
- that caused there to be a lower probability of
- ³ diversion beyond just this closed system?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: We had -- not only did we
- have a closed system, but when you're ordering
- 17 from yourself, intimate knowledge of not only the
- practices of who we were distributing to, but also
- ¹⁹ the people in those locations and all of our audit
- ²⁰ procedures, all of our policies and procedures and
- 21 the educations and reeducations and just the
- 22 constant communication between the teams to make
- 23 sure we're doing things to the best of our
- ²⁴ ability.
- 25

Page 134 Page 136

- ¹ BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. That would be true though of Rite-Aid or
- ³ Walgreens or anyone who is both a distributor and
- 4 a retail pharmacy; right?
- 5 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. He's
- 6 already testified he's not sure of what their
- procedures were.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I can't speak to what the
- ⁹ procedures are at companies I don't have oversight
- 10 over.
- 11 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Right. I'm not getting to what their
- 13 procedures were. What I'm getting at is anyone,
- ¹⁴ any retail pharmacy that dispensed controlled
- ¹⁵ substances like Walgreens or CVS, if they acted as
- ¹⁶ a distributor, then all of those companies would
- ¹⁷ be in the same situation; right?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know what the
- ²⁰ business relationships between and what the
- ²¹ emphasis were of those organizations.
- 22 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Right. I'm just saying I'm asking you
- ²⁴ to assume that Walgreens and CVS and others acted
- ²⁵ both as a distributor and a retail pharmacy.
 - Page 135
- A. You're asking me to assume, and I'm
- ² saying I won't assume, I can't assume things that
- ³ I don't have knowledge about.
- ⁴ Q. Right. I'm saying just assume that
- ⁵ could be the case. So you don't need to have
- 6 knowledge of it.
- 7 I'm saying assuming that to be true, is there
- 8 something unique about Giant Eagle? Did it have
- ⁹ better policies, procedures or practices than
- 10 others, or do you have any knowledge of what was
- 11 happening in the industry?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: What's happening in the
- ¹⁴ industry is a different question than do I have
- 15 knowledge of what the procedures were specific to
- 16 these types of interactions at another company.
- I know that Giant Eagle and our part in this
- 18 was to make sure we were doing things above and
- 19 beyond what was required at all times and that we,
- 20 you know, felt very good that we had not only a
- 21 system of checks and balances but also continuous
- 22 improvement.
- 23 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. But how do you point to results or
- ²⁵ actions to do that? Would you agree we'd go look

- 1 at the documentation to see what occurred?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I agree that we do self
- 4 inspections and continuous improvement and
- ⁵ monitoring and communications between departments
- 6 and making sure that it's top of mind and made
- ⁷ sure it was top of mind of our associates.
- 8 BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. And I trust that you believe that. But
- 10 how do I go verify that? So what steps do I take
- to actually verify that the actions are consistent
- 2 with your statements?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Every legal required
- 15 action is being done. That's for the DEA and
- state boards, you know, to testify -- I mean, to
- 17 my knowledge, DEA never had any problems with our
- 18 procedures or anything that they notified us that
- 19 they needed to change.
- 20 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Well, how many suspicious orders did you
- 22 report to the DEA?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
 - THE WITNESS: If there was a suspicious
- order that needed to be reported to the DEA, we
- Page 137
- 1 would. But I don't see how you would have a
- ² suspicious order in our system because the
- ³ products are all still within the company.
- 4 BY MR. HUDSON:
- ⁵ Q. Well, do you know how many suspicious
- 6 orders Walmart or CVS or Walgreens reported?
 - A. I have no idea.
- 8 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 9 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. So how would you have any sense to know
- 11 whether -- in other words, how would you have any
- 12 perspective to know what a suspicious order would
- 13 be or not be?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: My job isn't to have
- ¹⁶ perspective of -- I don't use other organizations
- ¹⁷ as benchmarks on what is right and wrong. The law
- 18 is the law, and we complied to it. I don't care
- 19 what Walgreens, you know, procedures are, what
- 20 they consider good or any other. What I do know
- 21 is our emphasis was making sure we did everything
- 22 to the best that we could.
- 23 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. And I appreciate that. You said that
- 25 several times. What I'm trying to figure out is

- 1 how do we go and verify that that's, in fact,
- 2 true?
- 3 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know how you do
- ⁵ that. Our procedures were consistent with the
- 6 law.
- 7 (HBC-Mollica Exhibit 11 was marked.)
- 8 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 9 Q. I'm handing you what I'm marking as
- 10 Exhibit 11. Exhibit 11 is an email from
- 11 Mr. Millward to Mr. Carlson, Mr. Chunderlik
- $^{\rm 12}\,$ Mr. Voyten with a cc to you and Mr. Bianco. And
- ¹³ it's sent January 22, 2014.
- 14 It says, Shawn and Greg, are we reporting PSE
- 15 (a listed chemical) sales from HBC to the stores
- 16 monthly? I assume it is through ARCOS to comply
- ¹⁷ with 21 USC 830(b). Are the records being
- 18 retained for two years? We need to lock down an
- 19 SOM SOP ASAP.
- Do you see that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know what he meant by: We need
- 23 to lock down an SOM SOP ASAP?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know what he means

Page 140

Page 141

- 1 distribution list: We need to lock down SOM SOP
- ² ASAP; right?
- A. That's what he says, yes.
- Q. If we go back to Exhibit 2, there you
- 5 see the effective date of that first policy is
- 6 8/1/14 in Exhibit 2?
- 7 A. Yes.
 - Q. Is there any relationship between this
- 9 email written by Mr. Millward in January of 2014
- 10 saying we need to lock down an SOM SOP ASAP and
- 11 then a written policy being created around August
- 12 of 2014?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. That misrepresents the evidence.
- THE WITNESS: What I can tell you is in
- 16 my general knowledge of this. I don't know the
- 17 specifics of what Joe is asking on a distribution
- 18 requirement here, but that particular drug he's
- 19 referring to is an over-the-counter nonnarcotic.
- 20 I don't remember the dates, but there were
- 21 changes in how they needed to be distributed and
- 22 dispensed from a pharmacy. That probably made it
- 23 under a purview of a new -- of a regulation that
- ²⁴ might have been written before it.

- 1 by that. Sudafed is an over-the-counter
- ² medication.
- ³ BY MR. HUDSON:
- 4 Q. If you look down under I of this
- 5 questionnaire, do you see there it says SOM and
- 6 Anti-diversion Program?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. There it says, "The company has a
- ⁹ suspicious order monitoring program which complies
- with 21 CFR 1301.74(b) for controlled substances?"
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And it's "yes" or "no"; right?
- 13 A. Um-hum.
- Q. Do you think SOM then -- seeing this
- below, this questionnaire, do you think in the
- 16 sentence above when he said SOM, that means
- 17 suspicious order monitoring?
- A. Yes. I would also assume that SOP means
- 19 maybe standard operating -- oh, I see what it says
- ²⁰ here, summary of program.
- Q. And that's the fourth question. Please
- 22 provide a copy of your Suspicious Order Monitoring
- 23 Program SOP or Summary of Program; right?
- A. That's what it says, yes.
- Q. And Mr. Millward is writing to a

- ¹ BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Well, here you can see on the first page
- ³ of his question he's talking about question one in
- ⁴ this questionnaire, "Company has a suspicious
- ⁵ order monitoring program which complies with 21
- ⁶ CFR 1301.74(b) for controlled substances"; right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you think there's any relationship
- ⁹ between that and Mr. Millward's statement above
- that we need to lock down an SOM SOP ASAP?
- A. I honestly don't know. These things are
- 12 not in my daily practice. I don't -- I'm not
- 13 familiar with these codes.
- Q. Do you know why you were copied on this
- 15 email?
- A. No, but I can guess, you know. Because
- it was a common practice that people would copy
- 18 the operators. It's more of an FYI so if
- 19 something were to change. If he's talking about a
- 20 procedure here that needs to be changed, you can
- 21 almost assume that there's going to be an
- 22 operating step that needs to happen to make sure
- ²³ that procedure happens. I think it's more of a
- heads up type of thing.O Well do you kr
 - Q. Well, do you know as you sit here today

- 1 looking at these documents whether or not Giant
- ² Eagle had a written suspicious order monitoring
- ³ policy in place at this time in January of 2014?
- A. For the Sudafed drug that he's talking about there?
- 6 Q. No, just in general, suspicious order
- 7 monitoring program as a whole, in other words,
- ⁸ written policies.
- 9 A. We had written policies. My definition
- 10 of suspicious monitoring though is inclusive of
- 11 everything within the practices and for the
- 12 pharmacy. So my answer would be yes.
- Q. This question says, "Company has a
- 14 suspicious order monitoring program which complies
- ¹⁵ with 21 CFR 1301.74(b)"; right?
- A. I don't know what that's referring to.
- 17 I'm sorry. I'm just not familiar with this thing.
 - Q. So today everything you've testified
- ¹⁹ about, any written policies or procedures that
- 20 existed at Giant Eagle, you don't know one way or
- 21 the other whether or not those are suspicious
- 22 order monitoring programs that would add to
- 23 compliance with 21 CFR 1301.74(b)?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Misstates
- 25 the testimony and the evidence.

1 age 14

- If you go back and you compare these two, it
- ² seems like in the industry there's a fair amount
- ³ of talk of putting a suspicious order monitoring
- ⁴ program in place; right?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
 - THE WITNESS: I still want to point out
- ⁷ though on this form, it says other security
- ⁸ controls for nonpractitioners. I'm saying it's
- ⁹ because I was on the practitioner side of how
- these things relate, that's why I'm not as
- 11 familiar with these as someone who would be
- ¹² connected.
- 13 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. No. I appreciate that. I guess that's
- what I'm trying to get at. You've testified today
- ¹⁶ about policies or procedures that you were aware
- 17 of that existed at the retail pharmacy level;
- 18 right?
- ¹⁹ A. Right.
- Q. So what I'm focused in on is policies or
- ²¹ procedures that existed at the nonpractitioner
- level, meaning the manufacturer or distributor
- 23 level.
- So my question is: Can you say as you sit
- here today whether or not Mr. Millward's email

Page 145

Page 143

- THE WITNESS: What I'm saying is I'm not
- ² familiar with what -- if you said it earlier, I
- ³ apologize. I don't know what 21 CFR 1301.74(b)
- 4 is. I don't know what it's referring to.
- 5 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 6 Q. If you go back to the prior exhibit, the
- 7 exhibit you just had?
- 8 A. This thing from Anda?
- 9 Q. You got it exactly. And you go back to
- 10 Bates 4413, if you compare Exhibit 9, which is
- 11 this Anda description; right? And see it makes
- 12 reference to 1301.74(b)? And then we compare that
- 13 to Exhibit 11, and they're also talking about a
- 14 suspicious order monitoring program which complies
- ¹⁵ with 21 CFR 1301.74(b); right?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. I just
- want to clarify for the record that this is not an
- ¹⁸ Anda presentation. Some other third party
- 19 presented it at the Anda conference that the
- ²⁰ witness has said he doesn't know anything about.
- 21 BY MR. HUDSON:

- Q. It's actually a presentation by Mike
- 23 Mapes, chief compliance officer of Assured RX
- 24 Services, and Robert DelVecchio, chief executive
- ²⁵ officer of Assured RX Services.

- 1 here in January of 2014 that you're copied on is
- 2 indicating that Giant Eagle needed to create a
- 3 suspicious order monitoring program to comply with
- 4 1301.74?
- 5 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 6 THE WITNESS: No, I can't say that.
- 7 What he says here is as it relates to an
- 8 over-the-counter drug, he has a question regarding
- ⁹ to that referenced section.
- 10 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. He says in his email, "We need lock down
- 12 an SOM SOP ASAP"; right?
- A. Yeah, specific to Sudafed,
- 14 over-the-counter drug.
- Q. Well, how do you know that, that it's
- 16 specific to Sudafed?
- A. Because he says we are reporting PSE,
- 18 which is Sudafed (a listed chemical) sales from
- 19 HPC to the stores monthly before he makes that
- 20 statement.
- Q. But down below can we agree the
- 22 questionnaire is asking more broadly about --
- 23 number four says, "Please provide a copy of your
- suspicious order monitoring program SOP or Summary
- 25 of Program."

- A. I don't know what this document is.
- ² What are these questions? This SOM and
- 3 anti-diversion program piece, I just don't know
- 4 what this is.
- Q. That's what I'm getting at, is whether
- 6 you're able to say as you sit here today whether
- ⁷ or not Giant Eagle had written policies that were
- 8 specifically aimed at meeting these requirements
- 9 of 1301.74(b).
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: When you asked me -- to me
- 12 you're asking about a difference between a policy
- 13 that's of a distributor versus the pharmacy, and
- 14 I'm saying that it's the same company distributing
- 15 to itself. So by definition, any and all of our
- 16 suspicious -- whether diversion related measures
- 17 that we had in place are going to be part of the
- 18 suspicious monitoring system, to my opinion.
- 19 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Is there anything as you sit here today,
- 21 any manual or anything in writing?
- A. Everything that we have, our dispensing
- ²³ procedures, our documentation tracking, our
- 24 requirements in training that we do with
- 25 technicians, all our procedures are going to be

- 2 146
 - ¹ without showing him anything.
 - ² BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. Sir, you've testified that in your view,
 - ⁴ Giant Eagle had policies and procedures in place

Page 148

Page 149

- ⁵ to identify suspicious orders; correct?
 - A. Yeah, that's correct.
 - Q. So what is a suspicious order?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
 - THE WITNESS: I would like to see a
- o definition of what the regulation or --
- 11 BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. Sir, how can you testify that Giant
- 13 Eagle had policies and procedures in place to
- 14 identify suspicious orders if you don't even know
- ⁵ what a suspicious order was?
- MR. KOBRIN: Are you asking from a legal
- perspective, Ty, or are you asking him --
- MR. HUDSON: No. I'm asking him as the
- ¹⁹ VP of pharmacy operations.
- THE WITNESS: Because in our system, the
- 21 products that we were distributing are sitting on
- 22 the shelves. So if there's procedures in place to
- ²³ appropriately dispense those medications, in my
- ²⁴ opinion, by definition you were monitoring
- suspicious orders.

- ¹ part of that.
- Q. Those are all geared towards identifying unusually large orders of controlled substances?
- 4 A. That's not what it's asking on here.
- ⁵ It's asking about if there's suspicious
- 6 order monitoring.
 - Q. What is a suspicious order?
- 8 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I don't have a definition
- 10 of suspicious order. If there are orders that
- 11 require, you know, a go look-see or further
- 12 information, we were going to go look and see.
- 13 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Well, what does the regulation say about a suspicious order?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. If you
- want to show him the regulation, but I don't think
- 18 he should be expected to know that or should
- 19 testify to it. I'm going to say don't answer
- 20 that.
- MR. HUDSON: Are you instructing him not
- 22 to answer?
- MR. KOBRIN: I think you should clarify
- ²⁴ or tell him what you're doing. I mean, you're
- 25 asking him to tell you about legal regulations

- ¹ BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. But suspicious orders -- let's just
 - ³ look, if we can, since you want to look at the
 - ⁴ regulation -- if we go back to Exhibit 9 and the
 - ⁵ Bates ending 4413, if we focus in on the section
 - ⁶ (b) in particular and the last sentence.
 - So can we agree that for these purposes as
 - 8 we've talked about, the registrant in this case
 - ⁹ would be HBC, the distributor?
 - A. I honestly don't know if the registrant
 - would be the distributor or the pharmacy. I don't
 - 12 know what -- I'd have to see it in more context.
 - Q. The regulation says right here,
 - ⁴ "Suspicious orders include orders of unusual size,
 - ¹⁵ orders deviating substantially from a normal
 - pattern and orders of unusual frequency."
 - So how would a Giant Eagle retail pharmacy be
 - able to identify a suspicious order at HBC of
 - ¹⁹ unusual size?
 - A. Well, if our -- if our order was greater
 - than dispensing, it would trigger an alert. You
 - 22 could see it when you place the order. And there
 - ²³ were reports that HBC ran. Like I said, my
 - ²⁴ answers are -- I don't know what algorithms or
 - ²⁵ what they ran, but if something was a question

- ¹ that needed to be followed up with, we had those triggers in place and we'd go and investigate why.
 - O. Who had those triggers in place?
- A. There were programs that were run to see ⁵ ordering versus dispensing. There were programs
- ⁶ that were run just to show what was being
- ⁷ distributed to the pharmacies in terms of quantity.
- 9 But I don't know what the triggers were that 10 would put something on a list to go and 11 investigate. But if there was something on a 12 list, we would investigate.
- Q. Before though I asked you what policies ¹⁴ or procedures or practices existed at HBC to 15 identify suspicious orders, and you testified that 16 you weren't aware of what those were because it wasn't your side of the business; right?

18 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

19 THE WITNESS: No. What I'm saying is I ²⁰ don't know what the formula for how they do it is.

²¹ I'm just not in that kind of detail with the

- ²² warehouse piece. But do they have procedures in
- ²³ place to say something needs to be inquired on?
- ²⁴ Yes. Those things I'm aware they're in place, but
- ²⁵ what drives them and how they're formulated, I

Page 151

1 don't --

7

- ² BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Sure when did they get put in place?
- A. I'm not familiar with specific dates.
- ⁵ Like I said, it evolved over time.
- 6 Q. Who put it in place?
 - MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 8 THE WITNESS: The company, you know,
- ⁹ everyone. It depended on which policy or
- procedure you're talking about.
- 11 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 12 Q. This policy or procedures that you've 13 identified of HBC identifying orders.
- A. You're asking me what human being ¹⁵ decided to put that particular policy? I have no idea. A group of folks I'm sure.
- 17 Q. Is there anything more specific you can
- 18 say about it? 19 A. Well, I mean, first of all, what came
- ²⁰ from the warehouses were Schedule III through Vs, 21 and so as time went on, you know, and things
- 22 progressed in terms of strengthening the amount of
- ²³ due diligence procedures that we had, they evolved
- ²⁴ over time.
- 25 That's why I can't be specific which date.

1 It wasn't a seminal date that policies were here

- ² and they weren't before that date. They evolved ³ over time.
- Q. Well, at least in terms of written
- policies, can we agree that you didn't have any in
- place while you were at the company?
- A. No.
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I can't agree to that.
- BY MR. HUDSON:
- 11 Q. Your testimony is HBC had written policies in place during the time you were at Giant Eagle that were specifically designed to identify suspicious orders?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

16 THE WITNESS: I can't speak to the specifics of the HBC policies. Giant Eagle had policies in place that was designed to monitor

suspicious orders.

BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. That's what I'm getting at, is the ²² difference between policies and procedures being ²³ in place at retail pharmacies and policies and

procedures being in place at HBC as a distributor.

In your mind, is there a difference between

- 1 those two?
- A. I think there's a difference when it
- 3 comes to the actual operation of a distribution
- 4 center versus the operation, but when you ask
- 5 about policies about monitoring, they're not
- 6 specific to one thing or another. It's inclusive.
- ⁷ And that's the reason why I'm answering the way I
- 8 did.
- 9 I don't know that there's a policy that's
- specific to this or is specific to that. When
- you're asking me questions and I'm saying I'm
- unaware, I'm referring to the actual operating
- day-in-and-day-out procedures of how you handle at
- a warehouse. That's what I don't have intimate
- knowledge on.
- 16 Q. I guess what I'm trying to figure out
- is, in your mind, the relationship between things
- that were happening at retail pharmacies, that
- were occurring at Giant Eagle and HBC's efforts at
- ²⁰ the warehouse. I thought I understood this
- morning you were saying you had knowledge of what
- was happening at the pharmacies; you didn't have
- 23 knowledge of what was happening at the warehouse.
- 24 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 25 THE WITNESS: In terms of how to run a

- ¹ warehouse, not in terms of how to have a good
- quality control program in place.BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. So are you aware then of the HBC written policies and procedures that existed?
- A. I'm not aware of specific to HBC
- policies. Our policies were umbrella policies for
 the organization.
 - Q. What's that mean, umbrella policies?
- A. Same company distributing to itself and
- 11 dispensing to patients. If you're going to
- 12 control those practices, I don't know that it
- 13 would be exclusive to one entity of the company
- ¹⁴ versus another.

9

- Q. Well, did Giant Eagle retail policies
- ¹⁶ and procedures -- were those carried out at HBC at
- ¹⁷ the HBC warehouse?
- A. Which ones?
- Q. Any of them.
- A. Were retail policies carried out for
- 21 retail?
- Q. Correct. What does umbrella mean?
- A. Having -- making sure that prescriptions
- ²⁴ weren't dispensed unless they were legally
- ²⁵ required by a medical physician. That's not
- ptions
 - Page 155
- ¹ specific to a particular place. Ordering products
- ² were triggered by what you dispense, making sure
- ³ that that's happening, making sure what -- you
- ⁴ asked me the question. Making sure that what you
- ⁵ ordered and what you received matched what you
- ⁶ were dispensing, that wasn't exclusive to the
- ⁷ warehouse or a pharmacy, those types of things.
 - Q. But those are all actions that are
- ⁹ occurring at the dispenser, at the retail
- 10 pharmacy; correct?

8

- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 12 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. There's no way for the HBC warehouse to
- put practices in place to try to figure out
- whether or not the prescription that's being
- ¹⁶ presented at the retail pharmacy is legitimate or
- ¹⁷ not; right?
- ¹⁸ A. No.
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That's not true. If the
- ²¹ warehouse had a question about a large order, for
- 22 example, they would ask questions and we would
- 23 satisfy those questions in order to feel good
- ²⁴ about the distribution.
- 25

- 1 BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. That's my question. So in your mind,
- 3 there were, in fact, policies that existed where
- 4 the warehouse would ask about large orders?
- A. Yes. But your question, at least as I
- 6 understood it, was were they specific to the
- ⁷ warehouse. So when I hear that, what I'm
- 8 interpreting is operating procedures at the
- ⁹ warehouse, not -- it's just misinterpretation of
- o what you're asking. That's all.
- Q. I'm just trying to get your best
- 12 testimony as to what you believe the policies and
- procedures were that existed at the HBC warehouse
- 14 and then when they came into existence and who was
- ⁵ involved.
- A. There were procedures, for example, that
- 17 if there was a large quantity. I don't know, like
- 18 I said, what formulated or what constituted large,
- 19 that kind of detail. But if there was a quantity
- ²⁰ that was questionable, yes, there were procedures
- 21 in terms of what you did for follow-up and what
- 22 kind of documentation was required in order to
- 23 feel good about the order.
- Q. Those were in writing while you were at
- 25 the company?

Page 157

- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
 - THE WITNESS: Yeah. We had standard
- ³ operating procedures in terms of what needed to
- 4 happen. I can't -- I don't remember the specifics
- ⁵ of what was in writing versus what was trained and
- 6 taught. But I would argue that, yes, there were
- 7 policies in writing there.
- BY MR. HUDSON:
- ⁹ Q. You believe though, just so I make
- 10 sure --
- A. I mean, I haven't been in Giant Eagle in
- 12 five years. I don't remember the specifics of
- ³ what they look like. But yes.
- 4 (HBC-Mollica Exhibit 12 was marked.)
- 15 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. I'll hand you what I marked as Exhibit
- ⁷ 12. Exhibit 12 is a one-page email chain. The
- 18 internal number is 1001. You see at the bottom,
- ¹⁹ there's Kayla Voelker sending an email to
- ²⁰ yourself, Mr. Hughes, Mr. Carlson, Mr. Voyten,
- ²¹ herself, Mr. Millward, Mr. Cornwell and
- ²² Mr. Chunderlik.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's in January of 2014?
- 25 A. Yes.

- O. Who was Kayla Voelker?
- A. She was in admin. She also had some
- ³ analytics skills. She was in admin doing some
- analytics things, too.
- O. And when you say admin, what do you
- mean? Administrative assistant?
- A. Yes.

1

- 8 O. And who was she administrative assistant ⁹ to?
- 10 A. Different people at different times.
- 11 She worked more connected to IT. Usually the
- 12 folks that she reported and worked with were IT.
- 13 Q. Do you know whether she had training in 14 IT?
- 15 A. I don't think she was IT. She did some
- ¹⁶ analytics. That's why she was related to IT. She
- wasn't part of IT. She reported in to admin.
- 18 Q. Mr. Voyten, for example, what was his 19 position?
- 20 A. He worked with information systems, too,
- which I call it generically IT, but it could be
- information systems, IS.
- 23 Q. So would Ms. Voelker, her position, be
- in admin to someone like Mr. Voyten?
- A. Like him. She reported to -- at one

- Q. Sure. I'm trying to get a sense of just
 - 2 how involved you were with HBC suspicious
 - 3 monitoring, because this morning it seemed like
 - 4 you were saying you weren't part of that business

Page 160

Page 161

- and now we've got an email that you're copied on.
- So my question is: Is this something that
- you were focused on or you were copied on because
- you were in a position that you were getting
- copied on things?

10

- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I think it's a combination
- 12 in a position that I was getting copied on. But
- 13 if you read this, if she's saying that there's a
- 14 pharmacy that needs something done and a
- go-look-see, that's part of operations.
- 16 Like I said, I don't run -- just because I
- don't run the warehouse doesn't mean I don't have
- a link to it in terms of how we coordinate things.
- 19 I don't run the warehouse. I don't know what the
- 20 details of operating procedures for warehouse
- 21 procedures are. But for diversion procedures that
- 22 transcend the company, yes.
- 23 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. How many emails like this from Kayla did
- 25 you receive?

- 1 time she reported to a gentleman named Joe
- ² Mashanski who was Shawn's boss, to give you
- Q. That's what I was trying to figure out.
- ⁵ That's helpful. Thank you.
- Do you know why she was sending this email?
- A. Let me read this real quick. She was
- 8 probably responding to -- one of her duties was to
- 9 look to see if -- any of these reports that were
- 10 in place, to look if order quantities were outside
- of a range, and if they were, to make sure that it
- 12 was being communicated to the folks who needed to
- 13 respond.
- Q. Is that something that you knew at the
- 15 time that you were at the company, in other words,
- 16 from 2007 to 2014, that that was her
- 17 responsibility?
- 18 A. Yes. It wouldn't have been that whole
- 19 time. Kayla, she came in as an admin and then her
- 20 kind of skills and responsibilities changed. But
- 21 I wouldn't say from 2007. But, yes, during that
- 22 time, yes, in that time.
- 23 Q. And how did you come to learn that she
- ²⁴ had that role?
- 25 A. I worked for the company.

- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 2 THE WITNESS: I couldn't say. I don't
- 3 know.
- 4 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Do you know more or less than ten or a
- 6 hundred, a thousand, any outer limit?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know how many
- emails from Kayla I received like this.
- BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Do you know what was done other than
- 12 what's in this email? Because I don't think you
- 13 were copied on the upper emails. Do you know what
- was done to address this issue?
- 15 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. This issue
- 16 being the bottom email?
- 17 MR. HUDSON: Yes.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I mean, I don't know the
 - specifics of this. Todd is a regional supervisor
- who reported to me. Probably why I was copied on
- 21 the first email. This was his store. And it
- 22 looks like there's a request to go and just verify
- quantity to make sure that it was accurate and
- 24 needed versus something that could have been a
- ²⁵ diversion. Just reading this, it looks like

1 that's what they did.

² BY MR. HUDSON:

9

Q. Do you have any knowledge about what these types of investigations -- like, for example, was one of Todd's responsibilities in

6 reporting to you to log and describe to you what

⁷ investigations he was involved in to try to figure

⁸ out whether or not prescriptions were legitimate?

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No. There wasn't a requirement to log, you know, these types of

12 discussions. What his responsibilities were to me

13 is that if there was a request from the

14 organization in our integrated diversion

 $^{\rm 15}\,$ practices, prevention practices to go and do an

 16 action, that the action actually took place.

For example, if one of the folks copied on that or connected to that first email did not get

19 a response, they would call and say, hey, Anthony,

²⁰ we need Todd to go do a response.

21 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Right. And I guess I'm trying to get a sense of just during your time with the company, so between November of 2009 when HBC started

²⁵ acting as a distributor for Schedule III

Page 163

¹ controlled substances and March of 2014 when you

² left, do you have any sense of how many of these

types of emails or investigations occurred?
 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

5 THE WITNESS: You're calling them

⁶ investigations. These are inquiries. If

⁷ something comes up on a report, it is an

8 opportunity to verify. So, yeah, they happen.

In terms of the quantity, I don't know. It
 wasn't -- it wasn't a -- it wasn't something that

wasn't it wasn't a wasn't something that

was overly burdensome from an operational. So 12 guess is it was very rare. But when they

13 happened, you took care of them.

14 BY MR. HUDSON:

Q. Did you, yourself, ever review the
 daily -- you know the daily threshold monitoring
 reports? You indicated Ms. Voelker, one of her

18 jobs was to review those; right?

A. I don't know the specifics of how she got that, but only because of where she reported

21 to, if someone would have seen something that

22 flagged, she's in admin, she's going to go and

23 communicate, hey, we need a look-see. Yeah, so

24 that's -- I'm not sure if there's anything more

²⁵ you need there or not.

Page 16

Q. Was there a process in place? In other
 words, was Ms. Voelker creating a daily report do

³ you know?

A. I don't know who was creating it. It

⁵ was somewhere in IT. It happened electronically.

⁶ I mean, it's not -- it wasn't a report that you

⁷ created from the pharmacy. But there was a report

8 that would show quantities and whatever the

⁹ formula for a trigger of a go look-see, if

something was on it, we would make sure that the

11 field representatives went and did that and just

verified that the request for whatever quantity

3 was legitimate and not triggered by something that

was a diversion-related activity.

Q. And how do you know that that occurred, that due diligence or look-see, whatever you're

calling it, how do you know that that occurred?

A. There was a -- you would have to satisfy -- it was more of a closed system. If

20 there was an inquiry, there were like three or

21 four questions that had to be answered. If they

22 didn't get those answers, they would notify me

that those answers weren't received. And I would

press on someone like Todd to make sure that go

⁵ look-see happened.

Page 165

Q. How many times did you have to press on people to have a go look-see occur?

A. Not often.

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Not often because we made

⁶ it very clear that if you're in this role, you're

⁷ going to take these things serious. If I had a

⁸ situation where I had to force folks to do -- to

⁹ follow procedures like this, they wouldn't be in

¹⁰ the roles very long.

¹ BY MR. HUDSON:

² Q. I guess what I'm trying to get at, as

you sit here today, is there any way to quantity the magnitude of orders that got flagged,

15 investigations that occurred, go-look-sees that

occurred, just to quantify the amount of activity

that was happening?MR KORRIN

MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Not that I could say.

There's no requirement to have a log of those

types of things. So I couldn't tell you how often they happened. Remember, these were -- these

types of monitoring systems, they were ours to

develop, and they changed over time.

You know, the scrutiny of them could change

- over time. The amplification of how something
 qualifies to get on the list, they could change.
- ³ So it was an evolving process.
- 4 BY MR. HUDSON:
- ⁵ Q. How would I then figure out how the
- 6 process evolved? Would I look at the
- ⁷ documentation or I got to talk to each individual
- 8 witness? How do I figure out how it evolved and
- ⁹ when it evolved and who was involved?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. You're asking him how you should figure it out or how
- 12 somebody in --
- MR. HUDSON: Anyone figure it out.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know why someone
- ¹⁵ needs to figure it out. Our systems were
- ¹⁶ monitored by the DEA and met federal and legal
- 17 requirements. There was no requirement to retain
- ¹⁸ documentation of what those things looked like.
- 19 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 20 Q. That was true for every distributor in
- 21 the country; right?

25

- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know, like I said,
- what the details of other distributors are.
- Page 167

- ¹ BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. You don't know whether or not other
- ³ distributors were also governed by the DEA?
- 4 MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- 5 THE WITNESS: I know they're governed by
- ⁶ the DEA. I don't know what their -- you asked me
- ⁷ if it was true that they were following those
- ⁸ regulations. I don't know.
- 9 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. What I'm getting at is there's
- 11 regulations that applied, right, across to all
- 12 distributors and to all dispensers?
- A. I think so, yeah. I believe that's
- 14 true.
- Q. So what I'm trying to get at is, is
- 16 there something unique about Giant Eagle that
- 17 gives you this heightened comfort that there was
- 18 no diversion of drugs that were coming from Giant
- 19 Eagle?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I had comfort that we were
- ²² following procedures and the law. It didn't have
- 23 to be unique to give me comfort. It just had to
- ²⁴ be effective and meet the standards.
- 25

- ¹ BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. How do we measure the effectiveness?
- ³ How do we figure that out?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Our operating procedures
- 6 and our policies and making sure they got met,
- ⁷ that was the daily job.
- MR. HUDSON: Let's take a break.
- 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 11:54 we're off the
- ¹⁰ video record.
- (Recess from 11:54 a.m. to 12:36 p.m.)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 12:36 we're on the
- video record.
 - EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. Mr. Mollica, we met at the beginning of
- the deposition. My name is Eric Barton here with
- ¹⁸ Mr. Hudson representing the plaintiffs. I just
- 19 have some follow-up questions for you on a few of
- 20 the topics that have already been touched on this
- 21 morning, if that's all right.
- I understand from your testimony at the
- ²³ beginning of the deposition about your work
- ²⁴ history that you were in -- I think you just
- described it as a leadership role in the Cleveland
 - Page 169

Page 168

- ¹ area for about eight months before you became the
- ² director of operations, pharmacy operations for
- ³ Giant Eagle; is that right?
- A. Yes. The titles changed. It used to be
- ⁵ called pharmacy specialist. Now it's called
- ⁶ pharmacy district leader. That was the role.
 - Q. That was going to be my first question,
- 8 what was your title, but it was either pharmacy
- what was your title, but it was either pharmac
- ⁹ specialist or pharmacy district leader?
- 10 A. Yeah. I can't remember because they
 - changed it somewhere in that era.
- Q. And this was, I think, in about 2006,
- 13 about then?

20

- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. In that role, whatever your title, in
- that role do I understand correctly that you would
- have had some supervisory responsibility for some
- 18 number of stores in your geographic area, which
- ⁻⁹ was the Cleveland area at that time?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. Can you just give us a little more
 - detail about what your area or territory was at
- 23 that period of time in the Cleveland area for
- those eight months that you held that position?
 - A. Yeah, but please understand they changed

- ¹ my territory so many different times. I've had so
- ² many different ones. I may not be a hundred
- ³ percent accurate.
- But it's my recollection the ones in that
- particular area were on the west side, like in the
- ⁶ suburban areas. I didn't have like inner city
- ones. But the ones that were west of Cleveland and maybe southwest.
- 9 Q. How many stores in that role, and I understand that may have varied, too --
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. -- with what you said, but approximately
- 13 how many stores would you have had supervisory
- ¹⁴ responsibility for?
 - A. It would have been some number between
- ¹⁶ 20 and 30. They changed the size of the
- ¹⁷ territories over time, too. It's in that range
- 18 somewhere. At that particular time, too, even
- 19 though it was, quote-unquote, on paper for eight
- 20 months, there was a big acquisition going on at
- ²¹ that time, and I was helping with the acquisition.
- 22 So I was -- they had interim leaders
- ²³ overseeing my, quote-unquote, stores while I was
- working on the acquisition piece, too.
- Q. Given the number of stores and where you

- 1 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. That's fine.
- A. In south, like Medina area, like going
- 4 into Akron, you know, the ones that are kind of on

Page 172

Page 173

- ⁵ the outskirts. I didn't have the...
- 6 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. Let me ask a general question about the
- Giant Eagle retail pharmacies that we're talking
- about here.
- Is it accurate for us to understand that
- these retail pharmacies were always connected to
- or are a part of Giant Eagle supermarket grocery
- 13 stores?
- A. Yes. Over the years, not in that
- particular area, over the years there were onesie
- 16 twosie things where a Giant Eagle would be in an
- independently-owned grocery store that Giant Eagle
- distributed groceries to, but they were always
- Giant Eagle pharmacies.
- 20 There were none in -- I don't think there
- 21 were any -- after the acquisition in Cleveland,
- 22 there were two stores -- I don't even remember the
- 23 names of them -- that we had Giant Eagle
- 24 pharmacies in. I think there were two of them in
- ²⁵ the Cleveland market that we ran Giant Eagle

Page 171

- ¹ were, do you believe that you did have supervisory
- ² responsibility during that period of time in the
- ³ position of pharmacy specialist or pharmacy
- ⁴ district leader for Giant Eagle retail pharmacies
- ⁵ in Cuyahoga or Summit counties?
- MR. BARNES: Object to form. Can you
- define what you mean by supervisory?
- MR. BARTON: Whatever he would say his
- roles were as pharmacy specialist or pharmacy 10 district leader.
- 11
- THE WITNESS: I honestly don't know the 12 counties. If you show me a map, I'd probably be
- 13 able to -- I don't know which counties. I'm from
- ¹⁴ Pennsylvania originally, not Ohio. So I'm not
- ¹⁵ sure what the county names are. If you had store
- ¹⁶ specific -- I didn't have any of the ones that
- ¹⁷ were like in Cleveland proper, if that's what
- ¹⁸ you're asking, at that time.
- 19 It's my recollection that I had -- at one
- ²⁰ time I had stores that were east of Cleveland and
- 21 more like going into Youngstown. But at that
- 22 time, it's my recollection it was the ones that
- ²³ were kind of further out there in the west. I
- ²⁴ didn't have the city stores.

25

Is that what those counties correspond to?

- ¹ pharmacies inside of the other grocery -- the
- ² independent grocery store banner. But then they
- ³ were closed in some short time afterwards. But
- ⁴ for the most part, yes.
- Q. That's helpful. So there were rare
- ⁶ examples, if I understand correctly, there were a
- couple of rare examples that didn't last where a
- Giant Eagle pharmacy that you or Giant Eagle
- operations people had responsibility for the
- operations of those pharmacies, but a couple of
- 11 them may have been physically located for a time
- 12 in a different grocery store, not a Giant Eagle
 - grocery store?
- A. Correct. I do want to note as my
- recollection, too, after the acquisition, my
- territory at least for a couple of months -- I
- don't remember the exact dates -- were just to
- help the pharmacies that we just acquired. Some
- of those may have been in those counties. But,
- like I said, I'm not sure what the county borders
- are for those.
- 22 MR. BARNES: Eric, when you say
- different, you mean did they run the Giant Eagle
- banner that they were independent or did they run
- some other banner?

- MR. BARTON: Yeah. Let me ask that
- ² question just to flesh it out. I realize we're
- ³ talking about exceptions, but we might as well
- ⁴ understand all the alternatives here.
- 5 BY MR. BARTON:
- 6 Q. In the instances where Giant Eagle owned
- 7 and operated or purchased and operated a pharmacy
- 8 within a grocery store that had a different
- 9 banner, a different brand, not Giant Eagle as the
- 10 supermarket or grocery store --
- MR. BARNES: If that occurred.
- 12 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. In those instances and if that occurred,
- 14 did the pharmacy inside that grocery store have
- ¹⁵ kind of clear Giant Eagle signage, branding?
- A. Yes, yes. They were branded, licensed.
- 17 Even the uniforms were the same. The bottle
- 18 labels had Giant Eagle Pharmacy on them.
- Q. Operationally within the Giant Eagle
- 20 pharmacy operations group, operationally did those
- 21 pharmacies operate any differently than a Giant
- 22 Eagle pharmacy inside a Giant Eagle grocery store?
- A. The more accurate way to say it is they
- ²⁴ didn't operate different than any other Giant
- ²⁵ Eagle that was an independently-owned Giant Eagle,

- A. Right, the books.
- Q. The handling of the money would differ

Page 176

Page 177

- ³ between an independently-owned grocery store
- 4 licensed by Giant Eagle or a Giant Eagle
- 5 company-owned store; correct?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. And, therefore, you said that the Giant
- 8 Eagle pharmacies inside independently-owned stores
- 9 might operate a little differently than Giant
- 10 Eagle pharmacies inside company-owned stores from
- 11 the standpoint of how the money is handled or
- 12 where it goes?
- A. Yeah, the financial reporting pieces
- 14 only. Everything else was the same.
 - Q. Everything else being the same and
- 16 specifically since in this case we're talking a
- 17 lot about the security and policies for handling
- 18 of controlled substances; correct?
- A. It would have been the same.
- Q. So it doesn't matter where the store is.
- That aspect of the operations would be the same
- ²² for Giant Eagle pharmacies everywhere you go?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. Quickly, some of this is just basic
- ²⁵ background, but just to kind of establish a few

- 1 meaning the way you handled the money. Because
- ² the dollars were separate, there was a different
- ³ procedure for that.
- 4 There are some Giant Eagle grocery stores
- 5 that are independently owned. It was the same
- 6 procedure as would be in an independently-owned
- ⁷ Giant Eagle.
- 8 Q. Thank you. One of the things just for
- ⁹ us to be clear about, within all of the Giant
- 10 Eagle grocery stores that someone may come upon in
- any of the states in which you operate or
- 12 operated, some of those Giant Eagle grocery stores
- 13 are independently owned and operated, but just
- 14 licensed or franchised or whatever the term would
- 15 be from Giant Eagle while others are company-owned
- 16 grocery stores; is that right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. Is there any way for somebody driving by
- 19 on the street to tell which kind they're looking
- 20 at?
- A. No. It shouldn't be any different from
- 22 the viewpoint of a customer.
- Q. When you just referenced the difference
- ²⁴ in operations, I think the example of what you
- referred to is just the handling of the money.

- things, did Giant Eagle operate any pharmacies not
- ² within grocery stores or supermarkets? In other
- ³ words, did they have any just standalone
- ⁴ exclusively pharmacy locations?
- A. The only one that you could even, you
- ⁶ know, question if it was, if you consider a
- ⁷ grocery store, was Giant Eagle Express. It was
- 8 more of a C store, convenience store format. It
- ⁹ was not a traditional C store, but it had some
- extended grocery, but it was a different format
- than a traditional grocery store. And there was a
- pharmacy in that. But once again, the procedures
- would have been identical.
- Q. That example, was that just a one store example?
- ¹⁶ A. Yes.
- Q. Or is that a one concept?
- A. There may be more now, but at the time I
- ¹⁹ was there, there was only one.
- Q. You answered the question already.
- ²¹ Operationally, even in that instance from the
- 22 standpoint of security and control and controlled
- substances for sure, that pharmacy would operate
- ²⁴ the same way?
- A. Correct.

- 1 Q. And likewise, from the merchandising
- side or the supply side of where each of these
 Giant Eagle pharmacies would be getting its drugs,
- ⁴ its product, would those channels be the same
- ⁵ regardless of which kind of setting we're talking
- 6 about?
- A. The channels were the same, but, as I
- 8 recall, depending on the locations from warehouses
- ⁹ and things like that, the frequency of
- 10 distribution may have been different in certain
- 11 markets. But where the drugs came from and things
- 12 like that were relatively the same.
- McKesson will be able to answer better, but I
- 14 think, for example, in the Columbus market, the
- ¹⁵ distribution center from McKesson was different
- 16 than the one that supplied Western Pennsylvania.
- 17 I just think the differences were more logistical,
- 18 not so much procedural.
- Q. All of these Giant Eagle retail
- 20 pharmacies regardless of where they were, did they
- 21 all serve the general public?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. So none of them were specialized only
- ²⁴ servicing some exclusive group of people; correct?
 - A. There was a pilot for two different

Page 180

- 1 Cleveland metro area, not all of their customers
- ² necessarily have to live within those counties;
- ³ correct?
 - A. No, no. The restrictions to pharmacy
- ⁵ filling are more about where the physician's
- ⁶ license originates, not so much where the patient
- ⁷ does. However, I will say if you had -- if you
- 8 were a pharmacist and you were getting out of town
- ⁹ or a frequency of other than the normal kind of
- 10 course of business, those would be flags you would
- question and verify with doctors and want to take
- 12 additional steps if you thought that there was
 - something that didn't make sense.
- Q. So that would be an example of where if
- 15 you have a bunch of customers coming in from some
- far away place, that would seem a little odd to
- ¹⁷ the pharmacists; correct?
 - A. I would think it would, yes.
- Q. But within a metro area where there are
- 20 a number of counties that all kind of would be
- 21 considered part of the broader metro area, that
- 22 isn't likely to trigger a flag in the eyes of the
- 23 pharmacist; fair?
- A. No, not if someone was from a general
- ²⁵ radius of a store, no, I can't imagine that would.

Page 179

- ¹ types of businesses. One was a compounding
- ² pharmacy that was located in Pittsburgh. It was a
- ³ closed door. It wasn't open to the public. So I
- ⁴ would consider that more of a specialty type of
- ⁵ pharmacy.
- 6 Then there was an acquisition of another
- ⁷ closed door pharmacy that was specific to
- ⁸ hepatitis C patients that was in Cleveland.
- 9 Q. So other than those two examples you can
- think of, ordinarily every other Giant Eagle
- 11 retail pharmacy served the general public;
- 12 correct?
- ¹³ A. Correct.
- Q. So for a pharmacy that serves the
- ¹⁵ general public, does that pharmacy -- does it
- ¹⁶ matter to that pharmacy where its customer lives?
- A. I'm not sure. Will you rephrase that?
- ¹⁸ I'm not sure what your question is.
- Q. I'm just getting at --
- A. Would we only take customers from a
- 21 certain area?
- Q. A certain county, a certain city.
- A. No. It was general public.
- Q. So Giant Eagle pharmacies that are
- ²⁵ located in Summit or Cuyahoga counties in the

- Page 181
 Q. And just as Giant Eagle pharmacies in
- ² Summit or Cuyahoga counties might have customers
- ³ from adjoining counties that come in to use that
- 4 pharmacy for some reason, the same could be true
- ⁵ that residents of Cuyahoga and Summit counties
- 6 might for whatever reason visit and regularly use
- ⁷ a Giant Eagle pharmacy that's located outside of
- 8 one of those counties; correct?
- 9 MR. BARNES: Object to form to the
- extent it's asking to speculate.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I was just going to
- 12 have to clarify. I'm not sure which one Summit
- 13 County is. Cuyahoga I'm pretty sure is where
- 14 Cleveland is located. Which one is Summit County?
- 15 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. I believe a map would show -- I'm not
- from there either, but I believe a map would show
- ¹⁸ Summit is directly south of Cuyahoga County.
- A. Because you were saying in the vicinity.
- Again, your question was?
 Q. My question is that people who live in
- 22 Summit or Cuyahoga County might go to a Giant
- 23 Eagle store in an adjoining county?
- A. It's possible, sure.

25

Q. And that might normally happen?

A. Yes.

O. But there's no restriction

³ geographically on where people need to go for

their pharmacies to pick up their drugs; correct?

A. That's correct. Well, that's not

⁶ entirely -- controlled substances have a

⁷ restriction in terms of how often they can

8 transfer. IIIs through Vs are only allowed to be

⁹ transferred legally one time. Ils obviously are

10 single fill only. So they wouldn't be able to go

11 from pharmacy to pharmacy with refills.

12 But in terms of bringing in a new prescription though, there's not a restriction in

14 terms of what pharmacy they can enter.

Q. I'm glad you brought that up. So what ¹⁶ you're referring there by transfer, you're

17 referring to a situation where one pharmacy has a

prescription for a customer and transfers that

prescription at the customer's request to a

²⁰ different pharmacy? 21

A. Correct.

22 Q. So what you're saying is there's

²³ regulations that say for controlled substances,

24 there are limits on how frequently a pharmacy can

²⁵ transfer prescriptions of controlled substances

Page 183

¹ for a particular customer?

2 A. It's a limit. It's once for III through

3 Vs.

Q. And transfers of prescriptions, that

usually happens at the customer's request; is that

6 right?

7 A. That would be the only request that we

would ever have for a transfer.

O. For example, if someone goes on a

10 vacation and they run out of their prescription in

11 a far away place and they need it filled, there's

12 a mechanism by which that customer can call their

13 pharmacy back where they live, the one they

¹⁴ usually use, that has their prescription and that

¹⁵ pharmacy, if the right things happen, that

¹⁶ pharmacy can transfer that prescription to a far

away pharmacy even in a different state, and that

18 remote pharmacy can fill the prescription;

19 correct?

23

20 A. That's correct. There's a procedure in

place for pharmacists to do transfers that have to

22 be met, but yes.

Q. Or if a customer of one pharmacy moves

and wants to just move to a different pharmacy

²⁵ across town but is in the middle of a

Page 184

¹ prescription, they can have the prescription

² transferred from one pharmacy to another one

³ across town; correct?

A. They could go downtown to get dinner and

decide to pick up the prescription downtown and

transfer it. But with controls, it's only once.

Q. But my earlier set of questions was

really just simply confirming that it doesn't

matter in what county someone lives. Whether they

have prescriptions for controlled substances or

noncontrolled, it doesn't matter what county they

12 live in in terms of what county of a pharmacy they

choose to go to have the prescription filled in

the first instance; correct?

A. The law as I understand it has

16 restriction on the doctor has to be from that

state and there's no law that says that you can't

go 50 miles away from where you live to get it

19 filled.

15

20 However, if you had an out-of-town

prescription, first time fill, the customer coming

²² in for controlled substances, most pharmacists

would at least ask some clarifying questions, want

more documentation from the prescriber, which is a

very common practice to call and verify.

Page 185

Q. Let me ask you about that. That sounds

² to me, from what you described, like one of those

³ issues of kind of independent pharmacists'

⁴ judgment that you talked about or you mentioned

that Giant Eagle supports; is that right?

A. That's right.

Q. Are there written policies or procedures

at the pharmacy level that specifically address

that point?

A. There's best practices that we will put

in place, and I can't remember the specifics, but

12 we would -- I believe they were in our dispensing

guidelines, too, but we had -- we did live

14 re-attestments to that, even role playing at

annual meetings where we bring pharmacists in.

And then, of course, the Pharmacy Act, we made

sure that every pharmacist understood the

corresponding responsibility piece, which that's

19 inclusive of.

20 Q. That's an issue of best practices and

you do some training of pharmacists about

²² recognizing, things like that, red flags being

23 raised; correct?

24

25

A. Correct.

Q. Is there any state or federal law that

- specifically requires Giant Eagle or any other
- 2 retail pharmacy to go through some specific
- ³ process when someone brings a controlled substance
- ⁴ prescription written in one county and takes it to
- ⁵ a pharmacy 50 miles away or two counties away to
- 6 have it filled?
- 7 MR. BARNES: Object to form. Asking him
- 8 for a legal conclusion and/or to interpret laws.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with any
- 10 law that requires that type of procedure.
- 11 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. But you and you believe Giant Eagle
- 13 during the time you were there considered that to
- ¹⁴ be one of those issues of best practices of
- 15 looking for red flags, things that don't quite
- 16 make sense in the normal day to day, and following
- ¹⁷ up about it; correct?
- A. The DEA puts language in their regs that
- 19 say things like takes steps to ensure, and they're
- 20 very vague about those, even when you ask
- 21 specifically how would you define "take steps to
- 22 ensure."
- So they leave it in a lot of cases to
- 24 pharmacies to interpret and come up with what you
- ²⁵ consider a good operating standard, and that's how

- pharmacy operations for the company; right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And with that promotion did you have a
- ⁴ responsibility for pharmacy operations for the
- ⁵ entire company?
 - A. For the operations piece, yes.
 - Q. How many states at that time did Giant
- 8 Eagle have pharmacies in?
 - A. Four. There were two pharmacies in
- 10 Maryland, two in West Virginia, but predominantly
- ¹¹ Pennsylvania and Ohio.
- Q. And the same was true once your title
 - ³ became vice-president of pharmacy operations?
- That is, you had responsibility for the operations
- in retail pharmacies in every state in which Giant
- ¹⁶ Eagle operated pharmacies; correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. So company-wide all the pharmacies. And
- 19 you understood that each state does have its own
- ²⁰ set of regulations for licensing both pharmacists
- 21 and pharmacies?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And I think you said one of your roles
- as director of operations and later vice-president
- of operations for the company was making sure that

Page 187

- ¹ we would manage it.
- Q. In terms of tracking how well
- ³ pharmacists or how consistently Giant Eagle
- ⁴ pharmacists were doing that, was there any for you
- 5 as director and vice-president of operations in
- ⁶ pharmacy to assess the compliance of Giant Eagle
- ⁷ pharmacists with that kind of a best practices
- 8 standard for the company as a whole?
- ⁹ A. Sure. An example is like we're doing
- 10 right now. A lot of it was if you had, you know,
- ¹¹ an order that seemed high, going and talking to
- 12 the pharmacist, getting that point of view, doing,
- 13 like I said, our monthly audits and reviews,
- 14 making sure that on-hand quantities and things
- 15 like that aren't altered.
- But a lot of it is boots on the ground. Even
- while you see in emails a request for Todd in the
- 18 last document that you gave me to go and do a
- 19 look-see, that's what those steps are designed to
- 20 do.
- Q. I think my question was just whether --
- 22 let's move on just in terms of your role. We
- 23 started by talking about when you were a pharmacy
- ²⁴ district leader or pharmacy specialist in the
- ²⁵ Cleveland area. You were promoted to director of

- Page 189

 1 company pharmacies were following the regulatory
- ² requirements for the pharmacy; correct?
- A. Correct.
- ⁴ Q. And so while there were others below you
- ⁵ who also had those responsibilities at the
- 6 pharmacy and district levels, you kind of had the
- ⁷ ultimate responsibility for that kind of
- 8 compliance, if you will, pharmacy compliance,
- ⁹ regulatory compliance for the company as a whole;
- 10 correct?

- 11 A. Yeah, making sure that we were following
- state laws we were operating in.
 - Q. You did understand that each state does
- have its own regulatory body like state Boards of
- ¹⁵ Pharmacy; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Its own sets of regulations. In the
- 18 realm of security and control of controlled
- substances, were you aware of any state law
- ²⁰ differences from one state to another in that area
- 21 of how pharmacies were required to operate?
 - A. Not anything that comes to mind that
- 23 would have been different, not even in a
- ²⁴ noncontrolled area. Different states have
- ²⁵ different requirements for like document retention

- ¹ and things like that, you know, requirements for
- ² everything from where if you had a central
- ³ dispensing area, are they licensed in your state
- 4 versus not needed to be, those types of things,
- ⁵ what the interval of licensure updates and things
- 6 like that are. But most of it is the same from
- ⁷ state to state.
- Q. I'm going to mark a couple of things as
- exhibits. I'm going to hand you 13 and 14 here.
- (HBC-Mollica Exhibits 13 14 were marked.)
- 11 (Witness reviewed the exhibit.)
- 12 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. Mr. Mollica, I've handed you two
- documents, Exhibits 13 and 14. Do you see that?
- 16 Q. And I'll just represent to you that
- Exhibit 13 is a printout of the Ohio
- ¹⁸ Administrative Code Section 4729-9-05, and this is
- 19 the version that was in effect in 2009 through its
- ²⁰ next amendment, which I believe was in 2011 or
- ²¹ '12, although it wasn't a major amendment.
- 22 But this is during the time that you were in
- 23 the position of director of operations, pharmacy
- ²⁴ operations for Giant Eagle; correct?
- A. Yes.

agree?

- Q. And so in subsection (A) -- I'm not
- going to read all of these, but we're just seeing

A. I mean, I agree that 4729-9-05 is

what these are. In subsection (A), the very first

Q. And it's within the chapter applicable

⁴ That's what this purports to be addressing; do you

³ to dangerous drugs, do you see there up above?

- sentence says, "All registrants shall provide
- 12 effective and approved controls and procedures to
- deter and detect theft and diversion of dangerous
- 14 drugs." 15 Did I read that correctly?

A. Yeah.

security requirements.

- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. All registrants, using that word in
- these regulations, would you assume that that
- refers in this instance to pharmacies if this is
- regulating pharmacies?
- 21 MR. BARNES: Object to form. Don't 22 speculate.
- 23 THE WITNESS: I'm not even sure what
- ²⁴ you're asking me. I can verify that's what it
- 25 says on the piece of paper the way you read it.

Page 191

- Q. And then Exhibit 14 is, likewise, a ² printout of the Ohio Administrative Code, and it's
- ³ Section 4729-9-11, also the version in effect in
- 4 2009 through at least 2011 until it was next
- 5 amended. Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So these two documents are part of the
- pharmacy regulations applicable to pharmacies in
- the state of Ohio. Do you agree with that?
- 10 A. Yeah. That's what it appears to be,
- 11 yes.
- 12 Q. And these are administered by in Ohio I
- 13 think the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy; correct?
 - A. Yeah. It would be the Ohio state board.
- 15 Q. These particular ones, looking at
- 16 Exhibit 13, which is Section 4729-9-05, that's
- security requirements for dangerous drugs. Do you
- agree with that?
- 19 MR. BARNES: Are you reading that from somewhere?
- MR. BARTON: No. I'm just
- 22 characterizing it.
- 23 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. The title is Security Requirements.
- 25 That's the title of this particular regulation?

Page 193

- 1 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. Well, do you believe that in the State
- ³ of Ohio, all pharmacies were required to have
- ⁴ effective and approved controls and procedures to
- ⁵ deter and detect theft and diversion of dangerous
- 6 drugs?
- A. Sure.
- Q. And in subsection (B), it says that,
- "Substantial compliance with the standards set
- 10 forth in 4729-9-11 of the Administrative Code may
- 11 be deemed sufficient by the State Board of
- 12 Pharmacy after evaluation of the overall security
- system and needs of the applicant or registrant."
- 14 Did I read that correctly?
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. So that's Exhibit 14, is the section
- that's referenced there. Do you see that?
- Exhibit 14 is 4729-9-11.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. I want you to -- in the second sentence
- of subsection (B) back on Exhibit 13, it says, "In
- evaluating the overall security system of a
- registrant or applicant, the State Board of
- 24 Pharmacy may consider any of the following factors
- 25 as they deem relevant for strict compliance with

- ¹ security requirements."
- 2 Do you see that?
- ³ A. Yes.
- Q. First of all, strict compliance with
- ⁵ security requirements, do you believe that that is
- ⁶ what Ohio, the State of Ohio expected for its
- retail pharmacies?
- 8 MR. BARNES: Object to form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I don't know what -- what
- 10 it says here is that they considered the following
- 11 as they deem relevant. So how they deemed these
- 12 relevant, I can't speak for how the Board would in
- ¹³ those particular situations.
- 14 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. Do you believe that Giant Eagle -- in
- ¹⁶ the position of director and vice-president of
- ¹⁷ pharmacy operations, did you try to achieve strict
- 18 compliance of security requirements?
- A. Our goal is to achieve compliance with
- ²⁰ any regulation and legal requirement.
- Q. But not necessarily strict compliance?
- MR. BARNES: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know what the
- ²⁴ definition of strict is. We followed -- we
- ²⁵ followed what was required.
- Page 195
- ¹ BY MR. BARTON:
- O. So one of the factors that the state
- ³ Board of Pharmacy may deem relevant is down in
- ⁴ Section 14 at the bottom of the page on
- ⁵ Exhibit 13. It's "Adequacy of the registrant's or
- ⁶ applicant's system for monitoring the receipt,
- ⁷ manufacture, distribution and disposition of
- ⁸ dangerous drugs in its operation."
- 9 Do you see that?
- ¹⁰ A. Yes.
- Q. So do you believe the State of Ohio
- ¹² expected pharmacies to have a system for
- 13 monitoring the receipt of dangerous drugs?
- MR. BARNES: Object to form. Lack of
- ¹⁵ relevance.
- THE WITNESS: It says -- it states here
- ¹⁷ that one of the requirements that they may deem
- 18 relevant is adequacy of the registrant's or
- ¹⁹ applicant's system for monitoring the receipt.
- 20 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. And if the registrant in the State of
- 22 Ohio was a registrant or applicant that
- ²³ distributed dangerous drugs, likewise, you believe
- ²⁴ the State of Ohio expected them to have a system
- ²⁵ for monitoring the distribution of the dangerous

- ¹ drugs in its operation; correct?
- MR. BARNES: Object to form. Misstates
- ³ the very document you're showing him.
- THE WITNESS: What I see here is there's
- ⁵ 14 examples of what they may deem relevant.
- 6 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. Did you deem it relevant as director and
- ⁸ VP, vice-president of operations for Giant Eagle,
- ⁹ pharmacy operations, did you deem it relevant for
- 10 Giant Eagle to have a system for monitoring the
- 11 receipt or distribution of dangerous drugs in its
- ² operation?

13

15

21

- A. I believe that's what we were doing.
- Q. So you did deem it relevant?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. In fact, that would have been
- ¹⁷ particularly important to you in your position for
- ¹⁸ overseeing pharmacy operations for all of the
- 19 retail pharmacies in the company; correct?
- ²⁰ A. Yes.
 - Q. If you turn the page to subsection (D),
- 22 that says, "Any registrant or applicant" -- sorry.
- ²³ I'll let you get there. I'm on the second page
- 24 toward the top.
 - It says, "Any registrant or applicant
- Page 197

Page 196

- ¹ desiring to determine whether a proposed security
- ² system substantially complies with or is the
- ³ structural equivalent of the requirements set
- ⁴ forth in Rule 4729-9-11 of the Administrative Code
- 5 may submit any plans, blueprints, sketches or
- 6 other materials regarding the proposed security
- ⁷ system to the State Board of Pharmacy."
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know whether you ever did that or
- anyone else from Giant Eagle ever submitted any
- proposed plans for a security system to the State
- 13 of Ohio?
- A. In Ohio not only did you have to give
- the blueprint, but they did a physical inspection
 - 6 of the security systems.
- Q. And that was part of opening a new
- 18 pharmacy, for example?
- 19 A. Yes. And they did routine inspections
- ²⁰ after they were open as well.
- Q. Did that include submitting policies and
- procedures to Ohio, or are you interpreting that
- 23 as just referring to the physical structure
- 24 itself?

25

A. That's what I do believe they are

- ¹ referring to here. That was the requirement.
- ² They have -- in other parts of the Ohio Boards,
- 3 there are requirements for what you have to do in
- ⁴ order to open a pharmacy, and this piece is
- ⁵ included in there.
- Q. Do you recall ever submitting any
- 7 changes to any plans or security procedures to the
- 8 State of Ohio when there were any changes to those
- ⁹ that you may have made as a company?
- A. As it pertained to what they're
- 11 referring to here, physical changes to the
- 12 pharmacy in terms of the storage of controlled
- 13 substances, if we made physical changes to a cage
- substances, if we made physical changes to a eage 14 or something along those lines, you would submit
- 15 any changes.
- Not even referring to controlled substances,
- ¹⁷ but if you made a physical change to a pharmacy at
- ¹⁸ any time other than, you know, where you put the
- ¹⁹ filing cabinet, physical changes, you would have
- 20 to submit them to the state.
- Q. And would that also apply to any just
- 22 changes in policies, procedures or operations?
- A. No. The state to my knowledge doesn't
- ²⁴ require you to -- your internal policies and
- procedures, to submit to them.
- Page 199
- Q. Go back to -- let's go back to
- ² Exhibit 5, which was the Ohio State Board of
- ³ Pharmacy proceedings against one particular
- ⁴ pharmacy in Ohio.
- 5 MR. BARNES: This is the one that's
- 6 outside Case Track One jurisdiction?
- 7 MR. BARTON: It's Exhibit 5, P-GEN-111.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I have it here.
- 9 MR. BARNES: I think we have a
- 10 continuing objection that this does not relate to
- ¹¹ Case Track One jurisdiction.
- MR. BARTON: The continuing objection is
- 13 fine.
- 14 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. So this a Giant Eagle #4098 in Chardon,
- ¹⁶ Ohio; correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. And do you know where Chardon is?
- A. Yeah. I think it's -- jeez, now I can't
- ²⁰ remember. It's a suburb of the Cleveland area. I
- can't recall if it's on the east or the west side.
- Q. I'll represent to you I believe it's on
- 23 the east side.
- A. I was just going to say my gut is
- ²⁵ telling me east side.

- Page 200 Q. Do you know what county it's in?
- A. No, no.
- Q. Do you recall there being a Geauga
- ⁴ County? I don't know if I say it right.
- A. No. I know that there's -- I know
- ⁶ there's a part of Ohio called Geauga, but I don't
- ⁷ know if it's a county or town.
- Q. Well, I'll represent that Chardon is in
- ⁹ that county, whether I pronounce it correctly or
- 10 not, and that county borders Cuyahoga County.
- 11 It's adjacent to Cuyahoga County to the east.
- 12 It's the county directly east and adjacent to
 - ³ Cuyahoga County.
- ¹⁴ A. That makes sense.
 - Q. Do you have any reason to disagree with
- 16 that?

15

18

- ¹⁷ A. No.
 - Q. The map will be what the map is.
- A. The map is what the map is.
- Q. There was some discussion of this, but I
- ²¹ just want to make it clear. You understand --
- one, this did happen during the time you were
- ²³ director of pharmacy operations for the company;
- ²⁴ correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 201

- Q. And you do understand that this
 - ² settlement agreement began and, in fact, it
 - ³ recites that the state Board of Pharmacy was
 - ⁴ charging that pharmacy with violating the
 - ⁵ regulations that we just looked at. Do you
 - 6 understand that?
 - A. No. Could you refer to that section
 - 8 again?

12

- ⁹ Q. Yeah. If you look on the second page of
- the part we're talking about, after the settlement
- agreement, but the next page.
 - A. The next page after this one, that one?
 - Q. Yeah. That's the one.
- 14 If you look at the second whereas clause,
- ¹⁵ first of all, it says, whereas, on or about
- ¹⁶ July 14, 2011, pursuant to Chapter 119 of the Ohio
- 17 Revised Code, that Giant Eagle store was notified
- 18 of the allegations or charges against it, its
- 19 right to hearing, its rights in such hearing and
- ²⁰ its right to submit contentions in writing.
- ²¹ Further, a hearing was scheduled and continued by
- 22 the Board, and the July 14, 2011 notice of
- ²³ opportunity for hearing contains the following
- ²⁴ allegations or charges.
- Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. Number two is one of -- you understand
- ³ that number two then below is one of the
- ⁴ allegations or charges that was made by the state
- ⁵ Board of Pharmacy against this store?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And that is that Giant Eagle Pharmacy
- 8 #4098 did from May 1, 2009 through January 21,
- ⁹ 2011 fail to provide effective and approved
- 10 controls and procedures to deter and detect theft
- ¹¹ and diversion of dangerous drugs, to wit: The
- 12 following controlled substances and dangerous
- 13 drugs where stolen from the pharmacy, yet internal
- ¹⁴ control procedures failed to deter or detect the
- ¹⁵ theft: correct?
- A. Yes. That's what it says.
- Q. Then number three also describes the
- 18 same. When it carries onto the next page, it
- ¹⁹ actually specifically lists the drugs that were
- ²⁰ admitted by a technician to a Board agent that
- 21 that list of drugs were diverted to her addicted
- 22 husband and also sold to someone else; right? Do
- ²³ you understand that?
- A. Correct.

25

Q. That are those are the facts being

- ¹ to respond to that notice of opportunity in the
 - ² hearing letter dated July 14, 2011?
 - A. Most of that would have been done by
 - ⁴ counsel and working directly with the folks who
 - ⁵ were touching that. Mine is more indirect. The
 - ⁶ pharmacy district leader and the folks who run
 - ⁷ that particular pharmacy would probably be more
 - 8 intimate with, you know, the details of that.
 - 9 I'm aware of the incident, but the details in
 - o terms of how the response, I wouldn't have been as
 - 11 integral as others would be.
 - O. One of the things that Giant Eagle 4098
 - agreed to do is listed in paragraph (A) there.
 - Giant Eagle #4098 agrees to adopt and implement
 - 15 the policies as submitted to the Ohio State Board
 - of Pharmacy in its letter dated September 27,
 - ¹⁷ 2011. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And do you recall being a part of
 - ²⁰ reviewing or assisting in the preparation of that
 - letter to the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy?
 - A. I don't recall specifically, but my
 - ²³ feeling is, yes, I would have reviewed that
 - ²⁴ letter.

25

12

Q. As you sit here, do you know what

Page 203

- ¹ alleged. And it lists the drugs. It then follows
- ² by saying, such conduct is in violation of
- ³ 4729-9-05 of the Ohio Administrative Code, which
- 4 is what we just looked at in Exhibit 13?
- 5 A. It says that after -- it says
- ⁶ allegations or charges.
- Q. Right. Your point is this is an
- 8 allegation or a charge; correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. By the State Board of Pharmacy against
- 11 this specific pharmacy, not just the technician,
- 12 but against the pharmacy for internal controls not
- 13 preventing or deferring this from happening;
- 14 correct?
- A. Yes, for this instance, yes.
- Q. Then it says in the next sentence below,
- 17 Giant Eagle #4098 neither admits nor denies the
- 18 allegations in that notice of opportunity for
- ¹⁹ hearing letter dated July 14, 2011; correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. That speaks for itself. It neither
- ²² admitted or denied those allegations; correct?
- A. Yeah. It basically says nothing. It
- ²⁴ says neither, nor. So, yes.
- Q. Were you a part of the decision of how

- ¹ policies that pharmacy agreed to adopt and
- ² implement as part of the settlement agreement?

Page 205

- A. I don't recall that specifically. If
- 4 you let me look at them, I could try to
- ⁵ refamiliarize myself. That was eight years ago.
- ⁶ I don't remember specific to that incident.
- Q. Would you agree that it's reasonable to
- 8 infer from Giant Eagle's #4098's agreement to
- ⁹ adopt and implement policies as part of this
- o settlement that those policies that it agreed to
- adopt and implement would have been reasonable
- ¹² policies?

13

- MR. BARNES: Object.
- THE WITNESS: If you could show me the
- ¹⁵ policies that they're referring to, I could answer
- ¹⁶ that more properly.
- 17 BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. I'm asking a simpler question, which is:
- ⁹ Would you agree that what happened here is this
- 20 store wrote a letter to the State Board of
- ²¹ Pharmacy, and it agreed to adopt and implement
- ²² policies that were outlined in that letter? Do
- ²³ you agree with that?
- ²⁴ A. Yes.
 - Q. That was part of the settlement of this

- ¹ whole thing; right?
- A. Yeah. It states here that there were --³ agreed to implement policies specific to the
- 4 incident that were included in this document or
- ⁵ along with this. I guess I'd have to familiarize
- 6 myself to be specific.
- Q. It doesn't actually say agrees to adopt
- and implement policies specific to this incident,
- but the letters --
- 10 A. Do you not agree that they're specific
- 11 to this incident?
- 12 Q. No. I'm saying that we don't have the
- 13 letter, the September 27, 2011 letter. We'd like
- 14 to see it. We don't have that.
 - A. I don't have it either.
- 16 Q. But I'm just asking you -- I'm just
- ¹⁷ asking you: Do you believe that Giant Eagle #4098
- as part of the settlement of this proposed to
- adopt and implement reasonable procedures?
- 20 MR. BARNES: Object to form. Asking you
- 21 to speculate.
- 22 THE WITNESS: What I believe is that
- 23 Giant Eagle 4098 agreed to adopt and implement
- ²⁴ policies that were specific to this incident as
- ²⁵ related to this particular Board inquiry.
- Page 207

- ¹ BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. And do you believe the policies that it proposed would have been reasonable policies?
- MR. BARNES: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I would think that makes
- ⁶ sense, yes, reasonable.
- BY MR. BARTON:
- Q. And you would also agree that those
- reasonable policies had not yet been adopted or
- 10 implemented by that store? Do you agree with
- 11 that?
- 12 MR. BARNES: Object to form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: No. I can't say that
- 14 that's true. I don't know what the lag time
- ¹⁵ between the incident occurred here and when this
- ¹⁶ document was written to say when those policies
- were put into effect. They could have been done
- ¹⁸ the next hour after we found out. There's no way
- 19 of knowing that from this document.
- 20 MR. BARTON: I don't think I have any
- ²¹ further questions.

22

- **EXAMINATION**
- 23 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. I have a few follow-up questions. You
- ²⁵ were asked a few questions about Exhibit 5,

- 1 Mr. Mollica. This is the Geauga County incident
- ² back in 2011?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You weren't asked questions about the --
- there was a thousand dollar monetary penalty. Do
- you see that?
- A. I see that, yes.
- Q. And do you see the drugs up above,
- hydrocodone with APAP?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What is that?
- 12 A. Those are combination products of --
- APAP is a chemical abbreviation for Tylenol, what
- you know as Tylenol, acetaminophen.
- Q. And were these schedule IIIs or IIs at
- 16 the time of this incident?
- A. Every one of these would have been
- Schedule III. Not every one. I'm sorry.
- Carisoprodol I don't believe was a Schedule III.
- 20 I think that was a IV. And I honestly don't
- 21 remember what Suboxone was. That wasn't during my
- 22 time behind the pharmacy counter.
- 23 Q. Under the DEA scheduling regulations,
- 24 IIIs, IVs and Vs are considered less dangerous
- than IIs; is that right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. The thousand dollar fine that was paid,
- 3 this store agreed to pay this thousand dollar fine
- related to this incident; is that right?
 - A. Yes. That's it says, yes.
- Q. Does theft happen from time to time
- chain-wide? Given the fact that you employ
- humans, do humans from the time steal?
- A. Yes, they do. And the problem with
- associates is they are more familiar with how to
- get around the controls that you put in place.
- So, yes, there are occasions when they will steal.
- Q. There was a reference to this diversion.
- 14 This was by somebody who diverted to her addicted
- 15 husband and apparently he then sold the stolen
- drugs to another individual.
- 17 Do you recall being asked about that?
- 18 A. I honestly don't recall the details of
- that theft. I'm aware of the theft at Chardon,
- and there was follow-ups, but the details -- I
- just don't recall what the thief did with the
- ²² medication after she stole it.
- Q. Right. And I'm not necessarily asking.
- ²⁴ I'm just saying that you were asked some questions
 - a few minutes ago about what this says here at the

¹ top.

- 2 It says drugs were stolen by an inadequately
- ³ supervised technician who admitted to a Board
- ⁴ agent that the drugs were diverted to her addicted
- ⁵ husband and also sold to another individual.
- I take it you have no idea what her addicted
- 7 husband did with these drugs?
- 8 A. No. no.
- ⁹ Q. In your experience, are pharmacists
- 10 supposed to follow people out of the store and all
- 11 the way home and monitor every pill that they take
- 12 and where they put it or who they sell it to on
- 13 the street corner or otherwise?
- A. No. Our chain of custody is at the
- 15 counter.
- Q. Are pharmacists responsible for the
- ¹⁷ criminal acts of third parties?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. This was directed to one pharmacy
- ²⁰ outside of Case Track One jurisdiction. How many
- 21 pharmacies were in the chain at the time?
- A. Over 200. I want to say 212, but once
- ²³ again, acquisitions happen in a fluid manner. So
- ²⁴ I don't know the exact number at this particular
- 25 time, but I would venture to say over 200.
 - Page 211
 - Q. And when an employee violates Giant
- ² Eagle's rules like this, are they disciplined or
- ³ fired?
- 4 A. They're terminated immediately and where
- ⁵ it's appropriate, we report to the state Board.
- 6 Q. In any organization that you've ever
- ⁷ been in, do they have a 100 percent record of
- ⁸ employees not stealing?
- 9 A. Not the ones I've ever worked at, no.
- Q. In your experience, are internal
- 11 controls sometimes overridden by dishonest
- 12 employees?

13

- A. I've had situations where employees have
- ¹⁴ overridden internal controls, yes, to steal from
- ¹⁵ an organization, whether it's money, drugs, other
- ¹⁶ things, supplies.
- Q. So despite the company's best efforts to
- ¹⁸ put in controls, sometimes people commit criminal
- 19 acts?
- A. You're always trying to build a better
- 21 mousetrap because of those things.
- Q. Now, other than this thousand dollar
- ²³ fine paid in connection with this incident, are
- you aware of the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy
- ²⁵ doing anything else with respect to this incident

- 1 agc .
- 1 other than what's revealed in this document?
- A. No, not to my -- not to my recollection.
- ³ I honestly can't remember if they did follow-up
- ⁴ inspections or things like that. They may have.
- ⁵ I just don't recall.
- Q. And this was specifically directed at
- ⁷ one store, not the entire chain or the
- 8 corporation; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. You said something about the DEA and the
- Ohio State Board coming into these pharmacies for
- 2 spot audits, things of that nature.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Did the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy as
 - ⁵ a result of this incident do anything with respect
- 16 to this store's ability to continue to fill
- ¹⁷ prescriptions?
- A. No. Are you referring to any kind of
- 19 sanction?

9

13

- 20 Q. Yes.
 - A. No.
- Q. You talked a lot about the integrated
- 23 system of controls that Giant Eagle had, and I
- ²⁴ don't want repeat all of that. But I just want to
- make sure for completeness of the record.
 - Page 213
- Did Giant Eagle at all times hire licensed
- ² and trained pharmacists?
- A. Yes.
- ⁴ Q. Did they train those pharmacists with
- ⁵ respect to diversion?
- 6 A. Pharmacists are trained, are aware of
- ⁷ the laws regarding diversion as part of licensure.
- 8 But then, yes, we had training for pharmacists and
- ⁹ reference material type of tools within the
- 10 pharmacy for them to reacquaint themselves with
- those things at any time.
- Q. If a pharmacist doesn't follow Giant
- ¹³ Eagle policies and procedures or the law, what
 - 4 happens?
- A. If a pharmacist doesn't follow the law,
- 16 they're terminated, many times reported to the
- Board if we believe that whatever the termination
- was a risk to public health.
- Q. And you talked earlier today about the professional discretion and judgment that
- ²¹ pharmacists use.
- Is that a line of control in your mind in
- ²³ terms of avoiding diversion? Is that the first
- ²⁴ line of defense, that a pharmacist, licensed
- ²⁵ pharmacist must review the prescription before

¹ it's filled?

- A. Yes. That's why pharmacies require
- ³ licensed pharmacists. That's one of the reasons.
 - Q. And pharmacies are assisted by
- A. Yes.
- Q. Are they trained and supervised by the pharmacists themselves?

- 11 as well, a Giant Eagle certification program. 12
- the DEA pharmacist manual?
- 16
- 17 A. Yes.

- 20
- Q. Are the pharmacists trained on those
- ²² dispensing guidelines?

¹ training in some way?

³ Board exam if you skip it.

monitoring that had attestations.

10 system, are those in all of the Giant Eagle

A. To my knowledge, yes.

Q. And are pharmacists --

A. Yes.

7

9

12

13

24

25

11 stores?

²⁰ different there.

²³ prescriptions?

A. I can't imagine you could pass the state

Q. Does Giant Eagle make sure that the

review these guidelines and are trained on them?

Q. In these so-called PMPs, like the OARRS

pharmacists when they're hired, they actually

A. Yes. There's also computer-based

A. I can't recall what the State of West

¹⁶ had electronic or some other system, but whatever

West Virginia had, we were complying with that

18 one. I don't want to say it was exactly like

19 OARRS. Each state has a right to be a little

22 pharmacists to determine the legitimacy of

Q. Are those a resource tool for the

¹⁵ Virginia was with that. I can't remember if they

²⁵ In other words, can a pharmacist just skip that

¹ you're saying specific to suspicious drug

¹ about so-called suspicious orders. But you talked

Q. In your understanding of Giant Eagle's

² about how Giant Eagle was self distributing.

⁵ integrated system, should there ever be a

A. That's what I was trying to say. I

don't know by definition how you could have a

the warehouse to a shelf that's also owned and

That's why we have our -- our internal

opportunity where you can't check chain the

mechanisms could always -- you never have an

¹⁵ custody in our system because it's a closed system

Q. Did Giant Eagle Pharmacies report their

Q. Did the DEA ever raise any questions

with any of the Giant Eagle stores at any time?

A. I'm sure -- that's a little too vague.

²⁵ I'm sure they've had questions over time. If

suspicious order when the drugs are moving from

A. Yes.

6 suspicious order?

to ourselves.

A. Yes.

12

19

20

21

22

Page 215

operated by Giant Eagle.

transactions to the DEA?

A. Which transactions?

Q. Filling prescriptions.

- ² monitoring, not to my knowledge.
- Q. You talked about the extensive of record
- ⁴ keeping at the stores. I don't want to belabor
- ⁵ that point. But with respect to the physical ⁶ security of controlled substances, are they
- monitored from the moment they come in to the
- moment that they go out?
- 9 A. Yes.

16

19

- 10 Q. Are they kept in locked cabinets or
- vaults as necessary?
- A. Which controlled substances? 12
- 13 Q. I'm talking about -- let's talk about opioids.
- 15 A. Opioids are kept in a locked cabinet.
 - Q. And who has the keys to that?
- 17 A. Only the pharmacist.
- 18 Q. And who can dispense opioids?
 - A. Only the pharmacist.
- 20 Q. Incoming orders, are they checked in and
- 21 monitored closely and added to inventory? 22
 - A. Orders are -- for controls you're
- referring to? They're checked in in sealed
- containers for which you have to sign that you're 25 the one opening the container. They're checked
- A. Yes. Q. You were asked a lot of questions today

- ⁵ technicians in the pharmacy; is that right?
- 7
 - A. They're trained both by the pharmacist,
- ¹⁰ but there's a formal technician training program
 - Q. And the policies, some of the policies
- 13 that you referenced earlier today, do they include
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Are those in all of the pharmacies?
- 18 Q. Do they include the Giant Eagle
- Controlled Substance Dispensing Guidelines?
- 21
- 23
- 24 Q. Is that training monitored in some way?

- into inventory, and then they're matched to thedispensing records.
- Q. Are there regular and perpetual
- inventories of controlled substances includingopioids?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And you mentioned the monthly so-callednarc audits.
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that where you actually physically
- 11 recount controlled substances including opioids?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And there's an annual inventory; is that
- 14 right?
- ¹⁵ A. That's correct.
- Q. Do the pharmacists double count
- ¹⁷ controlled substance prescriptions?
- A. Yes. That's a policy of Giant Eagle.
- Q. Do they back count them?
- A. I do not know that means, back count.
- Q. Well, making sure that the amount left
- 22 in the bottle is what the inventory system says
- 23 should be there.
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Did the Board of Pharmacy come in and do

- ¹ investigators?
- ² A. Yes.
- ³ Q. Did they spend a lot of time in the
- 4 pharmacies?
- 5 A. Yes.
- ⁶ Q. Do they work with the local police and

Page 220

Page 221

- ⁷ the Boards of Pharmacy and the DEA?
- 8 A. Yes.
- ⁹ Q. Did the pharmacists take any steps
- 10 individually to flag scripts that they thought
- 11 might be illegitimate?
- 12 A. Yes.

13

- Q. Can you give us some examples?
- A. If prescriptions didn't look like -
 - once again, you get intimate with your community,
- so you recognize physicians' signatures when they
- don't look right, if they're missing pieces of
- documentation, when there's obvious errors.
- You would be very surprised at some of the
- 20 whacky stuff that you see when the public tries to
- ²¹ divert, spelling things wrong, not using the right
- ²² Latin codes, missing numbers, unusual quantities
- ²³ or frequency, dates that look altered, those types
- ²⁴ of things, photocopies. There's all kinds of
- ⁵ things that you can pick up on.

- ¹ audits from time to time?
- ² A. Yes.
- Q. The pharmacy district leaders, did they
- ⁴ oversee stores in their area and do quarterly
- ⁵ internal audits?
- 6 A. Yes.
- ⁷ Q. Including compliance audits?
- 8 A. Yes.
- ⁹ Q. Did they supervise the training of
- ¹⁰ pharmacists?
- ¹¹ A. Yes.
- Q. Did they work with law enforcement and
- 13 the Board of Pharmacy to deter diversion?
- ¹⁴ A. Yes.
- Q. And criminal acts. Was there red flag
- ¹⁶ awareness training for the pharmacists?
- A. Be more specific. I'm not sure...
- Q. Well, in the dispensing guidelines, the
- red flags to look for to see if a prescription islegitimate.
- A. Oh, yes, yes.
- Q. Did Giant Eagle have a loss prevention
- department?A Yes
- A. Yes.
 O. With
 - Q. With experienced diversion

- Q. And are Giant Eagle pharmacists trained
- ² to look for that kind of --
- 3 A. They're trained not just by the
- ⁴ organization, but just in their general practice,
- ⁵ too. You need to be in a pharmacy to know that
- 6 Dr. Smith always writes controls on a blue pad and
- ⁷ this one is yellow. You can't train that. But
- 8 pharmacists do those things as part of local
- ⁹ awareness as well as the tools that we provide
- of from the company.
- Q. Are there security cameras in all of the pharmacies?
- A. I actually don't know. Yes, there are
- 14 security cameras. Not for my entire run at Giant
- ¹⁵ Eagle, but when I left, I'm pretty sure every one
- of them had security cameras.
- Q. Are you aware of so-called BOLO notices,
- 18 Be On The Lookout notices exchanged between the
- 19 pharmacists?
- A. Oh, they do that. Yeah. They do that a
- 21 lot on their own. It's a very tight network.
 - Q. You mentioned daily counts of drugs.
- 23 Did that include hydrocodone combination products
- ²⁴ when HBC distributed it when it was a Schedule
- 25 III?

- 1 A. Daily counts?
- 2 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. Of which ones?
- 4 O. Of the HCPs.
- 5 A. The combination products?
- 6 O. Yes.
- 7 A. I don't know that daily counts were
- 8 required in terms of physical counts. I don't
- ⁹ recall. But you had a virtual inventory that
- 10 every time you pulled the drug off the shelf, you
- 11 had to verify. It that constitutes a physical
- 12 count, I don't know.
- 13 Q. I want to direct your attention back to
- ¹⁴ Exhibit 13. This is the Ohio Administrative Code.
- ¹⁵ but its looks awfully similar to the DEA
- ¹⁶ regulation. I want to direct your attention to
- 17 (A), "All registrants shall provide effective and
- 18 approved controls and procedures to deter theft
- 19 and diversion..."
- 20 Do you know if that's almost identical to the
- 21 DEA regulation on the same topic?
- 22 A. Likely to be, yes.
- 23 Q. All these factors that you go through, I
- ²⁴ want to go through some of these factors to
- ²⁵ determine whether you meet the security

1 would get their totes, you know, filled with

Page 224

- ² drugs, the HBC ones were a different color. You
- 3 might see one tote from HBC and 20, you know, from
- 4 the general -- from McKesson or whomever we were
- ⁵ ordering from.
- 6 BY MR. BARNES:
- Q. So is it fair statement that between
- 2009 and 2014, the vast majority of opioid
- products going into the Giant Eagle pharmacies
- were coming from McKesson?
- 11 A. I don't -- I don't recall what the
- 12 specific formulary for the controls that were at
- the HBC warehouse were, but my assertion is the
- minority of them came from the HBC warehouse.
 - Q. Well, you know most opioids are
- 16 Schedule IIs and always have been Schedule IIs;
- correct?
- A. In my definition, when you say opioid,
- I'm including combination drugs. We got zero
- Schedule IIs from the warehouse.
- 21 O. And were opioids small or a large
- percentage of what the warehouse was doing,
- sending to the pharmacies?
 - A. The HBC warehouse?
- 25 Q. Yes.

24

Page 223

- ¹ requirement.
- The type of activity conducted, HBC to your
- 3 knowledge was a Schedule III, IV, V warehouse that
- ⁴ never distributed controlled substance level IIs.
- 5 Did you know that?
- 6 A. Yes. And noncontrols as well.
- Q. And noncontrols.
- 8 A. That was the majority of what they
- distributed.
- 10 Q. And did it do branded and unbranded
- generics, or did it just do a piece of the IIIs,
- 13 A. It was just the generic portions of it.
- 14 It was more meant as a generic warehouse, not --
- 15 Q. So would you say with respect to factor
- 16 (B)(3) that the quantity of dangerous drugs
- ¹⁷ handled, considering the fact that they were IIs
- ¹⁸ when handled by HBC and the fact that they were
- 19 only doing some of the generics, would you say
- 20 that would be high or a low quantity of dangerous
- 21 drugs being handled by HBCs?
- 22 MR. HUDSON: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: I would say it would be 23
- 24 low, a low quantity as compared to the overall
- ²⁵ ordering of a pharmacy. Even when pharmacies

- Page 225 A. It was a small percentage. Like I say,
- ² I can't recall the exact NDCs that were in the
- warehouse, but even in our overall dispensing,
- 4 it's a small number, small percentage.
- Q. This Exhibit 13, number (B)(4) talks
- 6 about location of the premises. Were all these
- Giant Eagle pharmacies inside Giant Eagle grocery
- stores?
- 9 A. Yes, with the exception of the examples
- that I spoke to the gentleman about earlier.
- There was two independently-owned grocery stores
- in the Cleveland market that we had Giant Eagle
- pharmacies in.
- Q. Those were transitioned then to Giant
- 15 Eagle stores?

- A. They were just -- no. They never
- transitioned to Giant Eagle stores. We just took
- the pharmacies out.
- 19 Q. But being inside of a grocery store, is
- that a level of control that you consider as part
- of the security analysis?
 - A. Not only were they delivered to a store,
- but they were in cases where the pharmacy -- if
- there was a situation where the pharmacy wasn't
- open, they had to be delivered to a locked cage

- ¹ within the store.
- Q. Factor (B)(6) six talks about types of
- ³ vaults and safes and other secure enclosures.
 - Did the pharmacies at least to your knowledge
- ⁵ keep any controlled substances in locked secure
- 6 locations?
- A. Every drug in the pharmacy is in a
- 8 locked location in the pharmacy, and that's the
- ⁹ reason why the state Boards have you send in
- 10 diagrams of physical barriers so every drug is
- 11 protected that way. It doesn't matter if it's
- 12 controlled or not. Narcotics inside of that
- 13 locked pharmacy are in a locked safe or locked
- ¹⁴ cabinet.
- 15 Q. Did the Ohio State Board of Pharmacy
- ¹⁶ audit every store at least once per year?
- A. I don't know what their frequency was.
- ¹⁸ That sounds reasonable. If you would ask me how
- often I think, I would say once a year.
- Q. Did anybody from the Ohio State Board of
- 21 Pharmacy ever come to Giant Eagle to your
- 22 knowledge and say, hey, you're not meeting those
- requirements?
- 24 A. No. In fact, we actually had a member
- ²⁵ of the state Board who worked for us.

- A. Correct.
- Q. So regardless of what anybody wants to

Page 228

Page 229

- ³ call the orders that were sent out by the
- 4 pharmacies, can you tell us what happened to any
- ⁵ orders, suspicious or not suspicious, funny or not
- 6 funny or strange or unusual? What happened to any
- ⁷ of those drugs after they arrived at the Giant
- Eagle pharmacies? Where did they go?
 - A. You mean after they left the pharmacy?
- 10 Q. No, when they arrived at the pharmacy no
- 11 matter how they got --
 - A. They went right into the shelf and to
- the pharmacy. 13

12

- 14 Q. But how did they leave the store?
 - A. Only if there was a legal prescription,
- to our knowledge, a legal prescription written for
- the product.
 - Q. You were asked a few questions about
- whether Giant Eagle was unique in any way. Do you
- recall those questions?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You were asked specifically what about
- 23 Rite-Aid, what about these other companies.
- These other companies, are they different in
- 25 size from Giant Eagle?

Page 227

- Q. And then you were asked questions about
- ² this No. 14, adequacy of the registrant's or
- ³ applicant's system for monitoring receipt,
- 4 manufacture, distribution and disposition of
- ⁵ dangerous drugs in its operation.
- The Schedule III, IV, Vs being handled by the
- ⁷ Giant Eagle pharmacies, were they subject to this
- integrated system of controls you've testified to
- repeatedly throughout your deposition?
- MR. HUDSON: Objection. Misstates his 11 testimony.
- 12
- THE WITNESS: All controls were treated
- ¹³ within those same guidelines. Schedule IIs had
- additional steps that you had to take.
- 15 BY MR. BARNES:

- 16 Q. Well, Giant Eagle wasn't manufacturing
- 17 any of these products; correct?
- 18 A. Oh, no, no.
- 19 Q. And all of these products were FDA
- ²⁰ approved legal products being handled --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- by the pharmacies? And the
- ²³ pharmacies were just dispensing pursuant to
- ²⁴ prescriptions issued by licensed doctors; is that
- 25 correct?

- A. Yes. Every -- the reason why it's hard
- ² to answer a question about how unique Giant Eagle
- ³ is because every company is unique. I don't know
- 4 of another chain that's exactly our size with
- ⁵ exactly the same amount of stores with the same
- ⁶ exact procedures and distribution and dispensing
- practices. So every company is going to be
- different from that perspective.
- Q. As being smaller, does that equate in
- 10 any way to being able to maintain firmer controls?
- 11 In other words, having 200 stores as compared to
- 12 10,000 stores, is it in your experience easier to
- maintain control over 200 stores than it is
- 10,000?
- 15 MR. HUDSON: Object to the form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Scale and scope, you know,
- you would think has something to do with it. Once
- again, I can't -- I can't speak to what other
- organizations do to maintain that type of scale
- and scope. But I did feel that the size Giant
- Eagle was made it so that we could do that very
- 22 effectively. 23 **EXAMINATION**
- 24 BY MR. KOBRIN:
 - Q. If you look at Exhibit 4 real quick.

Page 230 1 A. Exhibit 4? ¹ cases because they're the ones who touch the Q. It should be an email. This is the ² stores directly. 3 email that you took a little bit of time to look ³ BY MR. KOBRIN: 4 over earlier. And you can look it over again Q. So you don't have a sense one way or the ⁵ right now if you want to take the time again. other whether it was very frequent or very rare? But do you recall plaintiffs' counsel asking A. No. My sense is it would have been when you questions about this email? needed. Whatever that -- how that was determined A. I'm sorry. Give me one moment to would have been the answer. 9 refamiliarize. MR. KOBRIN: That's all I have. Pass 10 Q. No problem. 10 the witness. 11 A. Oh, yes, yes. 11 **RE-EXAMINATION** 12 Q. Looking at this email now, can you tell 12 BY MR. HUDSON: 13 what product Mr. Cornwell was concerned about here O. Mr. Mollica, counsel for HBC has asked with regard to OARRS? you a number of questions about training and 15 A. It looks like they're talking about policies that existed at the time you were there. 16 Tramadol. 16 All of those questions related to training and policies that existed at the retail 17 Q. Is Tramadol a Schedule II narcotic? 18 A. No. pharmacies; right? 19 19 Q. Is Tramadol a Schedule III narcotic? A. I mean, I interpreted it as just 20 A. I don't know if it is now. To my training and policies as related to diversion, knowledge, it was Schedule IV at least at that maybe not specific to retail. 22 Q. Well, you would agree with me that HBC 22 time. 23 23 has a warehouse; right? Q. Is Tramadol an opioid? 24 A. No. 24 A. Yes. 25 O. Look at Exhibit 12. It's also an email. 25 Q. It's a big warehouse? Page 231 Page 233 A. Yes. 1 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall plaintiffs' counsel asked Q. And that's in Washington, Pennsylvania; ³ you about due diligence that Mr. Millward would ³ right? ⁴ request sometimes after receiving these HBC A. Yes. ⁵ purchasing reports? O. Is where it was. A. Yes. A. Or at least when I was there. Q. You at one point said you didn't have to Q. Right, when you were there. And it had ⁸ do a lot of follow-ups with the PDL when the PDLs a whole bunch of employees that worked in that ⁹ were responding to these requests because they did warehouse; right?

10 the investigations or due diligence that was

11 requested by Mr. Millward; is that right?

12 A. Yes.

13

Q. Sitting here today, do you actually have

¹⁴ a sense of how often Joe Millward or others made

15 requests for investigations in response to these

purchasing reports? 16

17 MR. HUDSON: Object to the form.

18 THE WITNESS: I don't have a sense of

19 how often Joe Millward would have made this

²⁰ request to the PDLs. When I stated that -- I

21 believe I stated that it was rare that I was

22 involved in having to do an intervention to get

23 them to do it.

But in terms of the frequency, I don't know.

²⁵ He would have gone directly to the PDLs in most

10 A. Sure.

11 O. And HBC was a licensed distributor where

12 it had a license from the DEA to act as a

distributor of controlled substances; right?

A. Yes.

19

25

Q. And as part of that license, you agree

that HBC had certain obligations to comply with

the legal requirements for distributors; right?

18 A. Sure, yeah.

Q. Now, of all the questions that were

asked of you by HBC's counsel, point me to

specific training or policies that would have

occurred at that HBC warehouse and would have

involved monitoring of orders that were coming

specifically from that HBC warehouse.

A. I'm familiar with they had a report that

- 1 they would work through Joe to identify when
- ² orders were in excess of whatever algorithm they
- 3 came out to. I mean, when you say point to it,
- 4 I'm not sure what you're asking me to do.
- Q. That process you just described, when 6 did it get implemented?
- A. I don't know the specific dates. I
- would say in '13 maybe, sometime in '13.
- Q. How many orders did it flag as being ¹⁰ beyond the threshold requirements?
- 11 A. I think that's what the question that
- ¹² was just being asked was. Daily I know they came
- 13 up with a report and they tracked it through the
- 14 month. So as you would get closer to the end of
- 15 the month, you would expect that orders would get
- ¹⁶ larger and larger obviously as you go through the
- 17 month.
- 18 When a particular threshold was triggered for
- go look and see, Joe would work through the PDLs.
- ²⁰ I can't speak to the frequency of how often Joe
- ²¹ did that specifically with the PDLs. Sometimes I
- ²² was copied on some of those, sometimes not, but I
- 23 don't know the exact direct that he had with them.
- Q. Right. HBC went out of its way to
- ²⁵ create these daily reports; right?

Page 237

- 1 series of questions that you would ask to satisfy,
- ² you know, the legitimacy of the order. But the
- ³ specific -- I mean, I can't recall what the
- specific questions were.
 - Q. Do you have any idea of how many times
- that happened, that there were orders flagged and
- those series of questions were sent to pharmacies?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Asked and answered.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I don't know how often he
- went directly to -- whether it was directly to the
- stores or directly to the PDLs.
- 13 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. Can you point to any efforts that
- occurred by HBC that avoided diversion of opioids?
- 16 A. I think Exhibit 12 would be, but, yes, I
- 17 think I said that when -- if they saw -- if they
- saw an order that was outside of whatever,
- whatever mechanism they used to determine this is
- an order that we need to get more information
- 21 from, they would trigger an event through
- operations to go see.
- 23 Q. How many orders were blocked by HBC?
 - A. I don't know.
- 25 Q. How many orders were reported to the DEA

Page 235

- A. I don't know if they went out of their
- way, but they had daily reports.
- Q. And they kept those reports, right, and
- 4 we have them?
- A. I don't know if they -- I don't know
- 6 what the document retention policies were for
- ⁷ those reports.
- 8 Q. Have you ever seen them?
- 9 A. I've seen examples of them before. I
- think there was actually one exhibit. Was it
- 11 Exhibit 12? No. I thought I saw it earlier on
- 12 one of the exhibits you gave me.
- Q. Other than one or two emails we've
- 14 talked about today though, are you aware of any of
- 15 the specifics of any follow-up that Joe Millward
- ¹⁶ on anyone on his team did to try to determine
- whether or not orders that had been flagged were,
- 18 in fact, legitimate?
- 19 A. Specific -- in general, there were a
- 20 couple of different things. Sometimes it was as
- 21 simple as sending a PDM or a PDL, whatever we're
- 22 calling them, to a store to go ask questions that
- ²³ are relevant to why orders would be high.
- If there was a threshold, even if -- a
- threshold from any kind of ordering, there was a

¹ by HBC?

24

- A. I wouldn't know how many orders were
- reported.
- Q. How many times did HBC conduct due
- diligence or investigations or follow-up on orders
- that were above the threshold limits?
 - A. You asked me that a million times. I
- don't know how often Joe or anyone from the
- warehouse would have gone directly to the stores
- to do that. It's just not a -- you're asking me
- questions about how often day in/day out
- 12 operations happened. I just don't recall that
- 13 this far out.
- Q. If we turn then from the HBC side that
- we've been talking about and focus on the 200 or
- so retail pharmacies, you talked about training
- 17 and policies that were in place.
- Are there any records that you can point to
- that would demonstrate that pharmacists, in fact,
- refused to fill prescriptions?
- A. No, no, no. I mean, I've stated that a
- couple times. There's no requirement, no
- regulatory or legal requirement to retain
- documentation like that.

25

Q. Do we have any idea how many times that

1 training actually resulted in action that

- ² prevented or stopped the diversion of opioids?
- MR. KOBRIN: Were you interrupted there?
- THE WITNESS: I was just going to say,
- ⁵ and actually my answer to this current question
- 6 would be the same as what I was going to say, the
- ⁷ reason why I can't point to those things is
- 8 because this is literally day in/day out every
- ⁹ single day at a pharmacy.
- Every single day you're making those
- 11 judgements literally on every single prescription.
- 12 No, there's not a way to do that. That's what the
- 13 pharmacists are there for.
- 14 BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. You're making judgments every day;right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. But do you have any sense of how many
- 19 times that resulted in a pharmacist actually --
- 20 A. I can tell you --
- Q. Hold on. If I could just ask my
- ²² question this time. -- how many times that
- 23 resulted in a pharmacist actually refusing to fill
- ²⁴ a prescription?
- A. I couldn't tell you how often a

¹ say no. And some of those things would be HIPAA

Page 240

- ² related. No, I don't know of any reason or
- ³ requirement to do anything like that.
- 4 BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. Well, you agree that logging
- ⁶ prescriptions that were not filled because there
- ⁷ was suspicion of diversion, keeping track of those
- ⁸ would allow a retail pharmacy to then have a list
- ⁹ that could then be referenced for the future if
- there were other suspicions; right?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: We have a list and
- documents that you can track to see if diversion
- 14 happened. It's the prescriptions we filled.
- ¹⁵ BY MR. HUDSON:
- Q. You can tell from the prescriptions that
- you filled how you avoided diversion?
- A. If the prescriptions we filled are legal
- ¹⁹ and written by physicians, then by definition,
- 20 that's how you prevent diversion.
- Q. How many did not get filled because you
- avoided the diversion from occurring because you
- blocked it as being suspicious?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form. Asked and
- 25 answered.

Page 239

- 1 pharmacist refused to fill a prescription because
- ² it's not a legal or regulatory requirement to
- 3 track that.
- 4 I can tell you from my personal experience
- ⁵ being behind a pharmacy counter, it could have
- 6 been daily that those things happened. It could
- ⁷ be three times in an hour. It could be one time
- 8 in a week.
- 9 Q. Is there any way though across the 200
- 10 Giant Eagle retail pharmacies to be able to
- 11 determine how many times that happened?
- A. I don't know if there's a mechanism to
- 13 do that, but it's not a requirement to do that.
- Q. Is there any reason why that couldn't
- 15 have been noted in the systems?
 - 6 A. I don't know of any reason why we should
- 17 that I'm aware of in terms of a requirement.
- Q. Any technical requirement or anything
- 19 that would have just prevented creating a log of
- 20 prescriptions that were refused to be filled so
- 21 that it could be populated over time in order to
- 22 improve the efforts to reduce diversion?
- MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: No. I don't know how or
- 25 why that would improve diversion tracking when you

- Page 241 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- MR. KOBRIN: He talked about what was
- ³ done and the policies behind it and the reasons
- 4 hehind it
- 5 BY MR. HUDSON:
- 6 Q. And I just want to make sure the record
- ⁷ is clear. This is my only chance to talk to you.
- 8 There's no reason though why Giant Eagle
- ⁹ retail pharmacies nationwide couldn't have kept
- 10 some sort of record of suspicious or questionable
- prescriptions that ended up not being filled, is
- 12 there?

13

- MR. KOBRIN: Are you asking if they
- should have kept a record of the actual
- ¹⁵ prescriptions themselves?
- 16 BY MR. HUDSON:
 - Q. Do you understand the question?
- A. You're asking me is there anything that
- 19 prohibited us from maintaining records of
- 20 prescriptions we did not fill?
- Q. Right. In other words, if somebody came
- 2 in and handed you a prescription and you as a
- 3 licensed pharmacist applying your medical judgment
- said, you know what, this doesn't seem right, I'm
 - ⁵ not going to fill this prescription, is there any

	Page 242		Page 244
1	_	1	
_	reason why you can't write that down, take notes	1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA)
2	on that, put it into a computer and then as an	2	, , ,
3	organization log that to try to identify	3	CERTIFICATE
4	suspicious or questionable opioid orders that are	4	I, Ann Medis, Registered Professional
5	being rejected?	5	Reporter, Certified Livenote Reporter and Notary
6	MR. KOBRIN: Object to form.	6	Public within and for the Commonwealth of
7	THE WITNESS: For what reason? There's	7	Pennsylvania, do hereby certify:
8	no requirement to do that, so it would have never	8	That ANTHONY MOLLICA, the witness whose
9	come up.	9	deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly
10	MR. HUDSON: I don't have any further	10	sworn by me and that such deposition is a true
11	questions.	11	record of the testimony given by such witness.
12	•	12	I further certify the inspection,
١	RE-EXAMINATION	13	reading and signing of said deposition were not
13	BY MR. BARNES:	14	waived by counsel for the respective parties and
14	Q. I just have one follow-up question. The	15	by the witness.
15	formula type program that went into effect in	16	I further certify that I am not related
16	2013, in your view, was that an additional system	17	to any of the parties to this action by blood or
17	of controls on top of controls that were already	18	marriage and that I am in no way interested in the
18	in existence?	19	outcome of this matter.
19	A. Yeah. We're always checking in orders	20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
20	and maintaining inventory requirements. Like I	21	my hand this 8th day of January, 2019.
21	said, systems evolve in time, and that was an	22	my hand this our day of January, 2019.
22	example of one that evolved.	22	
23	MR. BARNES: Thank you.	23	Notary Dublic
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	24	Notary Public
25	•	25	
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 2:01 p.m. we are off	25	
	Page 243		Page 245
1	the video record. This concludes the video	1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA
2	deposition.		COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET
3	(Whereupon, at 2:01 p.m., the taking of	2	
4	the instant deposition ceased.)	3	I, ANTHONY MOLLICA, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on January 4, 2019,
5	the instant deposition ceased.)	4	and wish to make the following, if any,
6		-	amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:
7		5	
		6	Page Line Change and reason for change:
8		7	
9		8	
10		9	
11		1	
12			
13		13	
14		1	
15		15 16	
16		17	
17		18	
18		19	In all other respects, the transcript is true and
19			correct.
20		20	
21		21	ANTHONY MOLLICA
22		22	ANTHON I WOLLICA
23		1	day of, 2019.
24			
		25	Notary Public
25			